



## Public Opinion & Political Development in Hong Kong

### Survey Results

(Press Release)

July 24, 2016

To gauge people's views on various issues about political development in Hong Kong, the Centre for Communication and Public Opinion Survey at the School of Journalism and Communication, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, launched a project named *Public Opinion and Political Development Studies* in August 2014. The Project conducts telephone interviews and publishes the findings regularly for the reference of various parties.

The ninth wave of the survey was conducted in July 6 - 15, 2016. Using the method of random sampling, the Centre successfully interviewed 1010 Hong Kong Cantonese-speaking residents aged 15 or above on phone (with a sampling error of 3.1% at 95% confidence level). The response rate was 43%. All data were weighted by the proportion of gender, age and education according to the most recent statistics of people aged 15 or above issued by the Census and Statistics Department of the Hong Kong SAR Government. The respondents aged 15 to 17 constituted only 3.5% of the total sample. Their inclusion did not affect the results significantly.

A summary of the findings is provided below:

#### (1) Perceived importance of six social values

Respondents indicated their view along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being "very unimportant", 10 being "very important", on the following social values: "press freedom", "social harmony", "democratic development", "economic development", "judicial independence", and "national interest" (the order of the six social values was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent). The mean score for each value is as follows: (See Table 1).

"Judicial independence"	8.84	"Social harmony"	8.57
"Press freedom"	8.49	"Economic development"	8.26
"Democratic development"	7.61	"National interest"	7.07





The percentage of respondents who viewed each social value as “important” (6–10) are summarized below: (See Table 1).

“Economic development”	92.5%	“Social harmony”	92.3%
“Judicial independence”	91.0%	“Press freedom”	89.2%
“Democratic development”	79.2%	“National interest”	73.4%

## (2) Views on the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047

There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Respondents indicated their level of support and non-support for the following three scenarios: “Maintenance of one country two systems”, “Direct governance by China”, and “Independence” (the order of the three scenarios was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent). Summarized findings are as follows:

### “Maintenance of one country two systems” (See Table 2)

69.6% of respondents “support” (strongly support/somewhat support);  
6.0% “do not support” (strongly against/somewhat against);  
21.7% indicate “so-so”

### “Direct governance by China” (See Table 3)

13.8% of respondents “support” (strongly support/somewhat support);  
59.2% “do not support” (strongly against/somewhat against);  
23.4% indicate “so-so”

### “Independence” (See Table 4)

17.4% of respondents “support” (strongly support/somewhat support);  
57.6% “do not support” (strongly against/somewhat against);  
22.9% indicate “so-so”

## (3) Perceived possibility that Hong Kong can attain independence in the future

81.2% of respondents indicated “not possible” (absolutely not possible/not possible);  
3.6% of respondents indicated it is “possible” (absolutely possible/somewhat possible);  
13.0% indicated “so-so” (See Table 5)





**(4) Impressions on the three political camps**

Respondents indicated their general impression along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “very negative”, 10 being “very positive”, and 5 being “so-so”, on the following three political camps: “Localist”, “pan-democratic”, and “pro-establishment” (the order of the groups was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent).

Summarized mean scores are as follows:

“Localist”	3.45	
“Pan-democratic”	4.53	
“Pro-establishment”	4.00	(See Table 6)

The percentage of respondents who viewed each group negatively (0–4) and positively (6–10) are summarized below:

	Negative	Positive	So-so
“Localist”	48.2%	16.3%	26.3%
“Pan-democratic”	31.8%	28.2%	33.2%
“Pro-establishment”	42.2%	21.5%	28.7%

(See Table 6).

**(5) Belief that activities demanding political reforms in Hong Kong should be peaceful and non-violent**

71.3% of respondents “agree” (exceedingly agree/somewhat agree);

5.9% of respondents indicate “disagree” (exceedingly disagree/somewhat disagree);

22.0% of respondents indicate “so-so” (See Table 7)

Comparison of findings in 3 waves of study: (See Table 8)

	July 2016	July 2015	March 2015
“Agree”:	71.3%	79.4%	80.5%
“Disagree”:	5.9%	4.4%	6.1%





**(6) Trust in the Hong Kong SAR Government, the Central Government and the Hong Kong Police Force**

Respondents indicated their trust in the Hong Kong SAR Government, the Central Government and the Hong Kong Police Force along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”. Summarized mean scores are as follows: (See Tables 9, 11, 13)

Trust in Hong Kong SAR Government	4.43
Trust in the Central Government	4.33
Trust in the Hong Kong Police Force	5.93

Comparison of findings in 6 waves of study:

	July 2016	July 2015	March 2015	December 2014	October 2014	September 2014
HKSAR Government	4.43	4.38	4.76	4.76	4.17	4.02
Central Government	4.33	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.14	4.05

  

	July 2016	July 2015	March 2015	December 2014	November 2014	October 2014
Hong Kong Police Force	5.93	5.41	5.79	5.64	6.25	5.49

(See Tables 10, 12, 14)

**(7) Views on the future development of Hong Kong**

Respondents indicated their perceived optimism and pessimism on the future development of Hong Kong along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic”, 10 being “extremely optimistic”, and 5 being “so-so”. Results show that the mean score is 4.81.

Overall,

33.7% of respondents tended to feel “pessimistic” (0–4);

28.6% of respondents tended to feel “optimistic” (6–10);

37.0% of respondents indicate “so-so” (5).

(See Table 15)

Comparison of findings in 6 waves of study:

	July 2016	July 2015	March 2015	December 2014	October 2014	September 2014
Mean score	4.81	4.59	4.61	4.62	4.57	4.22

(See Table 16)





**(8) Intention to emigrate overseas**

78.4 of respondents have not considered emigrating overseas while 20.9% have considered emigrating. Of the latter,

16.4% have “thought about” emigration;

3.3% have “planned” to emigrate; and

1.3% have “commenced” with the emigration process

(See Table 17)





*【All data were weighted by the proportion of gender, age and education according to the most recent statistics of people aged 15 or above issued by the Census and Statistics Department of the Hong Kong SAR Government. For the sample which excluded respondents aged from 15 to 17, all data were also weighted by the proportion of gender, age and education according to the most recent statistics of people aged 18 or above issued by the Census and Statistics Department. The total N may not add up to 100% due to rounding errors and weighting. Respondents who answered “No view/Do not know” or refused to answer are not included in the calculation of the means.】*

**Table 1: Perceived importance of six social values (age 15 and above)**

	Press freedom	Social harmony	Democratic development	Economic development	Judicial independence	National interest
	%	%	%	%	%	%
0 Very unimportant	0.8	0.2	2.1	0.4	0.7	3.4
1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.6
2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3	1.3
3	0.9	0.3	1.5	0.4	0.3	2.0
4	0.2	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.1	2.4
5	7.7	6.1	13.5	5.1	4.7	14.5
6	4.5	4.9	7.8	7.4	3.4	10.3
7	8.3	9.2	13.3	12.4	6.9	15.1
8	19.9	22.0	21.7	29.2	16.6	20.8
9	9.9	10.9	9.5	9.4	10.1	6.8
10 Very important	46.6	45.3	26.9	34.2	54.0	20.4
No view / Refuse	0.8	0.1	2.2	0.6	2.9	2.4
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1010)
Mean (N)	8.49 (1002)	8.57 (1009)	7.61 (988)	8.26 (1004)	8.84 (981)	7.07 (986)

Question: In the following I will mention 6 social values. We would like to know if you think they are important or not important. Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important [random allocation of social values]: Press freedom, do you think it is important or unimportant? (0-10). Social harmony, do you think it is important or unimportant? (0-10). Democratic development, do you think it is important or unimportant? (0-10). Economic development, do you think it is important or unimportant? (0-10). Judicial independence, do you think it is important or unimportant? (0-10). National interest, do you think it is important or unimportant? (0-10).



**Table 1 (continued): Perceived importance of six social values (age 18 and above)**

	Press freedom	Social harmony	Democratic development	Economic development	Judicial independence	National interest
	%	%	%	%	%	%
0 Very unimportant	0.8	0.2	2.2	0.4	0.7	3.5
1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.6
2	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.4
3	0.9	0.3	1.6	0.5	0.3	2.0
4	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.1	1.9
5	7.9	6.3	13.6	5.0	4.9	14.7
6	4.4	4.6	7.9	6.9	3.4	9.8
7	8.2	9.3	12.8	11.7	6.6	14.6
8	19.5	21.6	21.8	29.6	16.3	21.1
9	9.5	10.7	9.3	9.3	9.7	6.9
10 Very important	47.2	45.9	27.1	35.2	54.8	21.0
No view / Refuse	0.9	0.1	2.2	0.6	3.0	2.5
Total	100.0 (960)	100.0 (960)	100.0 (960)	100.0 (960)	100.0 (960)	100.0 (960)
Mean (N)	8.49 (952)	8.58 (959)	7.60 (939)	8.30 (954)	8.85 (932)	7.10 (936)



**Table 2: Views on the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047: Maintenance of one country two systems**

	Age 15 and above %	Age 18 and above %
Very support	45.1	46.1
Somewhat support	24.4	23.7
So-so	21.7	21.2
Somewhat against	3.2	3.3
Very against	2.8	2.9
No view / Refuse	2.7	2.8
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)

Question: Do you support or not support the maintenance of one country two systems? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

**Table 3: Views on the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047: Direct governance by China**

	Age 15 and above %	Age 18 and above %
Very support	5.8	6.0
Somewhat support	8.0	8.3
So-so	23.4	23.7
Somewhat against	19.1	18.5
Very against	40.1	39.8
No view / Refuse	3.6	3.7
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)

Question: Do you support or not support direct governance by China? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?



**Table 4: Views on the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047: Independence**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
Strongly support	6.2	6.1
Somewhat support	11.2	10.7
So-so	22.9	22.4
Somewhat against	19.0	19.1
Strongly against	38.6	39.7
No view / Refuse	2.1	1.9
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)

Question: Do you support or not support independence? Strongly support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Strongly against?

**Table 5: Perceived possibility that Hong Kong can attain independence in the foreseeable future**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
Absolutely impossible	53.3	54.7
Not possible	27.9	27.6
So-so	13.0	12.1
Somewhat possible	1.8	1.4
Absolutely possible	1.9	1.9
No view / Refuse	2.2	2.2
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)

Question: In the foreseeable future, do you think it is possible or not possible for Hong Kong to attain independence? Absolutely impossible, Not possible, So-so, Somewhat possible, or Absolutely possible?



**Table 6: Impressions on the three political camps (Age 15 and above)**

	Localist	Pan-democratic	Pro-establishment
	%	%	%
0 Very negative	25.6	12.2	16.8
1	2.3	1.2	2.4
2	4.6	3.2	5.9
3	8.3	8.0	9.9
4	7.4	7.2	7.2
5 So-so	26.3	33.2	28.7
6	6.3	12.2	8.6
7	5.5	8.5	5.3
8	2.8	5.6	5.3
9	0.3	0.3	0.9
10 Very positive	1.4	1.6	1.4
No view / Refuse	9.2	6.8	7.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0 (1010)</b>	<b>100.0 (1010)</b>	<b>100.0 (1010)</b>
<b>Mean (N)</b>	<b>3.45 (917)</b>	<b>4.53 (941)</b>	<b>4.00 (933)</b>

Question: What are your impressions of the following political camps. Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so [random allocation of groups]: What is your impression of the localist camp? (0-10). What is your impression of the pan-democratic? (0-10). What is your impression of the pro-establishment? (0-10).



**Table 6 (continued): Impressions on the three political camps (Age 18 and above)**

	<b>Localist</b>	<b>Pan-democratic</b>	<b>Pro-establishment</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>
0 Very negative	26.6	12.7	17.4
1	2.3	1.2	2.5
2	4.8	3.3	5.9
3	8.3	8.2	9.8
4	7.0	7.0	6.9
5 So-so	26.2	32.8	28.3
6	5.8	11.6	8.3
7	5.2	8.5	5.2
8	2.9	5.8	5.5
9	0.3	0.4	0.9
10 Very positive	1.4	1.6	1.5
No view / Refuse	9.3	7.0	7.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0 (960)</b>	<b>100.0 (960)</b>	<b>100.0 (960)</b>
<b>Mean (N)</b>	<b>3.38 (870)</b>	<b>4.50 (893)</b>	<b>3.98 (886)</b>



**Table 7: Belief that activities demanding political reforms in Hong Kong should be peaceful and non-violent**

	Age 15 and above %	Age 18 and above %
Strongly agree	49.6	50.6
Somewhat agree	21.7	21.0
So-so	22.0	21.9
Somewhat disagree	3.5	3.3
Strongly disagree	2.4	2.4
No opinion / Refuse to answer	0.8	0.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0 (1010)</b>	<b>100.0 (960)</b>

Question: Do you agree or disagree that activities demanding political reforms in Hong Kong must be peaceful and non-violent? Strongly agree, Somewhat agree, So-so, Somewhat disagree, or Strongly disagree?

**Table 8: Belief that activities demanding political reforms in Hong Kong should be peaceful and non-violent (Comparison)**

	9 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2016 Jul 6-15) %	8 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Jul 14-21) %	5 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Mar 2-9) %
Strongly agree	49.6	57.6	55.1
Somewhat agree	21.7	21.8	25.4
So-so	22.0	14.8	12.1
Somewhat disagree	3.5	2.6	3.1
Strongly disagree	2.4	1.8	3.0
No opinion / Refuse to answer	0.8	1.4	1.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0 (1010)</b>	<b>100.0 (1006)</b>	<b>100.0 (1009)</b>



**Table 9: Trust in Hong Kong SAR Government**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
0 No Trust at all	14.1	14.4
1	3.7	3.5
2	6.4	6.3
3	10.8	10.9
4	7.6	7.3
5 So-so	24.9	25.1
6	9.5	9.1
7	9.3	9.2
8	8.1	8.3
9	1.2	1.2
10 Total trust	4.0	4.1
No View/ Refuse	0.6	0.6
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)
Mean (N)	4.43 (1004)	4.43 (954)

Question: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?



**Table 10: Trust in Hong Kong SAR Government (Comparison)**

	9 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2016 Jul 6-15) %	8 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Jul 14-21) %	5 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Mar 2-9) %	4 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2014 Dec 8-12) %	2 <sup>nd</sup> Wave (2014 Oct 8-15) %	1 <sup>st</sup> Wave (2014 Sep 10-17) %
0 No Trust at all	14.1	17.5	10.3	13.6	13.9	15.8
1	3.7	3.5	4.2	3.3	5.8	4.1
2	6.4	5.8	7.7	7.2	8.9	7.4
3	10.8	8.3	9.5	9.5	11.0	10.8
4	7.6	7.9	9.9	6.7	8.3	11.5
5 So-so	24.9	27.5	22.0	21.4	23.7	27.3
6	9.5	6.7	8.8	7.2	7.2	7.6
7	9.3	7.2	8.6	8.8	5.7	6.6
8	8.1	6.3	9.5	9.9	7.6	3.6
9	1.2	0.9	1.5	2.5	0.9	1.1
10 Total trust	4.0	7.7	7.2	8.1	4.7	3.5
No View/ Refuse	0.6	0.9	0.8	1.7	2.3	0.6
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1006)	100.0 (1009)	100.0 (1011)	100.0 (802)	100.0 (1006)
Mean (N)	4.43 (1004)	4.38 (997)	4.76 (1001)	4.76 (993)	4.17 (783)	4.02 (1000)



**Table 11: Trust in the Central Government**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
0 No Trust at all	18.3	18.5
1	3.8	3.7
2	7.9	7.8
3	7.6	7.3
4	7.2	6.8
5 So-so	22.1	22.5
6	8.3	8.1
7	7.0	6.9
8	7.7	7.9
9	2.7	2.8
10 Total trust	6.2	6.4
No View/ Refuse	1.3	1.3
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)
Mean (N)	4.33 (997)	4.36 (947)

Question: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?



**Table 12: Trust in the Central Government (Comparison)**

	9 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2016 Jul 6-15) %	8 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Jul 14-21) %	5 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Mar 2-9) %	4 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2014 Dec 8-12) %	2 <sup>nd</sup> Wave (2014 Oct 8-15) %	1 <sup>st</sup> Wave (2014 Sep 10-17) %
0 No Trust at all	18.3	17.9	15.0	16.5	19.2	19.6
1	3.8	2.9	3.2	3.6	5.1	4.3
2	7.9	5.9	7.2	7.1	7.3	6.3
3	7.6	9.8	8.1	7.4	9.5	10.0
4	7.2	6.6	9.1	6.7	6.2	8.2
5 So-so	22.1	23.7	21.3	18.5	20.7	24.2
6	8.3	5.1	7.8	6.2	6.5	6.6
7	7.0	7.4	7.6	8.8	5.2	5.0
8	7.7	6.1	7.9	7.9	6.1	6.5
9	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.0
10 Total trust	6.2	10.7	8.4	9.5	7.8	6.0
No View/ Refuse	1.3	1.8	2.3	5.6	4.6	2.3
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1006)	100.0 (1009)	100.0 (1011)	100.0 (802)	100.0 (1006)
Mean (N)	4.33 (997)	4.54 (988)	4.62 (986)	4.62 (955)	4.14 (765)	4.05 (983)



**Table 13: Trust in the Hong Kong Police Force**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
0 No Trust at all	5.1	5.1
1	2.6	2.7
2	3.1	3.2
3	6.0	5.8
4	6.0	6.0
5 So-so	20.4	20.5
6	11.2	10.8
7	13.8	13.7
8	16.3	16.6
9	5.1	5.0
10 Total trust	9.4	9.8
No View/ Refuse	0.9	0.9
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)
Mean (N)	5.93 (1001)	5.95 (951)

Question: How high is your trust in the HK Police Force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?



**Table 14: Trust in the Hong Kong Police Force (Comparison)**

	9 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2016 Jul 6-15) %	8 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Jul 14-21) %	5 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Mar 2-9) %	4 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2014 Dec 8-12) %	3 <sup>rd</sup> Wave (2014 Nov 5-11) %	2 <sup>nd</sup> Wave (2014 Oct 8-15) %
0 No Trust at all	5.1	11.0	5.3	9.1	3.8	9.3
1	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.6	1.9	1.8
2	3.1	6.3	4.8	5.1	3.1	3.9
3	6.0	6.1	7.0	7.7	5.9	5.7
4	6.0	5.7	5.4	4.9	5.3	7.9
5 So-so	20.4	21.6	26.5	21.2	22.8	25.3
6	11.2	7.3	6.6	7.6	8.5	8.4
7	13.8	10.0	9.4	9.4	11.3	10.1
8	16.3	12.0	11.9	12.3	14.7	11.4
9	5.1	3.7	5.2	4.6	4.4	1.8
10 Total trust	9.4	12.8	13.7	14.7	16.7	12.5
No View/ Refuse	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	2.0
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1006)	100.0 (1009)	100.0 (1011)	100.0 (1030)	100.0 (802)
Mean (N)	5.93 (1001)	5.41 (996)	5.79 (996)	5.64 (1001)	6.25 (1014)	5.49 (786)



**Table 15: Views on the future development of Hong Kong**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
0 Extremely pessimistic	5.9	6.1
1	1.1	1.1
2	4.9	5.0
3	9.7	9.3
4	12.1	12.0
5 Half-half	37.0	37.5
6	10.9	10.7
7	8.9	8.8
8	5.5	5.5
9	0.5	0.4
10 Extremely optimistic	2.8	2.9
No View/ Refuse	0.7	0.7
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)
Mean (N)	4.81 (1003)	4.80 (953)

Questions: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic”, 10 being “extremely optimistic”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?



**Table 16: Views on the future development of Hong Kong (comparison)**

	9 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2016 Jul 6-15) %	8 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Jul 14-21) %	5 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2015 Mar 2-9) %	4 <sup>th</sup> Wave (2014 Dec 8-12) %	2 <sup>nd</sup> Wave (2014 Oct 8-15) %	1 <sup>st</sup> Wave (2014 Sep 10-17) %
0 Extremely pessimistic	5.9	8.7	7.8	9.5	9.6	10.8
1	1.1	2.5	1.9	1.8	2.3	2.0
2	4.9	5.5	5.7	4.9	3.4	7.0
3	9.7	9.8	10.8	12.0	11.9	13.6
4	12.1	9.8	12.2	10.8	10.6	12.1
5 Half-half	37.0	38.5	37.1	31.5	33.8	32.2
6	10.9	8.4	7.7	8.8	12.0	9.4
7	8.9	6.1	6.3	7.4	7.1	5.7
8	5.5	4.3	4.8	6.2	4.8	2.6
9	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.2
10 Extremely optimistic	2.8	4.7	4.5	4.5	3.3	3.2
No View/ Refuse	0.7	1.1	0.8	1.9	1.0	1.0
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (1006)	100.0 (1009)	100.0 (1011)	100.0 (802)	100.0 (1006)
Mean (N)	4.81 (1003)	4.59 (995)	4.61 (1001)	4.62 (992)	4.57 (794)	4.22 (996)



**Table 17: Intention to emigrate overseas**

	Age 15 and above	Age 18 and above
	%	%
Yes, thought about it	16.4	15.8
Yes, planning to	3.3	3.0
Yes, in the process	1.3	1.4
No	78.4	79.1
Do not know	0.4	0.4
No View/ Refuse	0.2	0.2
Total	100.0 (1010)	100.0 (960)

Question: Have you considered emigrating overseas? [If yes: have thought about it; are planning to; have commenced the process?]



### Basic Demographic Data

#### Sex

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>After weighting</i>	
	n	%	n	%
M	476	47.1	482	47.7
F	534	52.9	528	52.3
Total	1010	100.0	1010	100.0

#### Education

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>After weighting</i>	
	n	%	N	%
No edu / Kindergarten	14	1.4	33	3.3
Primary	85	8.4	118	11.7
Secondary (F .1 - F. 3)	123	12.2	143	14.1
Secondary (F. 4 – F. 5)	229	22.7	250	24.7
Secondary (F. 6 – F. 7)	115	11.4	78	7.7
Tertiary (Non-degree)	101	10.0	92	9.1
Bachelor Degree	253	25.0	233	23.1
Graduate Studies (MA or above)	87	8.6	60	6.0
Refuse	3	0.3	3	0.3
Total	1010	100.0	1010	100.0



Age

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>After weighting</i>	
	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
15 – 17	50	5.0	35	3.5
18 – 19	35	3.5	24	2.3
20 – 24	74	7.3	71	7.0
25 - 29	52	5.1	75	7.4
30 – 34	62	6.1	80	8.0
35 - 39	54	5.3	80	7.9
40 - 44	86	8.5	87	8.6
45 – 49	82	8.1	89	8.8
50 – 54	117	11.6	105	10.4
55 – 59	95	9.4	99	9.8
60 – 64	106	10.5	77	7.6
65 - 69	81	8.0	59	5.9
70 or Above	109	10.8	123	12.2
Refuse	7	0.7	6	0.6
Total	1010	100.0	1010	100.0



**Political orientation**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>After weighting</i>	
	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
Localist	71	7.0	73	7.3
Radical democrats	37	3.7	35	3.4
Moderate democrats	328	32.5	303	30.0
Middle/neutral	193	19.1	192	19.0
Pro-establishment	81	8.0	82	8.1
Business-industrial	16	1.6	15	1.5
Pro-Beijing	26	2.6	28	2.8
No orientation / not belonging to any orientation	216	21.4	239	23.7
Don't Know/ Hard to say / Refuse to answer	42	4.2	44	4.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>1010</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1010</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Question: Which political camp do you think reflects your political orientation? Localist, Radical democrats, Moderate democrats, Middle/neutral, Pro-establishment, Business-industrial, Pro-Beijing?

-- End --