

Centre for Communication and Public Opinion Survey

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

**“Hong Kong Public Opinion &
Political Development” Opinion Survey**

First Round Survey Results

(10-17.9.2014)

September 2014

Content

<u>Content</u>	<u>Page</u>
Survey Overview	2
Survey Results	3
Profile of Respondents... ..	7
Cross-tables.....	10

Survey Overview

Survey Method	Random sampling was adopted for telephone interviews conducted by interviewers using CATI (Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview) system
Data Collection Period	10 – 12、15 - 17 September 2014 (Total 6 days)
Target Respondents	Hong Kong Citizens aged 15 or above speaking Cantonese
Sampling Method	All the phone numbers in the latest residential telephone directories were firstly transformed to 6-digit numbers by erasing the last 2 digits. After deleting repeated numbers, a hundred of 2-digit numbers from 00 to 99 were then appended to each number. A database of telephone numbers was finally created and telephone numbers were randomly selected from this database. This method could make the numbers unlisted on the directories may also have chance to be chosen. After successful contact with the household, if there were more than one member at home eligible for an interview, we would employ the “Next Birthday Rule” method to select the eligible member whose birthday comes soonest.
Handling phone numbers	After each dialing, if it was “no answer”, “busy” or “eligible unavailable” etc., computer system would arrange interviewer to try the number again at another day or time. The computer would stop trying that number if there was still no success after 4 attempts. However, if receiving two refusals, attempt will immediately be terminated.
Sample Size	1006 Completed Cases
Sampling Error	Within $\pm 3.1\%$ (at 95% confidence level, which means we have 95% confidence that the sampling error will fall within this range.)
Response Rate	43%
The following shows the status of all numbers attempted and the calculation of response rate:	
Total Numbers Attempted	17785
A. Total numbers with Confirmed Ineligibility for Interview (Ineligibles)	9461
A1. Non-working number	7593
A2. Non-residence	683
A3. Fax / Modem / Pager	997
A4. No eligible Living in	188
B. Total numbers with Unconfirmed Eligibility for Interview (Unknown)	6894
B1. No answer	2885
B2. Busy	601
B3. Password needed	9
B4. Language Problem	44
B5. Without confirming as a household before hanging up	3355
C. Total numbers with Confirmed Eligibility for Interview (Eligibles)	1430
C1. Refusal (including refusal in the middle of interview)	350
C2. Eligible unavailable in survey period	74
C3. <u>Completed</u>	<u>1006</u>
Calculation of response rate:	
Completed / [Eligibles + Unknown x Eligibles / (Eligibles + Ineligibles)]	
= 1006 / [1430 + 6894 x 1430 / (1430 + 9461)]	
= 0.4308 (43%)	

Survey Results

*【In order to enable the sample to reflect more closely the characteristics of the Hong Kong population, all data in this survey **were weighted** against the gender-age-education distribution of those aged 15 or above released by the Census and Statistics Department. Due to the process of weighting and rounding off of decimal place, the sum of individual items in the tables may be different to the total.】*

Table 1: Some people start an “Occupy Central” movement to fight for a 2017 election plan for Chief Executive without screening, do you support the movement or not? Strongly support, quite support, so-so, quite not support, or strongly not support?

	N	%
Strongly Support	143	14.2
Quite Support	170	16.9
So-so	206	20.5
Quite Not Support	126	12.5
Strongly Not Support	340	33.8
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	22	2.2
Total	1006	100.0

Table 2: What is your view about the way Hong Kong police handles protests and assemblies in recent years? Very appropriate, quite appropriate, so-so, quite inappropriate, or very inappropriate?

	N	%
Very Appropriate	134	13.3
Quite Appropriate	181	18.0
So-so	297	29.5
Quite Inappropriate	214	21.3
Very Inappropriate	153	15.3
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	26	2.6
Total	1006	100.0

Table 3: Following the decision of the Standing Committee of the People’s Congress, Hong Kong Government will propose a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election. If the proposed draft will forbid people having different political views from the Central Government to stand for the election, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?

	N	%
Approve	294	29.3
Reject	541	53.7
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	171	17.0
Total	1006	100.0

Table 4: Some people say that how to elect the Chief Executive of Hong Kong will affect national security. Do you agree with this view? Strongly agree, quite agree, so-so, quite disagree or strongly disagree?

	N	%
Strongly Agree	125	12.4
Quite Agree	118	11.7
So-so	199	19.8
Quite Disagree	238	23.7
Strongly Disagree	283	28.1
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	43	4.2
Total	1006	100.0

Table 5: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?

	N	%
0 No Trust at All	159	15.8
1	41	4.1
2	74	7.4
3	108	10.8
4	116	11.5
5 So-so	274	27.3
6	77	7.6
7	67	6.6
8	36	3.6
9	11	1.1
10 Total Trust	35	3.5
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	6	0.6
Total	1006	100.0
Mean [#] (N) = 4.02 (1000)		

[#]“Don't Know / Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 6: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?

	N	%
0 No Trust at All	198	19.6
1	43	4.3
2	64	6.3
3	100	10.0
4	83	8.2
5 So-so	243	24.2
6	66	6.6
7	50	5.0
8	65	6.5
9	10	1.0
10 Total Trust	60	6.0
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	23	2.3
Total	1006	100.0
Mean [#] (N) = 4.05 (983)		

[#]“Don't Know / Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 7: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic” , 10 being “extremely optimistic” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?

	N	%
0 Extremely pessimistic	109	10.8
1	20	2.0
2	70	7.0
3	137	13.6
4	122	12.1
5 So-so	324	32.2
6	95	9.4
7	58	5.7
8	26	2.6
9	2	0.2
10 Extremely Optimistic	32	3.2
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	10	1.0
Total	1006	100.0
Mean [#] (N) = 4.22 (996)		

[#]“Don't Know / Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 8: Are you considering migration to overseas?

	N	%
Yes	214	21.2
No	776	77.2
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	16	1.6
Total	1006	100.0

Profile of Respondents**Table 9: Gender**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
Male	491	48.8	482	47.9
Female	515	51.2	524	52.1
Total	1006	100.0	1006	100.0

Table 10: Age

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
15 – 17	56	5.6	40	4.0
18 – 19	49	4.9	27	2.6
20 – 24	65	6.5	74	7.3
25 - 29	61	6.1	75	7.5
30 – 34	52	5.2	81	8.1
35 - 39	60	6.0	81	8.1
40 - 44	109	10.8	87	8.7
45 – 49	101	10.0	96	9.5
50 – 54	136	13.5	105	10.5
55 – 59	97	9.6	93	9.2
60 – 64	99	9.8	73	7.2
65 - 69	61	6.1	49	4.8
70 or Above	53	5.3	120	11.9
Refuse to Answer	7	0.7	6	0.6
Total	1006	100.0	1006	100.0

Table 11: Educational Level

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
No Education / Kindergarten	13	1.3	46	4.6
Primary	94	9.3	139	13.8
Secondary (F.1 to F.3)	127	12.6	128	12.8
Secondary (F.4 to F.7)	336	33.4	334	33.2
Post-secondary (non-degree)	98	9.7	91	9.0
Degree or Above	333	33.1	263	26.2
Refuse to Answer	5	0.5	5	0.5
Total	1006	100.0	1006	100.0

Table12: Political Orientation

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
Radical Democrats	46	4.6	37	3.7
Moderate Democrats	360	35.8	361	35.8
Middle/ neutral	260	25.8	243	24.1
Pro-establishment	46	4.6	42	4.1
Business-industrial	21	2.1	19	1.9
Pro-Beijing	30	3.0	31	3.1
No Orientation / Not Belong to any Orientation	199	19.8	216	21.5
Don't Know / Hard to Say / Refuse to Answer	44	4.4	58	5.8
Total	1006	100.0	1006	100.0

Question: You consider yourself leaning toward which political orientation? Radical democrats, moderate democrats, middle-neutral, pro-establishment, business-industrial, or pro-Beijing?

Table 13: Monthly Household Income

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
\$5,999 or Below	39	3.9	49	4.9
\$6,000 - \$9,999	35	3.5	45	4.4
\$10,000 - \$14,999	51	5.1	57	5.7
\$15,000 - \$19,999	53	5.3	50	5.0
\$20,000 - \$24,999	122	12.1	131	13.0
\$25,000 - \$29,999	55	5.5	55	5.4
\$30,000 - \$39,999	147	14.6	146	14.5
\$40,000 - \$49,999	99	9.8	90	8.9
\$50,000 - \$59,999	115	11.4	104	10.3
\$60,000 - \$99,999	91	9.0	74	7.4
\$100,000 or Above	95	9.4	79	7.8
Don't Know/Refuse to Answer	104	10.3	126	12.5
Total	1006	100.0	1006	100.0

Cross-tables**Table 14: Some people start an “Occupy Central” movement to fight for a 2017 election plan for Chief Executive without screening, do you support the movement or not? Strongly support, quite support, so-so, quite not support, or strongly not support?**

	Support	So-So	Not Support	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
Gender						
M	35.3%	16.9%	46.2%	1.6%	100.0%	(482)
F	27.2%	23.8%	46.4%	2.6%	100.0%	(524)
Age						
15 - 24	46.7%	29.5%	22.5%	1.4%	100.0%	(140)
25 - 39	39.8%	27.8%	31.7%	0.7%	100.0%	(238)
40 - 59	20.9%	17.4%	60.8%	0.8%	100.0%	(381)
60 or Above	29.5%	12.4%	52.4%	5.8%	100.0%	(241)
Edu Level						
F.3 or Below	26.7%	16.4%	52.8%	4.1%	100.0%	(313)
F.4 - F.7	26.5%	22.8%	49.7%	1.0%	100.0%	(334)
Tertiary or Above	39.2%	22.2%	37.5%	1.1%	100.0%	(354)
Political Attitude						
Pan-Democrats*	52.3%	23.0%	23.1%	1.5%	100.0%	(398)
Middle-Neutral	18.5%	22.3%	58.7%	0.4%	100.0%	(243)
Pro-Establishment*	7.2%	7.9%	84.9%	0.0%	100.0%	(91)
No Orientation	18.6%	20.7%	56.9%	3.9%	100.0%	(216)
Monthly Household Income						
14,999 or Below	30.5%	17.5%	47.4%	4.5%	100.0%	(152)
15,000 - 24,999	28.7%	25.2%	43.8%	2.2%	100.0%	(181)
25,000 - 39,999	30.9%	25.1%	43.8%	0.3%	100.0%	(201)
40,000 - 59,999	31.5%	15.2%	52.2%	1.1%	100.0%	(194)
60,000 or Above	36.2%	20.2%	43.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(153)

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 15: What is your view about the way Hong Kong police handles protests and assemblies in recent years? Very appropriate, quite appropriate, so-so, quite inappropriate, or very inappropriate?

	Appropriate	So-so	Not Appropriate	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)
Gender						
M	33.0%	26.3%	38.6%	2.1%	100.0%	(482)
F	29.9%	32.5%	34.7%	3.0%	100.0%	(524)
Age						
15 - 24	10.4%	37.1%	51.7%	0.8%	100.0%	(140)
25 - 39	20.4%	31.6%	45.0%	3.0%	100.0%	(238)
40 - 59	40.9%	30.0%	28.2%	0.9%	100.0%	(381)
60 or Above	39.3%	22.8%	32.0%	5.9%	100.0%	(241)
Edu Level						
F.3 or Below	37.8%	27.2%	29.7%	5.3%	100.0%	(313)
F.4 - F.7	33.0%	33.3%	32.1%	1.6%	100.0%	(334)
Tertiary or Above	24.0%	28.3%	46.5%	1.2%	100.0%	(354)
Political Attitude						
Pan-Democrats*	16.0%	25.8%	56.8%	1.4%	100.0%	(398)
Middle-Neutral	38.5%	39.9%	21.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(243)
Pro-Establishment*	67.4%	20.6%	12.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(91)
No Orientation	35.5%	31.8%	24.7%	8.0%	100.0%	(216)
Monthly Household Income						
14,999 or Below	29.1%	29.5%	36.3%	5.1%	100.0%	(152)
15,000 - 24,999	27.7%	32.4%	36.3%	3.6%	100.0%	(181)
25,000 - 39,999	28.8%	33.1%	36.0%	2.1%	100.0%	(201)
40,000 - 59,999	37.3%	23.6%	38.8%	0.3%	100.0%	(194)
60,000 or Above	30.8%	27.0%	42.2%	0.0%	100.0%	(153)

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 16: Following the decision of the Standing Committee of the People's Congress, Hong Kong Government will propose a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election. If the proposed draft will forbid people having different political views from the Central Government to stand for the election, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?

	Approve	Reject	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
Sex					
M	33.3%	56.0%	10.7%	100.0%	(482)
F	25.5%	51.7%	22.8%	100.0%	(524)
Age					
15 - 24	15.0%	75.8%	9.2%	100.0%	(140)
25 - 39	22.8%	65.1%	12.1%	100.0%	(238)
40 - 59	38.2%	45.3%	16.5%	100.0%	(381)
60 or Above	30.6%	42.3%	27.2%	100.0%	(241)
Edu Level					
F3 or Below	28.9%	41.6%	29.5%	100.0%	(313)
F.4 - F.7	33.1%	54.4%	12.5%	100.0%	(334)
Tertiary or Above	26.3%	63.5%	10.2%	100.0%	(354)
Political Attitude					
Pan-Democrats*	18.4%	72.2%	9.4%	100.0%	(398)
Middle-Neutral	34.4%	51.6%	14.0%	100.0%	(243)
Pro-Establishment*	66.3%	23.9%	9.9%	100.0%	(91)
No Orientation	26.6%	41.6%	31.7%	100.0%	(216)
Monthly Household Income					
14,999 or Below	30.8%	45.1%	24.1%	100.0%	(152)
15,000 - 24,999	22.9%	57.9%	19.2%	100.0%	(181)
25,000 - 39,999	31.1%	55.9%	13.0%	100.0%	(201)
40,000 - 59,999	30.9%	59.8%	9.2%	100.0%	(194)
60,000 or Above	32.0%	58.6%	9.4%	100.0%	(153)

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 17: Some people say that how to elect the Chief Executive of Hong Kong will affect national security. Do you agree with this view? Strongly agree, quite agree, so-so, quite disagree or strongly disagree?

	Agree	So-so	Disagree	No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)
Gender						
M	29.4%	17.0%	51.1%	2.4%	100.0%	(482)
F	19.3%	22.4%	52.4%	5.9%	100.0%	(524)
Age						
15 - 24	18.4%	24.9%	56.4%	0.4%	100.0%	(140)
25 - 39	18.0%	24.7%	56.1%	1.2%	100.0%	(238)
40 - 59	31.1%	21.0%	45.0%	2.9%	100.0%	(381)
60 or Above	23.3%	10.7%	54.8%	11.3%	100.0%	(241)
Edu Level						
F.3 or Below	22.9%	20.4%	47.6%	9.1%	100.0%	(313)
F. 4 – F. 7	26.9%	20.0%	50.6%	2.5%	100.0%	(334)
Tertiary or Above	23.0%	19.3%	56.3%	1.4%	100.0%	(354)
Political Attitude						
Pan-Democrats*	13.8%	14.9%	68.9%	2.4%	100.0%	(398)
Middle-Neutral	27.3%	27.8%	42.9%	2.0%	100.0%	(243)
Pro-Establishment*	58.8%	12.2%	25.0%	4.0%	100.0%	(91)
No Orientation	22.9%	22.9%	46.1%	8.0%	100.0%	(216)
Monthly Household Income						
14,999 or Below	24.8%	16.2%	48.8%	10.2%	100.0%	(152)
15,000 - 24,999	21.4%	23.9%	51.2%	3.6%	100.0%	(181)
25,000 - 39,999	20.5%	23.5%	53.3%	2.7%	100.0%	(201)
40,000 - 59,999	27.0%	19.2%	51.8%	2.0%	100.0%	(194)
60,000 or Above	27.9%	18.7%	53.3%	0.0%	100.0%	(153)

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 18: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?

	0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Trust	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean [#]
Gender							
M	51.2%	21.6%	26.8%	0.5%	100.0%	(482)	4.02
F	48.3%	32.5%	18.5%	0.7%	100.0%	(524)	4.02
Age							
15 - 24	69.6%	16.9%	13.5%	0.0%	100.0%	(140)	3.36
25 - 39	64.1%	21.8%	14.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(238)	3.33
40 - 59	37.8%	32.9%	29.1%	0.2%	100.0%	(381)	4.57
60 or Above	41.5%	30.4%	25.8%	2.3%	100.0%	(241)	4.29
Edu Level							
F.3 or Below	35.8%	38.1%	24.7%	1.5%	100.0%	(313)	4.44
F.4 – F.7	47.7%	28.1%	24.2%	0.0%	100.0%	(334)	4.19
Tertiary or Above	63.1%	17.3%	19.2%	0.4%	100.0%	(354)	3.53
Political Attitude							
Pan-Democrats*	72.4%	18.7%	8.6%	0.2%	100.0%	(398)	2.84
Middle-Neutral	40.0%	32.7%	27.0%	0.3%	100.0%	(243)	4.62
Pro-Establishment*	8.6%	24.4%	67.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(91)	6.53
No Orientation	36.5%	40.1%	22.6%	0.7%	100.0%	(216)	4.35
Monthly Household Income							
14,999 or Below	37.9%	35.1%	24.3%	2.7%	100.0%	(152)	4.30
15,000 - 24,999	50.9%	31.3%	17.8%	0.0%	100.0%	(181)	3.91
25,000 - 39,999	43.7%	34.3%	22.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(201)	4.22
40,000 - 59,999	54.4%	17.9%	27.3%	0.3%	100.0%	(194)	3.94
60,000 or Above	58.6%	19.6%	21.3%	0.4%	100.0%	(153)	3.77

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#]“No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 19: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?

	0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Trust	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean [#]
Gender							
M	47.1%	21.4%	30.3%	1.2%	100.0%	(482)	4.27
F	49.8%	26.8%	20.2%	3.2%	100.0%	(524)	3.85
Age							
15 - 24	74.5%	15.4%	9.7%	0.4%	100.0%	(140)	2.69
25 - 39	66.3%	19.6%	13.8%	0.4%	100.0%	(238)	3.18
40 - 59	34.9%	30.2%	33.3%	1.6%	100.0%	(381)	4.77
60 or Above	36.6%	24.6%	32.4%	6.3%	100.0%	(241)	4.66
Edu Level							
F.3 or Below	31.8%	31.4%	31.6%	5.2%	100.0%	(313)	4.78
F.4 – F.7	48.4%	25.3%	25.6%	0.7%	100.0%	(334)	4.11
Tertiary or Above	62.7%	17.2%	19.0%	1.2%	100.0%	(354)	3.42
Political Attitude							
Pan-Democrats*	71.5%	17.0%	10.4%	1.1%	100.0%	(398)	2.70
Middle-Neutral	39.9%	29.7%	29.4%	1.0%	100.0%	(243)	4.59
Pro-Establishment*	10.6%	20.4%	68.0%	1.1%	100.0%	(91)	6.68
No Orientation	33.4%	37.3%	25.1%	4.2%	100.0%	(216)	4.57
Monthly Household Income							
14,999 or Below	38.1%	27.4%	29.6%	4.9%	100.0%	(152)	4.42
15,000 - 24,999	49.0%	28.5%	22.2%	0.4%	100.0%	(181)	4.02
25,000 - 39,999	50.4%	29.1%	18.2%	2.3%	100.0%	(201)	3.88
40,000 - 59,999	52.5%	16.3%	30.2%	1.0%	100.0%	(194)	3.97
60,000 or Above	53.8%	17.0%	28.8%	0.4%	100.0%	(153)	3.93

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#] “No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 20: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic” , 10 being “extremely optimistic” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?

	0 - 4 Tend to Pessimistic	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Optimistic	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean [#]
Gender							
M	47.8%	27.7%	23.4%	1.2%	100.0%	(482)	4.24
F	43.6%	36.4%	19.1%	0.9%	100.0%	(524)	4.21
Age							
15 - 24	68.1%	12.6%	18.9%	0.5%	100.0%	(140)	3.81
25 - 39	59.7%	20.5%	19.8%	0.0%	100.0%	(238)	3.80
40 - 59	35.7%	42.3%	21.2%	0.8%	100.0%	(381)	4.49
60 or Above	33.3%	39.5%	24.4%	2.7%	100.0%	(241)	4.53
Edu Level							
F.3 or Below	29.9%	45.4%	22.0%	2.8%	100.0%	(313)	4.48
F.4 – F.7	43.4%	34.6%	21.5%	0.5%	100.0%	(334)	4.42
Tertiary or Above	61.1%	18.5%	20.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(354)	3.84
Political Attitude							
Pan-Democrats*	60.7%	25.1%	14.0%	0.2%	100.0%	(398)	3.69
Middle-Neutral	42.5%	35.7%	21.8%	0.0%	100.0%	(243)	4.41
Pro-Establishment*	19.3%	36.6%	42.9%	1.2%	100.0%	(91)	5.33
No Orientation	35.7%	43.1%	20.3%	0.9%	100.0%	(216)	4.40
Monthly Household Income							
14,999 or Below	29.2%	37.9%	28.6%	4.3%	100.0%	(152)	4.69
15,000 - 24,999	40.9%	39.3%	18.8%	1.0%	100.0%	(181)	4.44
25,000 - 39,999	45.0%	35.3%	19.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(201)	4.15
40,000 - 59,999	50.2%	26.3%	22.9%	0.6%	100.0%	(194)	4.18
60,000 or Above	53.2%	29.1%	17.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(153)	4.04

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#]“No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 21: Are you considering migration to overseas?

	Yes	No	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)
Gender					
M	22.2%	76.1%	1.7%	100.0%	(482)
F	20.4%	78.2%	1.5%	100.0%	(524)
Age					
15 - 24	25.6%	73.0%	1.4%	100.0%	(140)
25 - 39	35.2%	64.2%	0.6%	100.0%	(238)
40 - 59	19.9%	79.0%	1.0%	100.0%	(381)
60 or Above	6.9%	90.8%	2.3%	100.0%	(241)
Edu Level					
F.3 or Below	9.7%	88.5%	1.8%	100.0%	(313)
F.4 - F.7	18.3%	80.9%	0.8%	100.0%	(334)
Tertiary or Above	34.3%	64.5%	1.3%	100.0%	(354)
Political Attitude					
Pan-Democrats*	28.8%	69.8%	1.4%	100.0%	(398)
Middle-Neutral	20.7%	78.7%	0.6%	100.0%	(243)
Pro-Establishment*	10.6%	89.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(91)
No Orientation	13.1%	86.0%	0.9%	100.0%	(216)
Monthly Household Income					
14,999 or Below	8.9%	89.6%	1.6%	100.0%	(152)
15,000 - 24,999	13.0%	86.4%	0.6%	100.0%	(181)
25,000 - 39,999	22.4%	76.0%	1.6%	100.0%	(201)
40,000 - 59,999	29.9%	68.8%	1.2%	100.0%	(194)
60,000 or Above	35.0%	65.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(153)

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

-- End --