

Centre for Communication and Public Opinion Survey

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

---

**“Hong Kong Public Opinion &  
Political Development” Opinion Survey  
Second Round Survey Results**

(8-15.10.2014)

---

October 2014

## **Content**

<b><u>Content</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Survey Overview .....	2
Survey Results .....	3
Profile of Respondents .....	8
Cross-tables .....	11

### Survey Overview

<b>Survey Method</b>	Random Sampling was adopted for Telephone Interviews conducted by interviewers using CATI (Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview) system
<b>Data Collection Period</b>	8 – 10、13 - 15 October (Total 6 days)
<b>Target Respondents</b>	Hong Kong Citizens aged 15 or above speaking Cantonese
<b>Sampling Method</b>	All the phone numbers in the latest residential telephone directories were firstly transformed to 6-digit numbers by erasing the last 2 digits. After deleting repeated numbers, a hundred of 2-digit numbers from 00 to 99 were then appended to each number. A database of telephone numbers was finally created and telephone numbers were randomly selected from this database. This method could make the numbers unlisted on the directories may also have chance to be chosen. After successful contact with the household, if there were more than one member at home eligible for an interview, we would employ the “Next Birthday Rule” method to select the eligible member whose birthday comes soonest.
<b>Procedures for handling phone numbers</b>	After each dialing, if it was “no answer”, “busy” or “eligible unavailable” etc., computer system would arrange interviewer to try the number again at another day or time. The computer would stop trying that number if there was still no success after 4 attempts. However, if receiving two refusals, attempt will immediately be terminated.
<b>Sample Size</b>	802 Completed Cases
<b>Sampling Error</b>	Within $\pm 3.5\%$ (at 95% confidence level, which means we have 95% confidence that the sampling error will fall within this range.)
<b>Response Rate</b>	37%
<b>The following shows the status of all numbers attempted and the calculation of response rate:</b>	
Total Numbers Attempted	16043
<b>A. Total numbers with Confirmed Ineligibility for Interview (Ineligibles)</b>	<b>8614</b>
A1. Non-working number	7019
A2. Non-residence	578
A3. Fax / Modem / Pager	866
A4. No eligible Living in	151
<b>B. Total numbers with Unconfirmed Eligibility for Interview (Unknown)</b>	<b>6076</b>
B1. No answer (including answering machine)	2780
B2. Busy	571
B3. Password needed	17
B4. Language Problem	48
B5. Without confirming as a household before hanging up	2660
<b>C. Total numbers with Confirmed Eligibility for Interview (Eligibles)</b>	<b>1353</b>
C1. Refusal (including refusal in the middle of interview)	446
C2. Eligible unavailable in survey period	105
C3. <u>Completed</u>	<u>802</u>
<b>Calculation of response rate:</b>	
Completed / [Eligibles + Unknown x Eligibles / (Eligibles + Ineligibles)]	
= 802 / [1353 + 6076 x 1353 / (1353 + 8614)]	
= 0.3683 (37%)	

## Survey Results

*【In order to enable the sample to reflect more closely the characteristics of the Hong Kong population, all data in this survey **were weighted** against the gender-age-education distribution of those aged 15 or above released by the Census and Statistics Department. Due to the process of weighting and rounding off of decimal place, the sum of individual items in the tables may be different to the total.】*

**Table 1: Recently Hong Kong broke out an “Occupy Movement”, do you personally support the movement? Strongly support, quite support, so-so, quite not support, or strongly not support?**

	N	%
Strongly Support	150	18.6
Quite Support	154	19.2
So-so	186	23.2
Quite not Support	70	8.7
Strongly not Support	215	26.8
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	28	3.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 2: Regarding the use of tear gas by police to handle the Occupy Movement, do you think it is appropriate? Very appropriate, quite appropriate, so-so, quite inappropriate and Very Inappropriate**

	N	%
Very Appropriate	110	13.7
Quite Appropriate	68	8.4
So-so	140	17.5
Quite Inappropriate	124	15.4
Very Inappropriate	307	38.3
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	53	6.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 3: Regarding the way of police handling the conflict between the supporters and opponents of the Occupy Movement, do you think it is appropriate? Very appropriate, quite appropriate, so-so, quite inappropriate and very inappropriate?**

	N	%
Very Appropriate	98	12.2
Quite Appropriate	116	14.4
So-so	185	23.1
Quite Inappropriate	159	19.8
Very Inappropriate	180	22.5
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	64	8.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 4: Following the decision of the Standing Committee of the People's Congress, Hong Kong Government will propose a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election. If the proposed draft will forbid people having different political views from the Central Government to stand for the election, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?**

	N	%
Approve	289	36.1
Reject	389	48.5
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	124	15.4
Total	802	100.0

**Table 5: (Only those answered "Reject" in the previous question about universal suffrage on Chief Executive election have to answer this question) If Hong Kong Government proposes a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election in which the composition and formation method of the nomination committee is revised in order to reduce political vetting of the candidates, will you accept it? Strongly accept, quite accept, so-so, quite not accept or strongly not accept?**

	N	%	Valid %
Strongly Accept	21	2.7	5.5
Quite Accept	135	16.9	34.8
So-so	164	20.5	42.2
Quite not Accept	22	2.7	5.6
Strongly not Accept	27	3.4	7.0
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	19	2.4	5.0
Not Required to Answer this Question	413	51.5	Missing Value
Total	802	100.0	100.0

Valid Sample= 389

Missing Sample=413

**Table 6: (Only those answered "Reject" in the previous question about universal suffrage on Chief Executive election have to answer this question) If Hong Kong Government proposes a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election in which political vetting of the candidates still exists, but the central government pledges to continue to reform the election method of the Chief Executive, will you accept it? Strongly accept, quite accept, so-so, quite not accept or strongly not accept?**

	N	%	Valid %
Strongly Accept	15	1.9	3.9
Quite Accept	54	6.7	13.8
So-so	106	13.2	27.2
Quite not Accept	89	11.1	23.0
Strongly not Accept	117	14.5	30.0
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	9	1.1	2.2
Not Required to Answer this Question	413	51.5	Missing Value
Total	802	100.0	100.0

Valid Sample= 389

Missing Sample=413

**Table 7: How high is your trust in the Hong Kong police force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	N	%
0 No Trust at All	75	9.3
1	14	1.8
2	31	3.9
3	46	5.7
4	64	7.9
5 So-so	203	25.3
6	67	8.4
7	81	10.1
8	91	11.4
9	14	1.8
10 Total Trust	100	12.5
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	16	2.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Mean <sup>#</sup> (N) = 5.49 (786)		

<sup>#</sup>“Don't Know / Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 8: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	N	%
0 No Trust at All	111	13.9
1	47	5.8
2	71	8.9
3	88	11.0
4	67	8.3
5 So-so	190	23.7
6	57	7.2
7	46	5.7
8	61	7.6
9	7	0.9
10 Total Trust	38	4.7
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	19	2.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Mean <sup>#</sup> (N) = 4.17 (783)		

<sup>#</sup>“Don't Know / Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 9: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	N	%
0 No Trust at All	154	19.2
1	41	5.1
2	58	7.3
3	76	9.5
4	50	6.2
5 So-so	166	20.7
6	52	6.5
7	42	5.2
8	49	6.1
9	14	1.8
10 Total Trust	63	7.8
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	37	4.6
Total	802	100.0
Mean <sup>#</sup> (N) = 4.14 (765)		

<sup>#</sup>“Don't know / Refuse to answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 10: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic” , 10 being “extremely optimistic” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	N	%
0 Extremely pessimistic	77	9.6
1	18	2.3
2	27	3.4
3	95	11.9
4	85	10.6
5 So-so	271	33.8
6	96	12.0
7	57	7.1
8	38	4.8
9	3	0.4
10 Extremely Optimistic	27	3.3
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	8	1.0
Total	802	100.0
Mean <sup>#</sup> (N) = 4.57 (794)		

<sup>#</sup>“Don't know / Refuse to answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 11: Are you considering migration to overseas?**

	N	%
Yes	173	21.6
No	623	77.7
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	6	0.7
Total	802	100.0



**Profile of Respondents****Table 12: Gender**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
Male	388	48.4	385	48.0
Female	414	51.6	417	52.0
Total	802	100.0	802	100.0

**Table 13: Age**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
15 – 17	51	6.4	32	4.0
18 – 19	30	3.7	21	2.6
20 – 24	52	6.5	59	7.3
25 - 29	45	5.6	60	7.5
30 – 34	45	5.6	64	8.0
35 - 39	50	6.2	65	8.0
40 - 44	92	11.5	70	8.7
45 – 49	72	9.0	76	9.5
50 – 54	99	12.3	84	10.5
55 – 59	76	9.5	74	9.2
60 – 64	75	9.4	58	7.2
65 – 69	55	6.9	39	4.8
70 or Above	54	6.7	95	11.9
Refuse to Answer	6	0.7	6	0.8
Total	802	100.0	802	100.0

**Table 14: Educational Level**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
No Education / Kindergarten	9	1.1	32	4.1
Primary	63	7.9	106	13.2
Secondary (F.1 to F.3)	114	14.2	105	13.2
Secondary (F.4 to F.5)	222	27.7	216	26.9
Secondary (F.6 to F.7)	98	12.2	63	7.8
Post-secondary (non-degree)	69	8.6	68	8.5
Bachelor Degree	180	22.4	164	20.5
Graduate Studies(Master or above)	42	5.2	42	5.2
Refuse to Answer	5	0.6	6	0.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table15: Political Orientation**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
Radical democrats	23	2.9	25	3.1
Moderate democrats	288	35.9	267	33.3
Middle/neutral	218	27.2	217	27.1
Pro-establishment	39	4.9	38	4.8
Business-industrial	10	1.2	8	1.0
Pro-Beijing	29	3.6	30	3.7
No orientation / not belonging to any orientation	166	20.7	182	22.7
Don't Know/ Hard to say / Refuse to answer	29	3.6	35	4.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1006</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Question: You consider yourself leaning toward which political orientation? Radical democrats, moderate democrats, middle-neutral, pro-establishment, business-industrial, or pro-Beijing?

**Table 16: Monthly Household Income**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	N	%	N	%
\$9,999 or Below	86	10.7	111	13.8
\$10,000 - \$14,999	51	6.4	53	6.6
\$15,000 - \$19,999	48	6.0	47	5.9
\$20,000 - \$24,999	89	11.1	90	11.2
\$25,000 - \$29,999	47	5.9	45	5.6
\$30,000 - \$39,999	116	14.5	112	13.9
\$40,000 - \$49,999	80	10.0	79	9.9
\$50,000 - \$59,999	70	8.7	64	7.9
\$60,000 - \$99,999	88	11.0	77	9.6
\$100,000 or Above	36	4.5	30	3.8
Don't Know/Refuse to Answer	91	11.3	94	11.7
Total	802	100.0	802	100.0

**Cross-tables****Table 17: Recently Hong Kong broke out an “Occupy Movement”, do you personally support the movement? Strongly support, quite support, so-so, quite not support, or strongly not support?**

	Support	So-so	Not Support	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>						
M	38.7%	22.5%	34.8%	4.1%	100.0%	(385)
F	37.1%	23.9%	36.1%	2.9%	100.0%	(417)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	62.1%	30.1%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(112)
25 - 39	46.2%	26.6%	25.7%	1.4%	100.0%	(189)
40 - 59	28.4%	22.8%	44.7%	4.1%	100.0%	(303)
60 or Above	29.6%	16.8%	47.1%	6.5%	100.0%	(192)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F3 or Below	27.4%	20.1%	46.0%	6.5%	100.0%	(244)
F.4 – F.7	39.0%	25.1%	33.1%	2.8%	100.0%	(279)
Tertiary or Above	46.7%	24.2%	27.6%	1.5%	100.0%	(274)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	66.3%	20.0%	12.7%	1.0%	100.0%	(292)
Middle-neutral	26.6%	28.9%	39.3%	5.2%	100.0%	(217)
Pro-establishment*	1.4%	11.8%	86.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(77)
No orientation	21.2%	26.6%	45.4%	6.8%	100.0%	(182)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	31.3%	20.2%	44.5%	4.1%	100.0%	(164)
15,000 - 24,999	36.7%	27.2%	34.9%	1.2%	100.0%	(137)
25,000 - 39,999	43.7%	26.1%	29.7%	0.6%	100.0%	(157)
40,000 - 59,999	44.4%	22.0%	31.9%	1.7%	100.0%	(143)
60,000 or Above	35.4%	21.7%	40.1%	2.9%	100.0%	(108)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 18: Regarding the use of tear gas by police to handle the Occupy Movement, do you think it is appropriate? Very appropriate, quite appropriate, so-so, quite inappropriate and Very Inappropriate**

	Appropriate	So-so	Not Appropriate	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>						
M	23.7%	14.3%	56.1%	5.9%	100.0%	(385)
F	20.7%	20.4%	51.5%	7.4%	100.0%	(417)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	1.4%	15.9%	81.5%	1.1%	100.0%	(112)
25 - 39	15.5%	21.4%	60.1%	3.1%	100.0%	(189)
40 - 59	26.4%	18.1%	47.6%	7.9%	100.0%	(303)
60 or Above	34.8%	13.6%	40.2%	11.4%	100.0%	(192)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F3 or Below	32.4%	16.1%	40.1%	11.4%	100.0%	(244)
F.4 - F.7	20.5%	15.6%	58.9%	5.0%	100.0%	(279)
Tertiary or Above	14.4%	20.1%	61.4%	4.2%	100.0%	(274)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	9.0%	12.4%	77.8%	0.8%	100.0%	(292)
Middle-neutral	21.9%	23.5%	47.0%	7.6%	100.0%	(217)
Pro-establishment*	64.0%	18.9%	10.6%	6.5%	100.0%	(77)
No orientation	26.0%	17.6%	41.5%	14.9%	100.0%	(182)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	32.1%	15.0%	44.9%	8.0%	100.0%	(164)
15,000 - 24,999	17.6%	23.3%	58.4%	0.8%	100.0%	(137)
25,000 - 39,999	21.7%	19.2%	54.5%	4.7%	100.0%	(157)
40,000 - 59,999	17.9%	14.0%	64.0%	4.1%	100.0%	(143)
60,000 or Above	20.5%	21.4%	50.0%	8.1%	100.0%	(108)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 19: Regarding the way of police handling the conflict between the supporters and opponents of the Occupy Movement, do you think it is appropriate? Very appropriate, quite appropriate, so-so, quite inappropriate and very inappropriate?**

	Appropriate	So-so	Not Appropriate	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)
<b>Gender</b>						
M	27.7%	24.7%	41.6%	6.0%	100.0%	(385)
F	25.7%	21.7%	42.9%	9.8%	100.0%	(417)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	2.3%	22.5%	72.4%	2.9%	100.0%	(112)
25 - 39	19.0%	25.6%	49.7%	5.7%	100.0%	(189)
40 - 59	32.0%	23.8%	35.6%	8.6%	100.0%	(303)
60 or Above	40.5%	19.4%	27.8%	12.4%	100.0%	(192)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F.3 or Below	35.4%	21.5%	30.6%	12.5%	100.0%	(244)
F.4 - F.7	22.3%	25.3%	44.1%	8.3%	100.0%	(279)
Tertiary or Above	22.5%	22.4%	51.3%	3.8%	100.0%	(274)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	11.8%	20.9%	65.6%	1.7%	100.0%	(292)
Middle-neutral	28.0%	30.5%	34.1%	7.4%	100.0%	(217)
Pro-establishment*	80.1%	8.3%	10.0%	1.6%	100.0%	(77)
No orientation	28.3%	25.9%	26.9%	18.8%	100.0%	(182)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	35.6%	18.6%	32.2%	13.5%	100.0%	(164)
15,000 - 24,999	22.0%	26.2%	46.5%	5.3%	100.0%	(137)
25,000 - 39,999	22.8%	25.2%	47.0%	4.9%	100.0%	(157)
40,000 - 59,999	23.5%	26.1%	46.9%	3.5%	100.0%	(143)
60,000 or Above	32.3%	24.1%	38.7%	4.9%	100.0%	(108)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 20: Following the decision of the Standing Committee of the People's Congress, Hong Kong Government will propose a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election. If the proposed draft will forbid people having different political views from the Central Government to stand for the election, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?**

	Approve	Reject	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>					
M	40.5%	49.9%	9.7%	100.0%	(385)
F	32.0%	47.2%	20.8%	100.0%	(417)
<b>Age</b>					
15 - 24	21.6%	71.7%	6.7%	100.0%	(112)
25 - 39	31.2%	58.5%	10.3%	100.0%	(189)
40 - 59	42.0%	44.8%	13.1%	100.0%	(303)
60 or Above	40.5%	31.1%	28.4%	100.0%	(192)
<b>Edu Level</b>					
F3 or Below	42.2%	29.2%	28.6%	100.0%	(244)
F4 - F.7	35.8%	54.4%	9.8%	100.0%	(279)
Tertiary or Above	30.8%	59.9%	9.2%	100.0%	(274)
<b>Political Orientation</b>					
Pan-democrats*	21.1%	73.4%	5.5%	100.0%	(292)
Middle-neutral	40.0%	47.5%	12.5%	100.0%	(217)
Pro-establishment*	77.5%	11.6%	10.9%	100.0%	(77)
No orientation	40.8%	29.6%	29.7%	100.0%	(182)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>					
14,999 or Below	38.8%	35.6%	25.6%	100.0%	(164)
15,000 - 24,999	36.9%	51.7%	11.4%	100.0%	(137)
25,000 - 39,999	35.6%	54.2%	10.2%	100.0%	(157)
40,000 - 59,999	36.5%	57.6%	5.9%	100.0%	(143)
60,000 or Above	41.1%	52.4%	6.5%	100.0%	(108)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 21: (Only those answered “Reject” in the previous question about universal suffrage on Chief Executive election have to answer this question) If Hong Kong Government proposes a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election in which the composition and formation method of the nomination committee is revised in order to reduce political vetting of the candidates, will you accept it? Strongly accept, quite accept, so-so, quite not accept or strongly not accept?**

	Accept	So-so	Not Accept	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>						
M	41.0%	38.9%	13.6%	6.5%	100.0%	(192)
F	39.5%	45.5%	11.6%	3.5%	100.0%	(197)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	46.9%	39.8%	10.3%	3.0%	100.0%	(80)
25 - 39	30.4%	48.5%	16.7%	4.4%	100.0%	(111)
40 - 59	44.9%	41.2%	9.1%	4.7%	100.0%	(136)
60 or Above	37.5%	38.2%	14.9%	9.3%	100.0%	(60)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F3 or Below	42.7%	35.5%	16.4%	5.3%	100.0%	(71)
F.4 – F.7	39.9%	41.5%	13.3%	5.3%	100.0%	(152)
Tertiary or Above	39.3%	45.8%	10.3%	4.5%	100.0%	(164)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	40.8%	41.9%	12.2%	5.2%	100.0%	(214)
Middle-neutral	49.4%	38.2%	10.4%	2.0%	100.0%	(103)
Pro-establishment*	26.1%	47.2%	26.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(9)
No orientation	26.1%	47.6%	16.8%	9.5%	100.0%	(54)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	39.4%	35.9%	14.8%	9.9%	100.0%	(58)
15,000 - 24,999	42.0%	49.0%	4.4%	4.6%	100.0%	(71)
25,000 - 39,999	30.2%	46.4%	16.1%	7.2%	100.0%	(85)
40,000 - 59,999	39.5%	43.4%	16.3%	0.8%	100.0%	(82)
60,000 or Above	44.3%	40.7%	9.7%	5.2%	100.0%	(56)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.



**Table 22: (Only those answered “Reject” in the previous question about universal suffrage on Chief Executive election have to answer this question) If Hong Kong Government proposes a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election in which political vetting of the candidates still exists, but the central government pledges to continue to reform the election method of the Chief Executive, will you accept it? Strongly accept, quite accept, so-so, quite not accept or strongly not accept?**

	Accept	So-so	Not Accept	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>						
M	17.1%	21.0%	59.1%	2.8%	100.0%	(192)
F	18.2%	33.2%	47.0%	1.7%	100.0%	(197)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	15.8%	23.0%	60.4%	0.8%	100.0%	(80)
25 - 39	16.7%	27.5%	55.8%	0.0%	100.0%	(111)
40 - 59	21.9%	27.8%	45.7%	4.6%	100.0%	(136)
60 or Above	12.9%	30.5%	53.7%	3.0%	100.0%	(60)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F3 or Below	15.9%	39.4%	39.1%	5.6%	100.0%	(71)
F.4 – F.7	20.5%	22.4%	55.1%	2.0%	100.0%	(152)
Tertiary or Above	16.0%	25.5%	57.6%	1.0%	100.0%	(164)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	12.3%	23.6%	62.1%	1.9%	100.0%	(214)
Middle-neutral	25.6%	28.6%	45.0%	0.8%	100.0%	(103)
Pro-establishment*	55.3%	17.6%	27.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(9)
No orientation	17.7%	32.7%	44.6%	5.0%	100.0%	(54)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	19.8%	35.3%	38.0%	7.0%	100.0%	(58)
15,000 - 24,999	20.4%	18.2%	60.8%	0.7%	100.0%	(71)
25,000 - 39,999	16.8%	28.0%	53.1%	2.0%	100.0%	(85)
40,000 - 59,999	10.3%	31.0%	58.1%	0.6%	100.0%	(82)
60,000 or Above	22.8%	18.7%	55.1%	3.3%	100.0%	(56)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 23: How high is your trust in the Hong Kong police force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Trust	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean <sup>#</sup>
<b>Gender</b>							
M	32.3%	22.0%	43.7%	2.0%	100.0%	(385)	5.41
F	25.2%	28.4%	44.4%	1.9%	100.0%	(417)	5.55
<b>Age</b>							
15 - 24	51.9%	19.3%	28.0%	0.7%	100.0%	(112)	4.13
25 - 39	36.0%	23.3%	40.1%	0.7%	100.0%	(189)	5.11
40 - 59	22.8%	24.9%	51.7%	0.6%	100.0%	(303)	5.76
60 or Above	16.3%	31.4%	46.1%	6.2%	100.0%	(192)	6.31
<b>Edu Level</b>							
F.3 or Below	21.2%	32.0%	42.2%	4.7%	100.0%	(244)	5.72
F.4 – F.7	29.6%	24.8%	44.5%	1.1%	100.0%	(279)	5.41
Tertiary or Above	34.8%	20.1%	44.7%	0.5%	100.0%	(274)	5.31
<b>Political Orientation</b>							
Pan-democrats*	49.1%	22.5%	28.0%	0.4%	100.0%	(292)	4.33
Middle-neutral	23.2%	26.5%	49.2%	1.0%	100.0%	(217)	5.79
Pro-establishment*	1.5%	13.5%	85.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(77)	8.21
No orientation	17.0%	28.6%	49.5%	4.9%	100.0%	(182)	5.87
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>							
14,999 or Below	21.9%	27.2%	43.2%	7.8%	100.0%	(164)	6.07
15,000 - 24,999	33.1%	33.5%	33.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(137)	4.82
25,000 - 39,999	34.5%	16.4%	48.5%	0.6%	100.0%	(157)	5.51
40,000 - 59,999	27.9%	27.6%	43.7%	0.9%	100.0%	(143)	5.38
60,000 or Above	25.5%	17.1%	57.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(108)	5.96

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

# “No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 24: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Trust	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean <sup>#</sup>
<b>Gender</b>							
M	51.1%	19.7%	26.9%	2.3%	100.0%	(385)	4.09
F	45.0%	27.4%	25.3%	2.3%	100.0%	(417)	4.24
<b>Age</b>							
15 - 24	72.1%	14.4%	12.8%	0.7%	100.0%	(112)	3.12
25 - 39	63.7%	17.3%	19.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(189)	3.48
40 - 59	39.2%	28.5%	32.0%	0.3%	100.0%	(303)	4.59
60 or Above	31.8%	27.8%	32.2%	8.2%	100.0%	(192)	4.90
<b>Edu Level</b>							
F.3 or Below	32.8%	36.6%	23.7%	6.9%	100.0%	(244)	4.64
F.4 – F.7	52.3%	19.0%	28.4%	0.3%	100.0%	(279)	4.05
Tertiary or Above	57.8%	17.2%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(274)	3.86
<b>Political Orientation</b>							
Pan-democrats*	71.1%	15.0%	13.9%	0.0%	100.0%	(292)	2.96
Middle-neutral	44.1%	24.5%	28.9%	2.5%	100.0%	(217)	4.41
Pro-establishment*	4.2%	24.1%	71.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(77)	7.18
No orientation	35.9%	34.9%	25.0%	4.2%	100.0%	(182)	4.54
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>							
14,999 or Below	36.7%	29.4%	27.6%	6.3%	100.0%	(164)	4.67
15,000 - 24,999	47.7%	30.0%	22.3%	0.0%	100.0%	(137)	4.04
25,000 - 39,999	49.5%	21.9%	27.9%	0.6%	100.0%	(157)	4.06
40,000 - 59,999	57.3%	15.7%	27.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(143)	3.96
60,000 or Above	51.6%	22.3%	26.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(108)	4.13

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

# “No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 25: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Trust	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean <sup>#</sup>
<b>Gender</b>							
M	46.4%	17.8%	31.6%	4.3%	100.0%	(385)	4.39
F	48.1%	23.4%	23.7%	4.9%	100.0%	(417)	3.92
<b>Age</b>							
15 - 24	79.5%	11.7%	7.5%	1.3%	100.0%	(112)	2.64
25 - 39	62.8%	16.8%	19.8%	0.7%	100.0%	(189)	3.28
40 - 59	35.4%	28.3%	33.6%	2.7%	100.0%	(303)	4.75
60 or Above	31.6%	18.4%	37.5%	12.5%	100.0%	(192)	5.09
<b>Edu Level</b>							
F.3 or Below	33.3%	24.7%	32.1%	9.8%	100.0%	(244)	4.83
F.4 – F.7	50.6%	21.0%	26.2%	2.2%	100.0%	(279)	3.97
Tertiary or Above	57.2%	16.9%	24.2%	1.7%	100.0%	(274)	3.72
<b>Political Orientation</b>							
Pan-democrats*	69.8%	17.3%	12.2%	0.6%	100.0%	(292)	2.70
Middle-neutral	48.3%	20.5%	28.1%	3.1%	100.0%	(217)	4.26
Pro-establishment*	3.8%	14.1%	79.8%	2.2%	100.0%	(77)	7.68
No orientation	32.0%	27.0%	31.2%	9.8%	100.0%	(182)	4.88
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>							
14,999 or Below	34.6%	22.9%	32.8%	9.7%	100.0%	(164)	4.84
15,000 - 24,999	51.4%	26.1%	21.4%	1.2%	100.0%	(137)	3.86
25,000 - 39,999	50.7%	19.3%	27.3%	2.7%	100.0%	(157)	3.87
40,000 - 59,999	55.8%	15.7%	27.3%	1.3%	100.0%	(143)	3.89
60,000 or Above	49.4%	23.5%	25.9%	1.2%	100.0%	(108)	4.13

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

<sup>#</sup>“No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 26: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic” , 10 being “extremely optimistic” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	0 - 4 Tend to Pessimistic	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Optimistic	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean <sup>#</sup>
<b>Gender</b>							
M	40.3%	30.3%	28.6%	0.8%	100.0%	(385)	4.52
F	35.2%	37.0%	26.6%	1.1%	100.0%	(417)	4.61
<b>Age</b>							
15 - 24	51.7%	16.6%	31.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(112)	4.56
25 - 39	52.3%	21.7%	26.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(189)	4.15
40 - 59	29.0%	39.4%	31.1%	0.5%	100.0%	(303)	4.93
60 or Above	29.6%	46.3%	21.3%	2.8%	100.0%	(192)	4.41
<b>Edu Level</b>							
F.3 or Below	22.9%	52.1%	22.8%	2.2%	100.0%	(244)	4.68
F.4 - F.7	41.4%	28.5%	29.5%	0.6%	100.0%	(279)	4.47
Tertiary or Above	47.8%	23.2%	28.7%	0.3%	100.0%	(274)	4.54
<b>Political Orientation</b>							
Pan-democrats*	50.2%	25.1%	24.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(292)	4.15
Middle-neutral	35.8%	35.8%	27.6%	0.8%	100.0%	(217)	4.75
Pro-establishment*	14.9%	37.1%	46.3%	1.7%	100.0%	(77)	5.94
No orientation	30.4%	43.5%	25.0%	1.1%	100.0%	(182)	4.58
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>							
14,999 or Below	33.2%	38.4%	25.1%	3.3%	100.0%	(164)	4.39
15,000 - 24,999	36.3%	34.9%	28.1%	0.7%	100.0%	(137)	4.81
25,000 - 39,999	37.9%	33.6%	28.1%	0.5%	100.0%	(157)	4.54
40,000 - 59,999	43.3%	29.4%	27.3%	0.0%	100.0%	(143)	4.47
60,000 or Above	44.8%	22.6%	32.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(108)	4.80

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

<sup>#</sup> “No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 27: Are you considering migration to overseas?**

	Yes	No	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)
<b>Gender</b>					
M	22.6%	76.3%	1.1%	100.0%	(385)
F	20.6%	79.0%	0.4%	100.0%	(417)
<b>Age</b>					
15 - 24	27.4%	71.8%	0.8%	100.0%	(112)
25 - 39	36.3%	63.1%	0.6%	100.0%	(189)
40 - 59	19.5%	80.3%	0.2%	100.0%	(303)
60 or Above	7.6%	91.8%	0.6%	100.0%	(192)
<b>Edu Level</b>					
F.3 or Below	8.6%	91.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(244)
F.4 - F.7	20.4%	79.0%	0.6%	100.0%	(279)
Tertiary or Above	34.7%	64.6%	0.7%	100.0%	(274)
<b>Political Orientation</b>					
Pan-democrats*	30.8%	68.3%	0.9%	100.0%	(292)
Middle-neutral	21.5%	78.5%	0.0%	100.0%	(217)
Pro-establishment*	6.0%	93.2%	0.8%	100.0%	(77)
No orientation	15.4%	84.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(182)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>					
14,999 or Below	7.6%	92.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(164)
15,000 - 24,999	20.9%	79.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(137)
25,000 - 39,999	25.4%	74.0%	0.6%	100.0%	(157)
40,000 - 59,999	29.5%	69.4%	1.2%	100.0%	(143)
60,000 or Above	34.5%	65.5%	0.0%	100.0%	(108)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

-- End --