

Centre for Communication and Public Opinion Survey

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

---

**“Hong Kong Public Opinion &  
Political Development” Opinion Survey**

**Third Round Survey Results**

(5-11.11.2014)

---

November 2014

## **Content**

<b><u>Content</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Survey Overview .....	2
Survey Results .....	3
Profile of Respondents .....	7
Cross-tables .....	10

### Survey Overview

<b>Survey Method</b>	Random Sampling was adopted for Telephone Interviews conducted by interviewers using CATI (Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview) system
<b>Data Collection Period</b>	5 – 7 · 9 - 11 November (Total 6 days)
<b>Target Respondents</b>	Hong Kong Citizens aged 15 or above speaking Cantonese
<b>Sampling Method</b>	All the phone numbers in the latest residential telephone directories were firstly transformed to 6-digit numbers by erasing the last 2 digits. After deleting repeated numbers, a hundred of 2-digit numbers from 00 to 99 were then appended to each number. A database of telephone numbers was finally created and telephone numbers were randomly selected from this database. This method could make the numbers unlisted on the directories may also have chance to be chosen. After successful contact with the household, if there were more than one member at home eligible for an interview, we would employ the “Next Birthday Rule” method to select the eligible member whose birthday comes soonest.
<b>Procedures for handling phone numbers</b>	After each dialing, if it was “no answer”, “busy” or “eligible unavailable” etc., computer system would arrange interviewer to try the number again at another day or time. The computer would stop trying that number if there was still no success after 4 attempts. However, if receiving two refusals, attempt will immediately be terminated.
<b>Sample Size</b>	1030 Completed Cases
<b>Sampling Error</b>	Within $\pm 3.1\%$ (at 95% confidence level, which means we have 95% confidence that the sampling error will fall within this range.)
<b>Response Rate</b>	42%
<b>The following shows the status of all numbers attempted and the calculation of response rate:</b>	
Total Numbers Attempted	16591
<b>A. Total numbers with Confirmed Ineligibility for Interview (Ineligibles)</b>	<b>8842</b>
A1. Non-working number	7234
A2. Non-residence	574
A3. Fax / Modem / Pager	862
A4. No eligible Living in	172
<b>B. Total numbers with Unconfirmed Eligibility for Interview (Unknown)</b>	<b>6215</b>
B1. No answer (including answering machine)	2337
B2. Busy	895
B3. Password needed	12
B4. Language Problem	38
B5. Without confirming as a household before hanging up	2933
<b>C. Total numbers with Confirmed Eligibility for Interview (Eligibles)</b>	<b>1534</b>
C1. Refusal (including refusal in the middle of interview)	386
C2. Eligible unavailable in survey period	118
C3. <u>Completed</u>	<u>1030</u>
<b>Calculation of response rate:</b>	
Completed / [Eligibles + Unknown x Eligibles / (Eligibles + Ineligibles)]	
= 1030 / [1534 + 6215 x 1534 / (1534 + 8842)]	
= 0.4199 (42%)	

## Survey Results

*【In order to enable the sample to reflect more closely the characteristics of the Hong Kong population, all data in this survey **were weighted** against the gender-age-education distribution of those aged 15 or above released by the Census and Statistics Department. Due to the process of weighting and rounding off of decimal place, the sum of individual items in the tables may be different to the total. 】*

**Table 1: Recently Hong Kong broke out an “Occupy Movement”, do you personally support the movement? Strongly support, quite support, so-so, quite not support, or strongly not support?**

	Frequency	%
Strongly Support	177	17.2
Quite Support	172	16.7
So-so	201	19.5
Quite not Support	83	8.1
Strongly not Support	365	35.4
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	32	3.1
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 2: Do you think the protestors should evacuate all their occupied areas now? Exceedingly should, should, so-so, should not, or exceedingly shouldn't?**

	Frequency	%
Exceedingly should	504	48.9
Should	190	18.5
So-so	168	16.3
Should not	73	7.1
Exceedingly Shouldn't	71	6.8
No Opinion / Refuse to answer	24	2.4
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 3: In the previous talk with the HK Federation of Students, the government asserted that the proposed draft for Chief Executive election in 2017 is not final; they will try to find room for improvement in the draft under the framework laid down by the PRC People’s Congress; write a new situation report to Beijing, and set up a multilateral platform to discuss political reforms further after 2017. Do you think the government’s responses are adequate or not?**

	Frequency	%
Very adequate	155	15.0
Quite adequate	150	14.5
So-so	249	24.2
Quite inadequate	178	17.2
Very inadequate	232	22.5
No Opinion / Refuse to answer	66	6.5
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 4: Do you think the government should make more concrete concessions to resolve the existing situation?**

	Frequency	%
Need	536	52.1
No need	394	38.3
No Opinion / Refuse to answer	99	9.7
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 5: Overall, are you satisfied with the government’s performance in handling of the Occupy Movement? Very satisfied, quite satisfied, so-so, quite dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?**

	Frequency	%
Very satisfied	77	7.5
Quite satisfied	140	13.6
So-so	290	28.1
Quite dissatisfied	202	19.7
Very dissatisfied	297	28.8
No opinion/Refuse to answer	23	2.3
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 6: Following the decision of the Standing Committee of the People’s Congress, Hong Kong Government will propose a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election. If the proposed draft will forbid people having different political views from the Central Government to stand for the election, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?**

	Frequency	%
Approve	372	36.1
Reject	481	46.7
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	177	17.2
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 7: If the Hong Kong government continues to follow the decision of the Standing Committee of the People’s Congress, but the proposed draft abolishes the corporate votes and board of director votes, such that all chief executive nomination committee members would be elected through individual votes from the four sectors, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?**

	Frequency	%
Approve	468	45.4
Reject	360	35.0
No Opinion / Refuse to Answer	202	19.6
Total	1030	100.0

**Table 8: How high is your trust in the Hong Kong police force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	Frequency	%
0 No Trust at All	39	3.8
1	20	1.9
2	32	3.1
3	61	5.9
4	54	5.3
5 So-so	235	22.8
6	88	8.5
7	116	11.3
8	152	14.7
9	46	4.4
10 Total Trust	172	16.7
Don’t Know / Refuse to Answer	16	1.6
Total	1030	100.0
Mean <sup>#</sup> (N) = 6.25 (1014)		

<sup>#</sup>“Don’t Know / Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 9: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic”, 10 being “extremely optimistic”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?**

	Frequency	%
0 Extremely pessimistic	75	7.3
1	13	1.3
2	35	3.4
3	108	10.5
4	119	11.5
5 So-so	385	37.4
6	80	7.7
7	85	8.3
8	49	4.8
9	13	1.3
10 Extremely Optimistic	43	4.2
Don't Know / Refuse to Answer	24	2.3
Total	1030	100.0
Mean <sup>#</sup> (N) = 4.80 (1006)		

<sup>#</sup>“Don't know / Refuse to answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

## Profile of Respondents

**Table 10: Gender**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
Male	499	48.4	492	47.7
Female	531	51.6	538	52.3
Total	1030	100.0	1030	100.0

**Table 11: Age**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
15 – 17	52	5.0	41	4.0
18 – 19	33	3.2	27	2.6
20 – 24	49	4.8	75	7.3
25 - 29	49	4.8	77	7.5
30 – 34	52	5.0	83	8.1
35 - 39	75	7.3	83	8.1
40 - 44	103	10.0	90	8.7
45 – 49	96	9.3	98	9.5
50 – 54	160	15.5	108	10.5
55 – 59	85	8.3	95	9.2
60 – 64	111	10.8	74	7.2
65 - 69	62	6.0	50	4.8
70 or Above	95	9.2	122	11.9
Refuse to Answer	8	0.8	7	0.7
Total	1030	100.0	1030	100.0



**Table 12: Educational Level**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
No Education / Kindergarten	13	1.3	39	3.8
Primary	95	9.2	127	12.3
Secondary (F.1 to F.3)	135	13.1	131	12.7
Secondary (F.4 to F.5)	259	25.1	269	26.1
Secondary (F.6 to F.7)	134	13.0	81	7.9
Post-secondary (non-degree)	93	9.0	96	9.3
Bachelor Degree	230	22.3	225	21.8
Graduate Studies(Master or above)	66	6.4	56	5.5
Refuse to Answer	5	0.5	6	0.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 13: Political Orientation**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
Radical democrats	22	2.1	22	2.1
Moderate democrats	288	28.0	289	28.0
Middle/neutral	319	31.0	310	30.0
Pro-establishment	60	5.8	56	5.4
Business-industrial	9	0.9	9	0.9
Pro-Beijing	19	1.8	19	1.8
No orientation / not belonging to any orientation	265	25.7	270	26.2
Don't Know/ Hard to say / Refuse to answer	48	4.7	56	5.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Question: You consider yourself leaning toward which political orientation? Radical democrats, moderate democrats, middle-neutral, pro-establishment, business-industrial, or pro-Beijing?

**Table 14: Monthly Household Income**

	<i>Before weighting</i>		<i>Weighted</i>	
	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>%</b>
\$9,999 or Below	87	8.4	104	10.1
\$10,000 - \$14,999	72	7.0	70	6.8
\$15,000 - \$19,999	60	5.8	60	5.9
\$20,000 - \$24,999	103	10.0	106	10.3
\$25,000 - \$29,999	44	4.3	44	4.3
\$30,000 - \$39,999	134	13.0	148	14.3
\$40,000 - \$49,999	115	11.2	114	11.1
\$50,000 - \$59,999	106	10.3	101	9.8
\$60,000 - \$99,999	102	9.9	98	9.5
\$100,000 or Above	97	9.4	85	8.3
Don't Know/Refuse to Answer	110	10.7	101	9.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Cross-tables****Table 15: Recently Hong Kong broke out an “Occupy Movement”, do you personally support the movement? Strongly support, quite support, so-so, quite not support, or strongly not support?**

	Support	So-so	Not Support	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>						
M	37.5%	20.1%	40.2%	2.2%	100.0%	(492)
F	30.6%	18.9%	46.5%	4.0%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	67.7%	24.3%	7.6%	0.3%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	36.9%	22.8%	36.5%	3.8%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	27.6%	17.7%	53.4%	1.3%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	22.1%	16.3%	54.7%	6.9%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F3 or Below	21.0%	17.5%	55.8%	5.7%	100.0%	(297)
F.4 – F.7	32.6%	21.9%	43.8%	1.7%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	45.8%	18.9%	32.9%	2.5%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	68.7%	19.9%	10.2%	1.2%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	25.9%	24.1%	48.1%	1.9%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	0.9%	7.0%	92.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	18.7%	18.4%	56.3%	6.6%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	29.5%	19.1%	44.3%	7.1%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	31.6%	24.0%	40.0%	4.5%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	34.1%	25.5%	39.7%	0.7%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	40.4%	16.6%	41.4%	1.6%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	38.2%	12.7%	48.3%	0.9%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 16: Do you think the protestors should evacuate all their occupied areas now? Exceedingly should, should, so-so, should not, or exceedingly shouldn't?**

	Should	So-so	Should Not	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>						
M	63.1%	17.2%	17.6%	2.1%	100.0%	(492)
F	71.3%	15.6%	10.5%	2.6%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	21.5%	37.9%	37.8%	2.8%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	59.7%	19.7%	18.0%	2.6%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	79.1%	12.2%	7.6%	1.1%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	83.3%	7.4%	6.5%	2.9%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F3 or Below	83.2%	6.4%	8.5%	1.9%	100.0%	(297)
F.4 - F.7	68.3%	16.2%	14.0%	1.5%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	53.9%	24.5%	18.3%	3.3%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	39.9%	29.1%	29.6%	1.5%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	73.3%	17.5%	7.8%	1.3%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	96.1%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	80.4%	8.1%	7.8%	3.7%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	71.2%	14.5%	10.8%	3.5%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	64.3%	13.8%	19.0%	2.9%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	65.4%	19.9%	14.3%	0.4%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	66.4%	16.3%	15.8%	1.5%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	67.7%	18.2%	14.0%	0.0%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 17: In the previous talk with the HK Federation of Students, the government asserted that the proposed draft for Chief Executive election in 2017 is not final; they will try to find room for improvement in the draft under the framework laid down by the PRC People's Congress; write a new situation report to Beijing, and set up a multilateral platform to discuss political reforms further after 2017. Do you think the government's responses are adequate or not?**

	Adequate	So-so	No Adequate	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Gender</b>						
M	31.0%	22.9%	42.9%	3.1%	100.0%	(492)
F	28.2%	25.4%	36.9%	9.5%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	4.4%	20.8%	74.8%	0.0%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	25.5%	26.5%	46.0%	2.0%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	38.3%	27.8%	29.7%	4.2%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	33.8%	18.9%	30.1%	17.2%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F.3 or Below	33.7%	23.7%	26.8%	15.8%	100.0%	(297)
F.4 - F.7	31.2%	28.8%	37.2%	2.7%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	24.2%	20.6%	53.0%	2.1%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	7.3%	18.1%	73.3%	1.3%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	34.0%	32.7%	30.7%	2.7%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	75.3%	20.4%	3.4%	0.9%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	34.5%	25.0%	28.1%	12.5%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	27.1%	19.2%	38.2%	15.6%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	27.4%	25.7%	42.7%	4.3%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	25.4%	31.9%	39.0%	3.7%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	31.4%	23.7%	43.7%	1.3%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	34.5%	24.2%	40.7%	0.6%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 18: Do you think the government should make more concrete concessions to resolve the existing situation?**

	Need	Need Not	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Gender</b>					
M	54.0%	38.1%	8.0%	100.0%	(492)
F	50.4%	38.4%	11.2%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>					
15 - 24	87.3%	9.7%	3.0%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	62.8%	32.7%	4.4%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	40.1%	50.7%	9.2%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	41.4%	39.9%	18.7%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>					
F.3 or Below	36.2%	44.4%	19.4%	100.0%	(297)
F.4 - F.7	55.5%	40.7%	3.8%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	61.8%	31.0%	7.3%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>					
Pan-democrats*	86.0%	10.1%	4.0%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	49.5%	44.7%	5.8%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	8.9%	89.0%	2.1%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	34.1%	47.5%	18.4%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>					
14,999 or Below	48.5%	34.9%	16.6%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	47.2%	43.8%	9.0%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	61.3%	32.2%	6.5%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	60.0%	37.9%	2.1%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	51.7%	45.3%	3.0%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 19: Overall, are you satisfied with the government's performance in handling of the Occupy Movement? Very satisfied, quite satisfied, so-so, quite dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?**

	Satisfied	So-so	Not Satisfied	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Gender</b>						
M	22.2%	24.1%	51.4%	2.4%	100.0%	(492)
F	20.2%	31.8%	45.8%	2.2%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>						
15 - 24	3.8%	15.5%	80.7%	0.0%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	12.1%	33.3%	53.3%	1.3%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	27.7%	30.4%	40.6%	1.3%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	29.0%	27.2%	38.0%	5.8%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>						
F.3 or Below	29.0%	30.4%	35.8%	4.8%	100.0%	(297)
F.4 - F.7	21.4%	30.9%	46.6%	1.1%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	14.0%	23.9%	60.6%	1.4%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>						
Pan-democrats*	5.1%	16.5%	77.9%	0.4%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	20.1%	36.4%	43.2%	0.3%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	51.6%	28.2%	20.2%	0.0%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	26.5%	34.2%	34.6%	4.8%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>						
14,999 or Below	28.0%	26.0%	41.0%	5.0%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	19.1%	33.4%	46.1%	1.4%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	19.0%	27.3%	53.2%	0.5%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	17.9%	28.5%	53.1%	0.5%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	21.4%	27.9%	50.2%	0.6%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 20: Following the decision of the Standing Committee of the People's Congress, Hong Kong Government will propose a draft for the 2017 one-person one-vote Chief Executive election. If the proposed draft will forbid people having different political views from the Central Government to stand for the election, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?**

	Approve	Reject	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Sex</b>					
M	37.5%	51.4%	11.0%	100.0%	(492)
F	34.8%	42.3%	22.8%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>					
15 - 24	16.2%	78.7%	5.2%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	35.2%	52.2%	12.7%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	44.0%	41.0%	15.1%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	36.2%	32.0%	31.7%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>					
F3 or Below	38.0%	31.2%	30.8%	100.0%	(297)
F4 - F.7	36.2%	50.5%	13.3%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	34.6%	55.5%	9.9%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>					
Pan-democrats*	14.4%	78.6%	6.9%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	47.1%	41.7%	11.3%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	73.5%	14.9%	11.7%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	39.1%	32.1%	28.8%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>					
14,999 or Below	29.5%	37.2%	33.3%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	36.3%	49.2%	14.5%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	34.0%	52.6%	13.4%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	40.3%	50.6%	9.2%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	42.0%	50.1%	7.9%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.



**Table 21: If the Hong Kong government continues to follow the decision of the Standing Committee of the People's Congress, but the proposed draft abolishes the corporate votes and board of director votes, such that all chief executive nomination committee members would be elected through individual votes from the four sectors, do you think the Legislative Council at that time should approve the draft or reject it?**

	Approve	Reject	No Views / Refuse	Total	(N)
<b>Gender</b>					
M	48.8%	38.3%	12.8%	100.0%	(492)
F	42.4%	31.9%	25.7%	100.0%	(538)
<b>Age</b>					
15 - 24	38.1%	58.6%	3.4%	100.0%	(144)
25 - 39	45.9%	39.1%	15.0%	100.0%	(243)
40 - 59	51.4%	31.6%	16.9%	100.0%	(390)
60 or Above	39.9%	23.0%	37.1%	100.0%	(246)
<b>Edu Level</b>					
F.3 or Below	43.4%	22.6%	33.9%	100.0%	(297)
F.4 - F.7	46.3%	38.0%	15.6%	100.0%	(350)
Tertiary or Above	45.9%	42.4%	11.7%	100.0%	(377)
<b>Political Orientation</b>					
Pan-democrats*	36.3%	53.4%	10.4%	100.0%	(311)
Middle-neutral	51.3%	35.7%	13.0%	100.0%	(310)
Pro-establishment*	71.9%	13.0%	15.1%	100.0%	(83)
No orientation	43.6%	23.6%	32.8%	100.0%	(270)
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>					
14,999 or Below	37.6%	27.3%	35.1%	100.0%	(174)
15,000 - 24,999	43.4%	36.1%	20.5%	100.0%	(166)
25,000 - 39,999	43.8%	41.4%	14.8%	100.0%	(192)
40,000 - 59,999	49.4%	39.8%	10.8%	100.0%	(215)
60,000 or Above	52.3%	36.9%	10.8%	100.0%	(183)

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

**Table 22: How high is your trust in the Hong Kong police force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all” , 10 being “total trust” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Trust	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean <sup>#</sup>
<b>Gender</b>							
M	23.3%	19.1%	55.5%	2.1%	100.0%	(492)	6.08
F	17.0%	26.2%	55.6%	1.1%	100.0%	(538)	6.41
<b>Age</b>							
15 - 24	48.3%	26.1%	25.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(144)	4.20
25 - 39	25.3%	18.0%	54.6%	2.1%	100.0%	(243)	6.03
40 - 59	12.9%	19.5%	67.0%	0.6%	100.0%	(390)	6.84
60 or Above	10.1%	31.6%	55.0%	3.3%	100.0%	(246)	6.67
<b>Edu Level</b>							
F.3 or Below	10.5%	33.0%	53.9%	2.6%	100.0%	(297)	6.59
F.4 – F.7	19.1%	19.1%	60.5%	1.3%	100.0%	(350)	6.36
Tertiary or Above	28.7%	18.6%	51.9%	0.8%	100.0%	(377)	5.84
<b>Political Orientation</b>							
Pan-democrats*	39.0%	28.8%	32.3%	0.0%	100.0%	(311)	4.68
Middle-neutral	17.4%	17.1%	64.7%	0.8%	100.0%	(310)	6.59
Pro-establishment*	2.2%	8.4%	89.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(83)	8.47
No orientation	8.4%	28.7%	60.4%	2.4%	100.0%	(270)	6.81
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>							
14,999 or Below	17.0%	33.7%	47.0%	2.3%	100.0%	(174)	6.23
15,000 - 24,999	20.2%	26.6%	52.4%	0.8%	100.0%	(166)	6.11
25,000 - 39,999	24.8%	21.1%	54.1%	0.0%	100.0%	(192)	6.10
40,000 - 59,999	21.4%	19.4%	58.3%	0.9%	100.0%	(215)	6.09
60,000 or Above	22.2%	15.1%	62.6%	0.0%	100.0%	(183)	6.37

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

# “No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

**Table 23: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic” , 10 being “extremely optimistic” , and 5 being “so-so” , what score will you give?**

	0 - 4 Tend to Pessimistic	5 So-so	6 - 10 Tend to Optimistic	No opinion / Refuse to Answer	Total	(N)	Mean <sup>#</sup>
<b>Gender</b>							
M	35.7%	35.0%	28.4%	0.9%	100.0%	(492)	4.77
F	32.4%	39.6%	24.3%	3.7%	100.0%	(538)	4.82
<b>Age</b>							
15 - 24	48.7%	32.9%	18.4%	0.0%	100.0%	(144)	4.43
25 - 39	44.1%	32.4%	22.6%	0.9%	100.0%	(243)	4.48
40 - 59	29.9%	41.1%	27.6%	1.4%	100.0%	(390)	4.89
60 or Above	22.5%	39.9%	32.3%	5.3%	100.0%	(246)	5.18
<b>Edu Level</b>							
F.3 or Below	20.7%	44.3%	30.2%	4.8%	100.0%	(297)	5.18
F.4 – F.7	31.1%	38.3%	29.3%	1.2%	100.0%	(350)	4.93
Tertiary or Above	46.8%	31.8%	20.7%	0.7%	100.0%	(377)	4.43
<b>Political Orientation</b>							
Pan-democrats*	47.1%	34.1%	17.9%	0.9%	100.0%	(311)	4.32
Middle-neutral	33.6%	40.4%	25.5%	0.4%	100.0%	(310)	4.74
Pro-establishment*	17.9%	28.3%	53.3%	0.5%	100.0%	(83)	6.18
No orientation	26.1%	41.1%	27.0%	5.8%	100.0%	(270)	4.96
<b>Monthly Household Income</b>							
14,999 or Below	26.8%	41.3%	28.4%	3.5%	100.0%	(174)	4.99
15,000 - 24,999	35.2%	38.2%	25.0%	1.6%	100.0%	(166)	4.52
25,000 - 39,999	30.2%	34.9%	33.3%	1.7%	100.0%	(192)	5.13
40,000 - 59,999	37.0%	42.0%	20.5%	0.5%	100.0%	(215)	4.59
60,000 or Above	44.6%	29.3%	23.4%	2.8%	100.0%	(183)	4.46

\*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

<sup>#</sup> “No Opinion/ Refuse to Answer” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

-- End --