

Centre for Communication and Public Opinion Survey

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

**“Hong Kong Public Opinion &
Political Development” Opinion Survey**

Ninth Round Survey Results

(6-15.7.2016)

July 2016

Content

| <u>Content</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Survey Overview | 2 |
| Survey Results | 3 |
| Profile of Respondents | 17 |
| Cross-tables | 20 |

Survey Overview

| | |
|--|--|
| Survey Method | Random Sampling was adopted for Telephone Interviews conducted by interviewers using CATI (Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview) system |
| Data Collection Period | 6 – 8, 11 – 15 July 2016 (Total 8 days) |
| Target Respondents | Hong Kong Citizens aged 15 or above speaking Cantonese |
| Sampling Method | All the phone numbers in the latest residential telephone directories were firstly transformed to 6-digit numbers by erasing the last 2 digits. After deleting repeated numbers, a hundred of 2-digit numbers from 00 to 99 were then appended to each number. A database of telephone numbers was finally created and telephone numbers were randomly selected from this database. This method could make the numbers unlisted on the directories may also have chance to be chosen. After successful contact with the household, if there were more than one member at home eligible for an interview, we would employ the “Next Birthday Rule” method to select the eligible member whose birthday comes soonest. |
| Procedures for handling phone numbers | After each dialing, if it was “no answer”, “busy” or “eligible unavailable” etc., computer system would arrange interviewer to try the number again at another day or time. The computer would stop trying that number if there was still no success after 4 attempts. However, if receiving two refusals, attempt will immediately be terminated. |
| Sample Size | 1010 Completed Cases |
| Sampling Error | Within $\pm 3.1\%$ (at 95% confidence level, which means we have 95% confidence that the sampling error will fall within this range.) |
| Response Rate | 43% |
| The following shows the status of all numbers attempted and the calculation of response rate: | |
| Total Numbers Attempted | 32984 |
| A. Total numbers with Confirmed Ineligibility for Interview (Ineligibles) | 18265 |
| A1. Non-working number | 14807 |
| A2. Non-residence | 1342 |
| A3. Fax / Modem / Pager | 1772 |
| A4. No eligible Living in | 344 |
| B. Total numbers with Unconfirmed Eligibility for Interview (Unknown) | 13325 |
| B1. No answer (including answering machine) | 5773 |
| B2. Busy | 1412 |
| B3. Password needed | 58 |
| B4. Language Problem | 42 |
| B5. Without confirming as a household before hanging up | 6040 |
| C. Total numbers with Confirmed Eligibility for Interview (Eligibles) | 1394 |
| C1. Refusal (including refusal in the middle of interview) | 340 |
| C2. Eligible unavailable in survey period | 44 |
| C3. <u>Completed</u> | <u>1010</u> |
| Calculation of response rate: | |
| Completed / [Eligibles + Unknown x Eligibles / (Eligibles + Ineligibles)] | |
| = 1010 / [1394 + 13325 x 1394 / (1394 + 18265)] | |
| = 0.4318 (43%) | |

Survey Results

【All data were weighted by the proportion of gender, age and education according to the most recent statistics of people aged 15 or above issued by the Census and Statistics Department of the Hong Kong SAR Government. For the sample which excluded respondents aged from 15 to 17, all data were also weighted by the proportion of gender, age and education according to the most recent statistics of people aged 18 or above issued by the Census and Statistics Department. The total N may not add up to 100% due to rounding errors and weighting】

Table 1: Press freedom, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very unimportant | 8 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.8 |
| 1 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.3 |
| 3 | 9 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.9 |
| 4 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 5 | 77 | 7.7 | 76 | 7.9 |
| 6 | 45 | 4.5 | 42 | 4.4 |
| 7 | 84 | 8.3 | 79 | 8.2 |
| 8 | 201 | 19.9 | 187 | 19.5 |
| 9 | 100 | 9.9 | 91 | 9.5 |
| 10 Very important | 470 | 46.6 | 453 | 47.2 |
| No answer / Refuse | 8 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.9 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean[#] (N) | 8.49 (1002) | | 8.49 (952) | |

The order of the six social values ('Press freedom', 'Social harmony', 'Democratic development', 'Economic development', 'Judicial independence' and 'National interest') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent
[#] No answer / Refusal are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 2: Social harmony, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very unimportant | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 |
| 4 | 9 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.8 |
| 5 | 61 | 6.1 | 60 | 6.3 |
| 6 | 50 | 4.9 | 44 | 4.6 |
| 7 | 93 | 9.2 | 90 | 9.3 |
| 8 | 222 | 22.0 | 207 | 21.6 |
| 9 | 110 | 10.9 | 103 | 10.7 |
| 10 Very important | 457 | 45.3 | 441 | 45.9 |
| No answer / Refuse | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 8.57 (1009) | | 8.58 (959) | |

The order of the six social values ('Press freedom', 'Social harmony', 'Democratic development', 'Economic development', 'Judicial independence' and 'National interest') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No answer / Refusal are not included in calculation of the mean

Table3: Democratic development, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very unimportant | 22 | 2.1 | 21 | 2.2 |
| 1 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 4 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 15 | 1.5 | 15 | 1.6 |
| 4 | 8 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.8 |
| 5 | 137 | 13.5 | 131 | 13.6 |
| 6 | 79 | 7.8 | 76 | 7.9 |
| 7 | 134 | 13.3 | 123 | 12.8 |
| 8 | 219 | 21.7 | 209 | 21.8 |
| 9 | 96 | 9.5 | 89 | 9.3 |
| 10 Very important | 271 | 26.9 | 261 | 27.1 |
| No answer / Refuse | 22 | 2.2 | 21 | 2.2 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 7.61 (988) | | 7.60 (939) | |

The order of the six social values ('Press freedom', 'Social harmony', 'Democratic development', 'Economic development', 'Judicial independence' and 'National interest') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No answer / Refusal are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 4: Economic development, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very unimportant | 4 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.4 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 5 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 |
| 4 | 8 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.7 |
| 5 | 51 | 5.1 | 48 | 5.0 |
| 6 | 75 | 7.4 | 66 | 6.9 |
| 7 | 125 | 12.4 | 112 | 11.7 |
| 8 | 295 | 29.2 | 284 | 29.6 |
| 9 | 94 | 9.4 | 89 | 9.3 |
| 10 Very important | 345 | 34.2 | 338 | 35.2 |
| No answer / Refuse | 6 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 8.26 (1004) | | 8.30 (954) | |

The order of the six social values ('Press freedom', 'Social harmony', 'Democratic development', 'Economic development', 'Judicial independence' and 'National interest') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No answer / Refusal are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 5: Judicial independence, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very unimportant | 7 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.7 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.3 |
| 4 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| 5 | 48 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.9 |
| 6 | 34 | 3.4 | 33 | 3.4 |
| 7 | 70 | 6.9 | 63 | 6.6 |
| 8 | 168 | 16.6 | 157 | 16.3 |
| 9 | 102 | 10.1 | 93 | 9.7 |
| 10 Very important | 546 | 54.0 | 526 | 54.8 |
| No answer / Refuse | 29 | 2.9 | 28 | 3.0 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 8.84 (981) | | 8.85 (932) | |

The order of the six social values ('Press freedom', 'Social harmony', 'Democratic development', 'Economic development', 'Judicial independence' and 'National interest') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No answer / Refusal are not included in calculation of the mean

Table6: National interest, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very unimportant | 35 | 3.4 | 33 | 3.5 |
| 1 | 6 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.6 |
| 2 | 13 | 1.3 | 13 | 1.4 |
| 3 | 20 | 2.0 | 19 | 2.0 |
| 4 | 24 | 2.4 | 19 | 1.9 |
| 5 | 146 | 14.5 | 141 | 14.7 |
| 6 | 104 | 10.3 | 94 | 9.8 |
| 7 | 152 | 15.1 | 140 | 14.6 |
| 8 | 210 | 20.8 | 202 | 21.1 |
| 9 | 69 | 6.8 | 66 | 6.9 |
| 10 Very important | 206 | 20.4 | 202 | 21.0 |
| No answer / Refuse | 24 | 2.4 | 24 | 2.5 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 7.07 (986) | | 7.10 (936) | |

The order of the six social values ('Press freedom', 'Social harmony', 'Democratic development', 'Economic development', 'Judicial independence' and 'National interest') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No answer / Refusal are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 7: There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Do you support or not support 'the maintenance of one country two systems'? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Strongly agree | 456 | 45.1 | 443 | 46.1 |
| Somewhat agree | 247 | 24.4 | 227 | 23.7 |
| So-so | 219 | 21.7 | 204 | 21.2 |
| Somewhat agree | 32 | 3.2 | 31 | 3.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 28 | 2.8 | 28 | 2.9 |
| No opinion / Refuse to answer | 28 | 2.7 | 27 | 2.8 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |

The order of the three scenarios ('the maintenance of one country two systems', 'direct governance by China' and 'independence') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

Table 8: There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Do you support or not support ‘direct governance by China’? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Strongly agree | 59 | 5.8 | 58 | 6.0 |
| Somewhat agree | 81 | 8.0 | 79 | 8.3 |
| So-so | 237 | 23.4 | 228 | 23.7 |
| Somewhat agree | 193 | 19.1 | 178 | 18.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 405 | 40.1 | 382 | 39.8 |
| No opinion / Refuse to answer | 36 | 3.6 | 35 | 3.7 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |

The order of the three scenarios (‘the maintenance of one country two systems’, ‘direct governance by China’ and ‘independence’) was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

Table 9: There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Do you support or not support ‘independence’? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Strongly agree | 63 | 6.2 | 58 | 6.1 |
| Somewhat agree | 114 | 11.2 | 103 | 10.7 |
| So-so | 231 | 22.9 | 215 | 22.4 |
| Somewhat agree | 192 | 19.0 | 183 | 19.1 |
| Strongly disagree | 390 | 38.6 | 381 | 39.7 |
| No opinion / Refuse to answer | 21 | 2.1 | 19 | 1.9 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |

The order of the three scenarios (‘the maintenance of one country two systems’, ‘direct governance by China’ and ‘independence’) was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

Table 10: In the foreseeable future, do you think it is possible or not possible for Hong Kong to attain independence? Absolutely impossible, Not possible, So-so, Somewhat possible, or Absolutely possible?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Absolutely impossible | 538 | 53.3 | 525 | 54.7 |
| Not possible | 282 | 27.9 | 265 | 27.6 |
| So-so | 131 | 13.0 | 117 | 12.1 |
| Somewhat possible | 18 | 1.8 | 14 | 1.4 |
| Absolutely possible | 19 | 1.9 | 18 | 1.9 |
| No opinion / Refuse | 22 | 2.2 | 21 | 2.2 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |

Table 11: Are you satisfied with the way the Central Government is implementing the “one country two systems in HK? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “totally dissatisfied”, 10 being “totally satisfied”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Totally dissatisfied | 137 | 13.5 | 133 | 13.8 |
| 1 | 31 | 3.0 | 30 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 57 | 5.6 | 54 | 5.7 |
| 3 | 68 | 6.8 | 64 | 6.7 |
| 4 | 73 | 7.2 | 67 | 6.9 |
| 5 So-so | 285 | 28.3 | 268 | 27.9 |
| 6 | 89 | 8.8 | 83 | 8.6 |
| 7 | 78 | 7.7 | 76 | 7.9 |
| 8 | 78 | 7.7 | 74 | 7.7 |
| 9 | 23 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.3 |
| 10 Totally satisfied | 78 | 7.7 | 76 | 7.9 |
| No View/ Refuse | 14 | 1.4 | 14 | 1.4 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 4.77 (996) | | 4.78 (946) | |

No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 12: Under “one country two systems”, what level of autonomy do you think Hong Kong should have? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “should have absolutely no autonomy” and 10 being “should have full autonomy”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Should have absolutely no autonomy | 20 | 2.0 | 20 | 2.1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 |
| 2 | 9 | 0.9 | 9 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 12 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 19 | 1.9 | 19 | 2.0 |
| 5 | 129 | 12.7 | 123 | 12.9 |
| 6 | 75 | 7.4 | 69 | 7.2 |
| 7 | 148 | 14.7 | 139 | 14.5 |
| 8 | 267 | 26.4 | 252 | 26.2 |
| 9 | 100 | 9.9 | 93 | 9.7 |
| 10 Should have full autonomy | 204 | 20.2 | 198 | 20.6 |
| No View/ Refuse | 26 | 2.5 | 25 | 2.6 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 7.45 (984) | | 7.45 (935) | |

No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 13: Under “one country two systems”, what level of autonomy do you think Hong Kong currently have? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “currently have absolutely no autonomy” and 10 being “currently have full autonomy”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|--|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Currently has absolutely no autonomy | 62 | 6.1 | 60 | 6.3 |
| 1 | 31 | 3.0 | 29 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 55 | 5.5 | 54 | 5.7 |
| 3 | 102 | 10.1 | 97 | 10.1 |
| 4 | 95 | 9.4 | 86 | 8.9 |
| 5 | 237 | 23.4 | 224 | 23.4 |
| 6 | 142 | 14.1 | 132 | 13.7 |
| 7 | 110 | 10.9 | 105 | 10.9 |
| 8 | 89 | 8.8 | 85 | 8.9 |
| 9 | 25 | 2.4 | 24 | 2.5 |
| 10 Currently has full autonomy | 44 | 4.3 | 43 | 4.5 |
| No View/ Refuse | 19 | 1.9 | 19 | 2.0 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 5.05 (991) | | 5.05 (941) | |

[#] No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 14: What is your impression of the localist camp? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so.

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very negative | 259 | 25.6 | 255 | 26.6 |
| 1 | 23 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.3 |
| 2 | 46 | 4.6 | 46 | 4.8 |
| 3 | 84 | 8.3 | 80 | 8.3 |
| 4 | 75 | 7.4 | 67 | 7.0 |
| 5 So-so | 266 | 26.3 | 251 | 26.2 |
| 6 | 64 | 6.3 | 56 | 5.8 |
| 7 | 55 | 5.5 | 50 | 5.2 |
| 8 | 29 | 2.8 | 27 | 2.9 |
| 9 | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.3 |
| 10 Very positive | 14 | 1.4 | 13 | 1.4 |
| No view / Refuse | 93 | 9.2 | 90 | 9.3 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 3.45 (917) | | 3.38 (870) | |

The order of the groups ('Localist camp', 'Pan-democratic' and 'Pro-establishment') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 15: What is your impression of the pan-democratic? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so.

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very negative | 123 | 12.2 | 122 | 12.7 |
| 1 | 12 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 32 | 3.2 | 32 | 3.3 |
| 3 | 81 | 8.0 | 78 | 8.2 |
| 4 | 73 | 7.2 | 67 | 7.0 |
| 5 So-so | 336 | 33.2 | 315 | 32.8 |
| 6 | 123 | 12.2 | 111 | 11.6 |
| 7 | 86 | 8.5 | 82 | 8.5 |
| 8 | 56 | 5.6 | 56 | 5.8 |
| 9 | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 |
| 10 Very positive | 16 | 1.6 | 15 | 1.6 |
| No view / Refuse | 69 | 6.8 | 67 | 7.0 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 4.53 (941) | | 4.50 (893) | |

The order of the groups ('Localist camp', 'Pan-democratic' and 'Pro-establishment') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 16: What is your impression of the pro-establishment? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so.

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Very negative | 170 | 16.8 | 167 | 17.4 |
| 1 | 25 | 2.4 | 24 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 59 | 5.9 | 56 | 5.9 |
| 3 | 100 | 9.9 | 94 | 9.8 |
| 4 | 73 | 7.2 | 67 | 6.9 |
| 5 So-so | 290 | 28.7 | 272 | 28.3 |
| 6 | 87 | 8.6 | 80 | 8.3 |
| 7 | 53 | 5.3 | 50 | 5.2 |
| 8 | 53 | 5.3 | 53 | 5.5 |
| 9 | 9 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.9 |
| 10 Very positive | 14 | 1.4 | 14 | 1.5 |
| No view / Refuse | 77 | 7.6 | 74 | 7.7 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean [#] (N) | 4.00 (933) | | 3.98 (886) | |

The order of the groups ('Localist camp', 'Pan-democratic' and 'Pro-establishment') was randomly allocated by the computer for each interviewed respondent

No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 17: Do you agree or disagree that activities demanding political reforms in Hong Kong must be peaceful and non-violent? Strongly agree, Somewhat agree, So-so, Somewhat disagree, or Strongly disagree?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Strongly agree | 501 | 49.6 | 486 | 50.6 |
| Somewhat agree | 219 | 21.7 | 201 | 21.0 |
| So-so | 223 | 22.0 | 210 | 21.9 |
| Somewhat disagree | 35 | 3.5 | 31 | 3.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 24 | 2.4 | 23 | 2.4 |
| No opinion / Refuse to answer | 8 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.8 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |

Table 18: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 No Trust at all | 143 | 14.1 | 139 | 14.4 |
| 1 | 37 | 3.7 | 33 | 3.5 |
| 2 | 64 | 6.4 | 61 | 6.3 |
| 3 | 109 | 10.8 | 105 | 10.9 |
| 4 | 76 | 7.6 | 70 | 7.3 |
| 5 So-so | 252 | 24.9 | 241 | 25.1 |
| 6 | 96 | 9.5 | 88 | 9.1 |
| 7 | 94 | 9.3 | 88 | 9.2 |
| 8 | 81 | 8.1 | 79 | 8.3 |
| 9 | 12 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.2 |
| 10 Total trust | 40 | 4.0 | 39 | 4.1 |
| No View/ Refuse | 6 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean[#] (N) | 4.43 (1004) | | 4.43 (954) | |

[#] No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 19: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 No Trust at all | 185 | 18.3 | 178 | 18.5 |
| 1 | 39 | 3.8 | 36 | 3.7 |
| 2 | 80 | 7.9 | 75 | 7.8 |
| 3 | 77 | 7.6 | 70 | 7.3 |
| 4 | 73 | 7.2 | 65 | 6.8 |
| 5 So-so | 223 | 22.1 | 216 | 22.5 |
| 6 | 83 | 8.3 | 78 | 8.1 |
| 7 | 70 | 7.0 | 66 | 6.9 |
| 8 | 77 | 7.7 | 76 | 7.9 |
| 9 | 27 | 2.7 | 27 | 2.8 |
| 10 Total trust | 63 | 6.2 | 62 | 6.4 |
| No View/ Refuse | 13 | 1.3 | 13 | 1.3 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean[#] (N) | 4.33 (997) | | 4.36 (947) | |

[#] No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 20: How high is your trust in the HK Police Force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 No Trust at all | 51 | 5.1 | 49 | 5.1 |
| 1 | 27 | 2.6 | 26 | 2.7 |
| 2 | 32 | 3.1 | 30 | 3.2 |
| 3 | 60 | 6.0 | 56 | 5.8 |
| 4 | 61 | 6.0 | 57 | 6.0 |
| 5 So-so | 206 | 20.4 | 196 | 20.5 |
| 6 | 114 | 11.2 | 104 | 10.8 |
| 7 | 139 | 13.8 | 131 | 13.7 |
| 8 | 164 | 16.3 | 159 | 16.6 |
| 9 | 52 | 5.1 | 48 | 5.0 |
| 10 Total trust | 95 | 9.4 | 94 | 9.8 |
| No View/ Refuse | 9 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.9 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean[#] (N) | 5.93 (1001) | | 5.95 (951) | |

[#] No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

Table 21: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic”, 10 being “extremely optimistic”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 0 Extremely pessimistic | 60 | 5.9 | 58 | 6.1 |
| 1 | 11 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 2 | 50 | 4.9 | 48 | 5.0 |
| 3 | 98 | 9.7 | 90 | 9.3 |
| 4 | 122 | 12.1 | 115 | 12.0 |
| 5 Half-half | 374 | 37.0 | 360 | 37.5 |
| 6 | 110 | 10.9 | 102 | 10.7 |
| 7 | 90 | 8.9 | 85 | 8.8 |
| 8 | 55 | 5.5 | 53 | 5.5 |
| 9 | 5 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.4 |
| 10 Extremely optimistic | 28 | 2.8 | 27 | 2.9 |
| No View/ Refuse | 7 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.7 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |
| Mean[#] (N) | 4.81 (1003) | | 4.80 (953) | |

[#] No view/Refuse are not included in calculation of the mean

**Table 22: Have you considered emigrating overseas?
[If yes: have thought about it; are planning to; have commenced the process?]**

| | Aged 15 or above | | Aged 18 or above | |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Yes, thought about it | 165 | 16.4 | 152 | 15.8 |
| Yes, planning to | 33 | 3.3 | 29 | 3.0 |
| Yes, in the process | 13 | 1.3 | 13 | 1.4 |
| No | 792 | 78.4 | 760 | 79.1 |
| Do not know | 4 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.4 |
| Refuse | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 960 | 100.0 |

Profile of Respondents

Table 23: Gender

| | <i>Before weighting</i> | | <i>Weighted</i> | |
|--------|-------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Male | 476 | 47.1 | 482 | 47.7 |
| Female | 534 | 52.9 | 528 | 52.3 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 1010 | 100.0 |

Table 24: Age

| | <i>Before weighting</i> | | <i>Weighted</i> | |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| 15 – 17 | 50 | 5.0 | 35 | 3.5 |
| 18 – 19 | 35 | 3.5 | 24 | 2.3 |
| 20 – 24 | 74 | 7.3 | 71 | 7.0 |
| 25 - 29 | 52 | 5.1 | 75 | 7.4 |
| 30 – 34 | 62 | 6.1 | 80 | 8.0 |
| 35 - 39 | 54 | 5.3 | 80 | 7.9 |
| 40 - 44 | 86 | 8.5 | 87 | 8.6 |
| 45 – 49 | 82 | 8.1 | 89 | 8.8 |
| 50 – 54 | 117 | 11.6 | 105 | 10.4 |
| 55 – 59 | 95 | 9.4 | 99 | 9.8 |
| 60 – 64 | 106 | 10.5 | 77 | 7.6 |
| 65 - 69 | 81 | 8.0 | 59 | 5.9 |
| 70 or Above | 109 | 10.8 | 123 | 12.2 |
| Refuse to Answer | 7 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.6 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 1010 | 100.0 |

Table 25: Educational Level

| | <i>Before weighting</i> | | <i>Weighted</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| No Education / Kindergarten | 14 | 1.4 | 33 | 3.3 |
| Primary | 85 | 8.4 | 118 | 11.7 |
| Secondary (F.1 to F.3) | 123 | 12.2 | 143 | 14.1 |
| Secondary (F.4 to F.5) | 229 | 22.7 | 250 | 24.7 |
| Secondary (F.6 to F.7) | 115 | 11.4 | 78 | 7.7 |
| Post-secondary (non-degree) | 101 | 10.0 | 92 | 9.1 |
| Bachelor Degree | 253 | 25.0 | 233 | 23.1 |
| Graduate Studies(Master or above) | 87 | 8.6 | 60 | 6.0 |
| Refuse to Answer | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.3 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 1010 | 100.0 |

Table 26: Political Orientation

| | <i>Before weighting</i> | | <i>After weighting</i> | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| Localist | 71 | 7.0 | 73 | 7.3 |
| Radical democrats | 37 | 3.7 | 35 | 3.4 |
| Moderate democrats | 328 | 32.5 | 303 | 30.0 |
| Middle/neutral | 193 | 19.1 | 192 | 19.0 |
| Pro-establishment | 81 | 8.0 | 82 | 8.1 |
| Business-industrial | 16 | 1.6 | 15 | 1.5 |
| Pro-Beijing | 26 | 2.6 | 28 | 2.8 |
| No orientation / not belonging to any orientation | 216 | 21.4 | 239 | 23.7 |
| Don't Know/ Hard to say / Refuse to answer | 42 | 4.2 | 44 | 4.3 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 1010 | 100.0 |

Question: You consider yourself leaning toward which political orientation? Localist, Radical democrats, moderate democrats, middle-neutral, pro-establishment, business-industrial, or pro-Beijing?

Table 27: Monthly Household Income

| | <i>Before weighting</i> | | <i>Weighted</i> | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Frequency | % | Frequency | % |
| \$9,999 or Below | 110 | 10.9 | 121 | 12.0 |
| \$10,000 - \$14,999 | 73 | 7.2 | 83 | 8.2 |
| \$15,000 - \$19,999 | 54 | 5.3 | 57 | 5.6 |
| \$20,000 - \$24,999 | 71 | 7.0 | 77 | 7.7 |
| \$25,000 - \$29,999 | 53 | 5.2 | 59 | 5.9 |
| \$30,000 - \$39,999 | 143 | 14.2 | 143 | 14.1 |
| \$40,000 - \$49,999 | 98 | 9.7 | 97 | 9.6 |
| \$50,000 - \$59,999 | 86 | 8.5 | 78 | 7.7 |
| \$60,000 - \$99,999 | 122 | 12.1 | 116 | 11.5 |
| \$100,000 or Above | 85 | 8.4 | 75 | 7.4 |
| Don't Know/Refuse to Answer | 115 | 11.4 | 104 | 10.3 |
| Total | 1010 | 100.0 | 1010 | 100.0 |

Cross-tables**Table 28: Press freedom, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important.**

| | Score 0-4 Unimportant % | Score 5 % | Score 6-10 Important % | No answer / Refuse % | Total % | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 2.7% | 8.8% | 87.8% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (482) | 8.42 |
| F | 2.0% | 6.7% | 90.5% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (528) | 8.55 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 0.8% | 2.1% | 97.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 8.70 |
| 25 - 39 | 1.9% | 3.3% | 94.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 8.89 |
| 40 - 59 | 2.9% | 9.0% | 87.7% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (379) | 8.35 |
| 60 or Above | 2.6% | 12.7% | 82.3% | 2.4% | 100.0% | (260) | 8.20 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 2.7% | 13.5% | 81.9% | 1.9% | 100.0% | (294) | 8.14 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 2.8% | 6.1% | 90.6% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (328) | 8.51 |
| Tertiary or Above | 1.6% | 4.2% | 93.9% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (386) | 8.75 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 1.6% | 1.2% | 97.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 9.27 |
| Pan-democrats* | 0.5% | 2.4% | 97.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (338) | 9.09 |
| Middle | 1.4% | 9.1% | 88.7% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (192) | 8.34 |
| Pro-establishment* | 9.6% | 9.9% | 79.7% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (125) | 7.43 |
| No orientation | 1.9% | 12.1% | 84.9% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (239) | 8.24 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 2.7% | 11.6% | 82.5% | 3.3% | 100.0% | (204) | 8.27 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 1.3% | 10.2% | 88.5% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) | 8.50 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 2.9% | 6.6% | 90.2% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (202) | 8.48 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 3.5% | 1.9% | 94.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) | 8.63 |
| 60,000 or Above | 1.1% | 3.2% | 95.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (191) | 8.80 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No answer / Refusal” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 29: Social harmony, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important.

| | Score 0-4 Unimportant % | Score 5 % | Score 6-10 Important % | No answer / Refuse % | Total % | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 2.3% | 9.6% | 88.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (482) | 8.26 |
| F | 0.9% | 2.9% | 96.1% | 0.1% | 100.0% | (528) | 8.84 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 2.7% | 5.7% | 91.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 7.98 |
| 25 - 39 | 1.5% | 9.5% | 89.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 8.30 |
| 40 - 59 | 1.0% | 5.4% | 93.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (379) | 8.72 |
| 60 or Above | 1.9% | 4.3% | 93.5% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (260) | 8.86 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 1.5% | 6.6% | 91.7% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (294) | 8.92 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 0.4% | 4.5% | 95.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (328) | 8.71 |
| Tertiary or Above | 2.5% | 6.9% | 90.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (386) | 8.18 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 5.7% | 10.9% | 83.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 7.77 |
| Pan-democrats* | 1.2% | 6.9% | 91.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (338) | 8.31 |
| Middle | 0.5% | 4.7% | 94.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 8.86 |
| Pro-establishment* | 0.0% | 2.1% | 97.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 9.06 |
| No orientation | 2.0% | 6.1% | 91.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (239) | 8.70 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 1.5% | 2.9% | 95.3% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (204) | 8.94 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 2.0% | 8.7% | 89.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) | 8.53 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 0.7% | 6.4% | 92.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) | 8.68 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 3.0% | 8.4% | 88.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) | 8.27 |
| 60,000 or Above | 0.6% | 6.7% | 92.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (191) | 8.41 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No answer / Refusal” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 30: Democratic development, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important.

| | Score 0-4 Unimportant % | Score 5 % | Score 6-10 Important % | No answer / Refuse % | Total % | (N) | Mean # |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 6.6% | 13.4% | 78.4% | 1.6% | 100.0% | (482) | 7.52 |
| F | 3.7% | 13.6% | 79.9% | 2.7% | 100.0% | (528) | 7.69 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 1.6% | 5.0% | 93.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 8.13 |
| 25 - 39 | 3.3% | 7.5% | 88.5% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (235) | 8.05 |
| 40 - 59 | 6.1% | 15.4% | 77.2% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (379) | 7.46 |
| 60 or Above | 7.1% | 20.5% | 66.6% | 5.8% | 100.0% | (260) | 7.14 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 7.8% | 22.8% | 63.8% | 5.6% | 100.0% | (294) | 6.86 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 4.5% | 14.1% | 80.3% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (328) | 7.72 |
| Tertiary or Above | 3.6% | 5.6% | 90.3% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (386) | 8.07 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 1.6% | 5.2% | 93.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 8.71 |
| Pan-democrats* | 1.0% | 5.2% | 93.0% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (338) | 8.43 |
| Middle | 4.8% | 14.7% | 80.3% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (192) | 7.48 |
| Pro-establishment* | 16.1% | 19.3% | 58.9% | 5.6% | 100.0% | (125) | 6.11 |
| No orientation | 5.4% | 22.2% | 68.8% | 3.6% | 100.0% | (239) | 7.13 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 8.3% | 24.1% | 64.1% | 3.4% | 100.0% | (204) | 7.02 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 6.1% | 12.3% | 78.9% | 2.7% | 100.0% | (134) | 7.78 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 5.8% | 12.3% | 81.6% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (202) | 7.61 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 2.5% | 6.9% | 89.3% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (175) | 8.02 |
| 60,000 or Above | 2.4% | 7.7% | 88.2% | 1.7% | 100.0% | (191) | 7.96 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No answer / Refusal” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 31: Economic development, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important.

| | Score 0-4 Unimportant % | Score 5 % | Score 6-10 Important % | No answer / Refuse % | Total % | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 2.2% | 6.8% | 90.4% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (482) | 8.13 |
| F | 1.4% | 3.5% | 94.5% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (528) | 8.38 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 1.7% | 7.4% | 90.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 7.41 |
| 25 - 39 | 1.6% | 3.1% | 95.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 8.23 |
| 40 - 59 | 1.0% | 5.7% | 93.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (379) | 8.40 |
| 60 or Above | 2.6% | 4.8% | 90.2% | 2.4% | 100.0% | (260) | 8.54 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 3.2% | 5.9% | 88.8% | 2.1% | 100.0% | (294) | 8.64 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 1.2% | 4.5% | 94.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (328) | 8.28 |
| Tertiary or Above | 0.9% | 4.6% | 94.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (386) | 7.99 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 7.0% | 9.9% | 83.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 7.22 |
| Pan-democrats* | 0.7% | 4.6% | 94.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (338) | 8.06 |
| Middle | 1.6% | 4.5% | 93.1% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (192) | 8.38 |
| Pro-establishment* | 0.7% | 2.9% | 96.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 8.89 |
| No orientation | 2.4% | 5.5% | 91.5% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (239) | 8.43 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 2.9% | 5.7% | 88.2% | 3.1% | 100.0% | (204) | 8.47 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 3.2% | 6.9% | 89.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) | 8.09 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 1.9% | 3.7% | 94.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) | 8.30 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 0.3% | 4.0% | 95.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) | 8.13 |
| 60,000 or Above | 0.3% | 2.8% | 96.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (191) | 8.32 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No answer / Refusal” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 32: Judicial independence, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important.

| | Score 0-4 Unimportant % | Score 5 % | Score 6-10 Important % | No answer / Refuse % | Total % | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 1.8% | 3.5% | 94.1% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (482) | 8.98 |
| F | 1.0% | 5.9% | 88.2% | 4.9% | 100.0% | (528) | 8.70 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 2.3% | 4.4% | 93.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 8.71 |
| 25 - 39 | 0.7% | 2.2% | 96.3% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (235) | 9.08 |
| 40 - 59 | 1.9% | 5.2% | 90.6% | 2.3% | 100.0% | (379) | 8.77 |
| 60 or Above | 0.8% | 6.3% | 85.8% | 7.1% | 100.0% | (260) | 8.77 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 1.6% | 10.1% | 81.6% | 6.7% | 100.0% | (294) | 8.35 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 2.3% | 3.3% | 91.9% | 2.5% | 100.0% | (328) | 8.84 |
| Tertiary or Above | 0.4% | 1.8% | 97.6% | 0.1% | 100.0% | (386) | 9.18 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 2.6% | 0.0% | 94.1% | 3.3% | 100.0% | (73) | 9.33 |
| Pan-democrats* | 0.7% | 1.5% | 96.6% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (338) | 9.25 |
| Middle | 1.4% | 5.3% | 92.1% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (192) | 8.76 |
| Pro-establishment* | 1.6% | 6.9% | 88.6% | 2.9% | 100.0% | (125) | 8.42 |
| No orientation | 2.1% | 8.0% | 85.5% | 4.4% | 100.0% | (239) | 8.45 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 2.0% | 9.0% | 81.4% | 7.6% | 100.0% | (204) | 8.41 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 1.9% | 4.7% | 88.7% | 4.7% | 100.0% | (134) | 8.90 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 1.2% | 2.9% | 95.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) | 8.93 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 1.3% | 1.9% | 96.2% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (175) | 8.98 |
| 60,000 or Above | 0.9% | 0.8% | 97.2% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (191) | 9.23 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No answer / Refusal” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 33: National interest, do you think it is important or unimportant? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very unimportant and 10 representing very important.

| | Score 0-4 Unimportant % | Score 5 % | Score 6-10 Important % | No answer / Refuse % | Total % | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 12.6% | 16.0% | 70.5% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (482) | 6.91 |
| F | 7.2% | 13.1% | 76.0% | 3.7% | 100.0% | (528) | 7.22 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 17.8% | 17.0% | 65.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 5.94 |
| 25 - 39 | 13.0% | 17.9% | 67.4% | 1.8% | 100.0% | (235) | 6.55 |
| 40 - 59 | 6.7% | 14.4% | 77.5% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (379) | 7.39 |
| 60 or Above | 6.7% | 10.3% | 77.2% | 5.7% | 100.0% | (260) | 7.74 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 7.0% | 12.2% | 76.1% | 4.7% | 100.0% | (294) | 7.64 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 8.1% | 15.9% | 73.7% | 2.3% | 100.0% | (328) | 7.09 |
| Tertiary or Above | 13.1% | 15.1% | 71.0% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (386) | 6.64 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 37.6% | 15.5% | 43.6% | 3.3% | 100.0% | (73) | 4.98 |
| Pan-democrats* | 8.7% | 17.3% | 72.9% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (338) | 6.74 |
| Middle | 6.8% | 15.3% | 77.1% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (192) | 7.35 |
| Pro-establishment* | 2.5% | 2.9% | 94.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 8.58 |
| No orientation | 9.1% | 16.0% | 70.8% | 4.1% | 100.0% | (239) | 7.08 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 10.3% | 8.8% | 74.8% | 6.1% | 100.0% | (204) | 7.45 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 9.5% | 17.8% | 72.0% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (134) | 7.06 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 8.4% | 15.4% | 75.2% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (202) | 7.11 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 9.8% | 16.2% | 72.9% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (175) | 6.84 |
| 60,000 or Above | 10.6% | 16.2% | 71.9% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (191) | 6.80 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No answer / Refusal” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 34: There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Do you support or not support ‘the maintenance of one country two systems’? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

| | Support | So-so | Against | No Opinion/ Refuse % | Total % | (N) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| M | 70.4% | 21.2% | 6.5% | 1.9% | 100.0% | (482) |
| F | 68.8% | 22.1% | 5.6% | 3.5% | 100.0% | (528) |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 58.8% | 32.0% | 8.7% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (130) |
| 25 - 39 | 63.2% | 26.7% | 8.3% | 1.8% | 100.0% | (235) |
| 40 - 59 | 75.5% | 16.0% | 5.5% | 3.0% | 100.0% | (379) |
| 60 or Above | 71.5% | 20.8% | 3.5% | 4.2% | 100.0% | (260) |
| Edu Level | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 64.8% | 24.5% | 5.5% | 5.1% | 100.0% | (294) |
| F.4 – F.7 | 71.8% | 21.2% | 4.3% | 2.6% | 100.0% | (328) |
| Tertiary or Above | 71.2% | 20.1% | 7.6% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (386) |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | |
| Localist | 49.0% | 29.8% | 18.9% | 2.3% | 100.0% | (73) |
| Pan-democrats* | 73.8% | 18.8% | 6.7% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (338) |
| Middle | 75.5% | 21.5% | 1.8% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (192) |
| Pro-establishment* | 87.0% | 8.0% | 4.3% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (125) |
| No orientation | 58.7% | 28.9% | 5.3% | 7.2% | 100.0% | (239) |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 60.9% | 27.6% | 5.4% | 6.1% | 100.0% | (204) |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 65.9% | 22.3% | 8.3% | 3.5% | 100.0% | (134) |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 75.4% | 18.4% | 5.7% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (202) |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 69.9% | 22.7% | 6.3% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (175) |
| 60,000 or Above | 74.3% | 18.1% | 6.5% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (191) |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 35: There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Do you support or not support 'direct governance by China'? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

| | Support | So-so | Against | No Opinion/ Refuse % | Total % | (N) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| M | 15.9% | 24.2% | 56.7% | 3.1% | 100.0% | (482) |
| F | 11.8% | 22.8% | 61.5% | 3.9% | 100.0% | (528) |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 2.9% | 10.6% | 86.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) |
| 25 - 39 | 6.2% | 18.3% | 75.0% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (235) |
| 40 - 59 | 16.4% | 29.1% | 51.8% | 2.7% | 100.0% | (379) |
| 60 or Above | 22.5% | 26.2% | 41.8% | 9.5% | 100.0% | (260) |
| Edu Level | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 19.9% | 31.8% | 41.5% | 6.9% | 100.0% | (294) |
| F.4 – F.7 | 13.5% | 24.6% | 58.8% | 3.0% | 100.0% | (328) |
| Tertiary or Above | 9.5% | 16.3% | 72.9% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (386) |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | |
| Localist | 0.0% | 11.5% | 88.5% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) |
| Pan-democrats* | 5.9% | 18.4% | 74.7% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (338) |
| Middle | 15.3% | 26.9% | 55.0% | 2.8% | 100.0% | (192) |
| Pro-establishment* | 38.7% | 29.4% | 31.1% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (125) |
| No orientation | 12.9% | 29.6% | 49.6% | 7.9% | 100.0% | (239) |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 20.3% | 33.6% | 39.0% | 7.1% | 100.0% | (204) |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 12.7% | 23.9% | 58.8% | 4.6% | 100.0% | (134) |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 12.7% | 25.5% | 60.8% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (202) |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 12.3% | 16.8% | 69.5% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (175) |
| 60,000 or Above | 10.1% | 19.4% | 69.5% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (191) |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 36: There have been some discourses in society regarding the prospects of Hong Kong after 2047. Do you support or not support ‘independence’? Very support, Somewhat support, So-so, Somewhat against, or Very against?

| | Support | So-so | Against | No Opinion/ Refuse % | Total % | (N) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| M | 13.4% | 20.3% | 65.1% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (482) |
| F | 21.1% | 25.3% | 50.8% | 2.9% | 100.0% | (528) |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 39.2% | 33.2% | 26.0% | 1.7% | 100.0% | (130) |
| 25 - 39 | 23.8% | 30.4% | 45.3% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (235) |
| 40 - 59 | 12.3% | 19.5% | 67.0% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (379) |
| 60 or Above | 8.7% | 16.1% | 70.5% | 4.7% | 100.0% | (260) |
| Edu Level | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 13.4% | 22.2% | 60.6% | 3.8% | 100.0% | (294) |
| F.4 – F.7 | 16.3% | 24.7% | 57.7% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (328) |
| Tertiary or Above | 21.1% | 22.1% | 55.3% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (386) |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | |
| Localist | 47.1% | 25.4% | 26.4% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (73) |
| Pan-democrats* | 17.2% | 25.9% | 56.2% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (338) |
| Middle | 13.8% | 27.6% | 57.9% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (192) |
| Pro-establishment* | 7.6% | 3.6% | 88.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) |
| No orientation | 16.6% | 23.5% | 54.7% | 5.2% | 100.0% | (239) |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 13.3% | 21.6% | 62.6% | 2.6% | 100.0% | (204) |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 21.1% | 17.3% | 59.2% | 2.4% | 100.0% | (134) |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 16.8% | 27.8% | 54.4% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (202) |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 21.5% | 25.8% | 51.7% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (175) |
| 60,000 or Above | 13.8% | 24.4% | 60.3% | 1.5% | 100.0% | (191) |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 37: In the foreseeable future, do you think it is possible or not possible for Hong Kong to attain independence? Absolutely impossible, Not possible, So-so, Somewhat possible, or Absolutely possible?

| | Impossible | So-so | Possible | No Opinion/ Refuse % | Total % | (N) |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------|----------|-------------------------------|------------|-------|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| M | 84.0% | 9.9% | 5.1% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (482) |
| F | 78.6% | 15.9% | 2.3% | 3.2% | 100.0% | (528) |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 67.7% | 25.0% | 7.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) |
| 25 - 39 | 79.5% | 13.5% | 6.5% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (235) |
| 40 - 59 | 85.8% | 11.8% | 1.3% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (379) |
| 60 or Above | 82.9% | 8.0% | 2.7% | 6.4% | 100.0% | (260) |
| Edu Level | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 79.2% | 12.8% | 2.8% | 5.1% | 100.0% | (294) |
| F.4 - F.7 | 84.2% | 11.7% | 3.1% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (328) |
| Tertiary or Above | 80.5% | 14.1% | 4.7% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (386) |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | |
| Localist | 53.5% | 28.3% | 16.2% | 2.0% | 100.0% | (73) |
| Pan-democrats* | 84.9% | 12.4% | 2.2% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (338) |
| Middle | 83.9% | 12.3% | 3.4% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (192) |
| Pro-establishment* | 90.8% | 6.1% | 1.2% | 1.8% | 100.0% | (125) |
| No orientation | 79.4% | 13.2% | 3.9% | 3.5% | 100.0% | (239) |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 82.2% | 10.6% | 3.2% | 4.1% | 100.0% | (204) |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 81.0% | 12.8% | 4.4% | 1.8% | 100.0% | (134) |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 81.8% | 14.6% | 3.1% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (202) |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 76.7% | 16.5% | 5.3% | 1.5% | 100.0% | (175) |
| 60,000 or Above | 84.8% | 10.1% | 4.2% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (191) |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 38: Are you satisfied with the way the Central Government in implementing the “one country two systems in HK? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “totally dissatisfied”, 10 being “totally satisfied”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | Score 0-4 Dissatisfied | Score 5 So-so | Score 6-10 Satisfied | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 38.4% | 24.8% | 35.5% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (482) | 4.66 |
| F | 34.2% | 31.4% | 33.0% | 1.5% | 100.0% | (528) | 4.87 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 60.3% | 23.8% | 15.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 3.61 |
| 25 - 39 | 50.9% | 25.8% | 23.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 3.81 |
| 40 - 59 | 30.9% | 29.9% | 38.0% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (379) | 5.00 |
| 60 or Above | 18.3% | 31.0% | 47.6% | 3.1% | 100.0% | (260) | 5.95 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 18.5% | 35.3% | 43.4% | 2.8% | 100.0% | (294) | 5.73 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 34.6% | 30.1% | 34.5% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (328) | 4.73 |
| Tertiary or Above | 50.8% | 21.5% | 26.9% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (386) | 4.09 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 74.6% | 18.3% | 7.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 2.35 |
| Pan-democrats* | 52.8% | 29.2% | 17.7% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (338) | 3.73 |
| Middle | 27.8% | 32.4% | 39.4% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (192) | 5.19 |
| Pro-establishment* | 6.4% | 12.1% | 80.6% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (125) | 7.22 |
| No orientation | 26.4% | 34.2% | 37.1% | 2.4% | 100.0% | (239) | 5.23 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 23.1% | 31.9% | 42.5% | 2.5% | 100.0% | (204) | 5.58 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 32.3% | 32.8% | 33.5% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.73 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 36.6% | 32.2% | 30.9% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (202) | 4.39 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 47.3% | 22.8% | 28.4% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (175) | 4.36 |
| 60,000 or Above | 44.3% | 22.7% | 31.9% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (191) | 4.42 |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#]“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 39: Under “one country two systems”, what level of autonomy do you think Hong Kong should have? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “should have absolutely no autonomy” and 10 being “should have full autonomy”, what score will you give?

| | Score 0-4 | Score 5 | Score 6-10 | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 7.6% | 13.6% | 77.7% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (482) | 7.30 |
| F | 4.8% | 12.0% | 79.4% | 3.8% | 100.0% | (528) | 7.60 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 2.4% | 4.1% | 93.5% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 7.91 |
| 25 - 39 | 6.8% | 11.0% | 81.7% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (235) | 7.84 |
| 40 - 59 | 5.4% | 13.4% | 80.7% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (379) | 7.33 |
| 60 or Above | 8.3% | 17.6% | 65.4% | 8.7% | 100.0% | (260) | 7.04 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 7.7% | 20.4% | 65.4% | 6.5% | 100.0% | (294) | 7.01 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 7.3% | 13.1% | 78.7% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (328) | 7.33 |
| Tertiary or Above | 3.9% | 6.7% | 88.6% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (386) | 7.87 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 6.1% | 4.0% | 86.5% | 3.3% | 100.0% | (73) | 8.39 |
| Pan-democrats* | 7.2% | 11.1% | 81.0% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (338) | 7.66 |
| Middle | 4.2% | 13.3% | 82.5% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 7.26 |
| Pro-establishment* | 5.1% | 15.3% | 78.2% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (125) | 7.16 |
| No orientation | 6.7% | 15.1% | 73.6% | 4.6% | 100.0% | (239) | 7.20 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 10.9% | 16.2% | 66.8% | 6.1% | 100.0% | (204) | 6.97 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 8.4% | 12.9% | 77.1% | 1.7% | 100.0% | (134) | 7.25 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 4.1% | 12.2% | 83.1% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (202) | 7.58 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 4.3% | 12.9% | 82.2% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (175) | 7.62 |
| 60,000 or Above | 4.0% | 9.3% | 85.2% | 1.5% | 100.0% | (191) | 7.79 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#] “No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 40: Under “one country two systems”, what level of autonomy do you think Hong Kong currently have? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “currently have absolutely no autonomy” and 10 being “currently have full autonomy”, what score will you give?

| | Score 0-4 | Score 5 | Score 6-10 | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 35.5% | 19.5% | 43.9% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (482) | 5.10 |
| F | 32.8% | 27.0% | 37.5% | 2.7% | 100.0% | (528) | 5.01 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 42.2% | 24.7% | 33.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 4.64 |
| 25 - 39 | 50.6% | 17.1% | 32.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 4.32 |
| 40 - 59 | 29.7% | 24.4% | 44.2% | 1.7% | 100.0% | (379) | 5.24 |
| 60 or Above | 21.0% | 27.3% | 46.8% | 5.0% | 100.0% | (260) | 5.71 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 25.5% | 27.4% | 42.9% | 4.2% | 100.0% | (294) | 5.33 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 34.3% | 22.8% | 41.6% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (328) | 5.06 |
| Tertiary or Above | 40.4% | 21.2% | 37.9% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (386) | 4.84 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 63.1% | 11.6% | 25.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 3.54 |
| Pan-democrats* | 44.8% | 27.6% | 27.3% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (338) | 4.48 |
| Middle | 25.1% | 25.2% | 49.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 5.54 |
| Pro-establishment* | 6.2% | 10.0% | 80.5% | 3.3% | 100.0% | (125) | 7.09 |
| No orientation | 33.8% | 25.6% | 37.4% | 3.2% | 100.0% | (239) | 4.89 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 28.5% | 27.5% | 41.3% | 2.7% | 100.0% | (204) | 5.19 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 34.7% | 25.0% | 36.0% | 4.3% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.88 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 36.0% | 21.5% | 42.3% | 0.2% | 100.0% | (202) | 4.94 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 35.2% | 24.8% | 38.9% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (175) | 5.02 |
| 60,000 or Above | 39.0% | 17.1% | 43.4% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (191) | 5.03 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#] “No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 41: What is your impression of the localist camp? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so.

| | 0 - 4 Tend to negative | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to positive | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 56.1% | 20.9% | 18.8% | 4.1% | 100.0% | (482) | 3.24 |
| F | 40.9% | 31.3% | 14.0% | 13.8% | 100.0% | (528) | 3.65 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 22.9% | 38.3% | 34.8% | 4.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 5.13 |
| 25 - 39 | 38.4% | 31.6% | 24.4% | 5.6% | 100.0% | (235) | 4.27 |
| 40 - 59 | 60.4% | 22.3% | 11.0% | 6.3% | 100.0% | (379) | 2.91 |
| 60 or Above | 51.7% | 21.8% | 7.0% | 19.4% | 100.0% | (260) | 2.46 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 46.1% | 26.1% | 9.7% | 18.1% | 100.0% | (294) | 2.94 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 48.9% | 28.6% | 14.7% | 7.8% | 100.0% | (328) | 3.42 |
| Tertiary or Above | 49.2% | 24.5% | 22.9% | 3.4% | 100.0% | (386) | 3.79 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 3.6% | 21.7% | 73.7% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 6.79 |
| Pan-democrats* | 43.9% | 31.5% | 20.3% | 4.2% | 100.0% | (338) | 4.07 |
| Middle | 56.0% | 31.1% | 10.9% | 2.1% | 100.0% | (192) | 3.16 |
| Pro-establishment* | 82.0% | 10.3% | 3.3% | 4.5% | 100.0% | (125) | 1.20 |
| No orientation | 48.5% | 25.7% | 6.5% | 19.3% | 100.0% | (239) | 2.82 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 45.0% | 28.4% | 11.1% | 15.5% | 100.0% | (204) | 3.11 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 47.3% | 23.1% | 22.5% | 7.2% | 100.0% | (134) | 3.67 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 43.8% | 28.5% | 20.1% | 7.6% | 100.0% | (202) | 3.87 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 45.9% | 30.4% | 18.8% | 4.9% | 100.0% | (175) | 3.76 |
| 60,000 or Above | 61.5% | 20.1% | 15.2% | 3.2% | 100.0% | (191) | 3.03 |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#]“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 42: What is your impression of the pan-democratic? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so.

| | 0 - 4 Tend to negative | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to positive | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 33.8% | 29.4% | 33.0% | 3.8% | 100.0% | (482) | 4.64 |
| F | 29.9% | 36.7% | 23.8% | 9.6% | 100.0% | (528) | 4.43 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 24.9% | 39.0% | 31.9% | 4.2% | 100.0% | (130) | 4.93 |
| 25 - 39 | 27.3% | 39.2% | 29.2% | 4.3% | 100.0% | (235) | 4.80 |
| 40 - 59 | 35.3% | 29.7% | 28.6% | 6.4% | 100.0% | (379) | 4.45 |
| 60 or Above | 33.9% | 30.3% | 24.5% | 11.2% | 100.0% | (260) | 4.20 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 33.8% | 34.1% | 19.9% | 12.1% | 100.0% | (294) | 4.07 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 29.8% | 38.3% | 25.4% | 6.5% | 100.0% | (328) | 4.51 |
| Tertiary or Above | 31.9% | 28.2% | 37.1% | 2.9% | 100.0% | (386) | 4.87 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 42.6% | 24.5% | 31.8% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 4.32 |
| Pan-democrats* | 15.1% | 31.8% | 52.4% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (338) | 5.78 |
| Middle | 34.2% | 43.6% | 17.8% | 4.4% | 100.0% | (192) | 4.20 |
| Pro-establishment* | 66.6% | 21.3% | 11.3% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (125) | 3.03 |
| No orientation | 34.4% | 36.1% | 14.2% | 15.3% | 100.0% | (239) | 3.83 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 36.5% | 31.6% | 21.5% | 10.4% | 100.0% | (204) | 4.03 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 29.6% | 37.1% | 24.9% | 8.4% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.45 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 26.8% | 38.7% | 31.0% | 3.5% | 100.0% | (202) | 4.91 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 28.8% | 31.6% | 37.1% | 2.4% | 100.0% | (175) | 4.89 |
| 60,000 or Above | 37.7% | 28.7% | 31.2% | 2.5% | 100.0% | (191) | 4.49 |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#]“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 43: What is your impression of the pro-establishment? Please give a score of 0 to 10, with 0 representing very negative, 10 representing very positive, and 5 representing so-so.

| | 0 - 4 Tend to negative | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to positive | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 46.0% | 27.1% | 23.1% | 3.8% | 100.0% | (482) | 3.85 |
| F | 38.8% | 30.2% | 19.9% | 11.1% | 100.0% | (528) | 4.14 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 55.9% | 29.4% | 10.6% | 4.1% | 100.0% | (130) | 3.55 |
| 25 - 39 | 56.5% | 26.7% | 10.8% | 6.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 3.19 |
| 40 - 59 | 38.6% | 27.9% | 27.0% | 6.4% | 100.0% | (379) | 4.15 |
| 60 or Above | 27.3% | 31.3% | 28.6% | 12.7% | 100.0% | (260) | 4.81 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 23.6% | 38.9% | 25.1% | 12.5% | 100.0% | (294) | 4.66 |
| F.4 - F.7 | 41.2% | 26.2% | 24.0% | 8.6% | 100.0% | (328) | 4.05 |
| Tertiary or Above | 57.6% | 23.0% | 16.5% | 2.8% | 100.0% | (386) | 3.49 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 80.1% | 13.4% | 5.4% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 1.81 |
| Pan-democrats* | 61.1% | 26.1% | 10.1% | 2.6% | 100.0% | (338) | 3.21 |
| Middle | 31.3% | 41.3% | 22.8% | 4.6% | 100.0% | (192) | 4.43 |
| Pro-establishment* | 7.5% | 9.9% | 80.3% | 2.3% | 100.0% | (125) | 6.81 |
| No orientation | 35.1% | 36.7% | 13.0% | 15.1% | 100.0% | (239) | 3.91 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 30.4% | 34.8% | 23.7% | 11.1% | 100.0% | (204) | 4.59 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 36.3% | 32.4% | 21.7% | 9.7% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.02 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 40.3% | 32.7% | 22.6% | 4.4% | 100.0% | (202) | 4.06 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 56.0% | 20.4% | 18.7% | 4.9% | 100.0% | (175) | 3.47 |
| 60,000 or Above | 56.7% | 21.0% | 19.9% | 2.4% | 100.0% | (191) | 3.51 |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#]“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 44: Do you agree or disagree that activities demanding political reforms in Hong Kong must be peaceful and non-violent? Strongly agree, Somewhat agree, So-so, Somewhat disagree, or Strongly disagree?

| | Agree | So-so | Disagree | No opinion / Refuse | Total | (N) |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| Gender | | | | | | |
| M | 66.2% | 25.0% | 7.9% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (482) |
| F | 75.9% | 19.3% | 4.1% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (528) |
| Age | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 48.9% | 35.0% | 16.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) |
| 25 - 39 | 61.1% | 30.2% | 8.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) |
| 40 - 59 | 81.1% | 14.9% | 3.3% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (379) |
| 60 or Above | 77.2% | 18.7% | 2.1% | 2.0% | 100.0% | (260) |
| Edu Level | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 71.6% | 24.8% | 1.7% | 1.9% | 100.0% | (294) |
| F.4 - F.7 | 75.1% | 20.9% | 3.7% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (328) |
| Tertiary or Above | 67.9% | 20.8% | 10.9% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (386) |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | |
| Localist | 33.0% | 31.7% | 35.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) |
| Pan-democrats* | 71.7% | 23.1% | 5.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (338) |
| Middle | 77.1% | 19.5% | 3.0% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (192) |
| Pro-establishment* | 87.3% | 11.8% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (125) |
| No orientation | 70.7% | 24.9% | 3.4% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (239) |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 71.0% | 23.4% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 100.0% | (204) |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 71.1% | 21.8% | 7.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 72.5% | 21.2% | 6.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 72.1% | 20.4% | 7.5% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) |
| 60,000 or Above | 66.5% | 25.2% | 7.8% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (191) |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

Table 45: How high is your trust in the HKSAR Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | 0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to Trust | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 45.9% | 20.0% | 33.4% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (482) | 4.31 |
| F | 39.4% | 29.4% | 30.6% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (528) | 4.54 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 60.2% | 21.6% | 18.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 3.66 |
| 25 - 39 | 57.8% | 20.0% | 22.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 3.56 |
| 40 - 59 | 39.5% | 24.3% | 36.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (379) | 4.59 |
| 60 or Above | 24.1% | 31.7% | 42.1% | 2.1% | 100.0% | (260) | 5.41 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 25.6% | 34.2% | 39.0% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (294) | 5.12 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 44.1% | 24.2% | 31.2% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (328) | 4.29 |
| Tertiary or Above | 54.2% | 18.4% | 27.3% | 0.1% | 100.0% | (386) | 4.03 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 75.5% | 12.3% | 12.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 2.56 |
| Pan-democrats* | 59.7% | 23.7% | 16.3% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (338) | 3.51 |
| Middle | 32.9% | 28.3% | 38.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 4.89 |
| Pro-establishment* | 6.7% | 13.3% | 80.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 6.98 |
| No orientation | 35.6% | 34.9% | 28.6% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (239) | 4.46 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 27.5% | 33.7% | 37.1% | 1.7% | 100.0% | (204) | 5.13 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 46.3% | 23.8% | 29.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.30 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 41.5% | 29.2% | 29.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) | 4.15 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 49.6% | 21.4% | 29.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) | 4.11 |
| 60,000 or Above | 54.7% | 14.0% | 30.4% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (191) | 4.03 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 46: How high is your trust in the Central Government? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | 0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to Trust | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 44.3% | 21.0% | 33.6% | 1.1% | 100.0% | (482) | 4.26 |
| F | 45.4% | 23.0% | 30.2% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (528) | 4.40 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 78.1% | 11.2% | 10.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 2.91 |
| 25 - 39 | 57.2% | 20.0% | 22.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 3.33 |
| 40 - 59 | 40.6% | 22.4% | 35.5% | 1.5% | 100.0% | (379) | 4.51 |
| 60 or Above | 23.5% | 29.0% | 44.8% | 2.7% | 100.0% | (260) | 5.73 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 25.3% | 32.5% | 40.7% | 1.6% | 100.0% | (294) | 5.35 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 45.1% | 20.6% | 32.3% | 2.0% | 100.0% | (328) | 4.24 |
| Tertiary or Above | 59.3% | 15.6% | 24.7% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (386) | 3.66 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 85.6% | 9.3% | 5.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 1.79 |
| Pan-democrats* | 63.1% | 21.7% | 14.7% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (338) | 3.25 |
| Middle | 37.7% | 24.3% | 37.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 4.75 |
| Pro-establishment* | 5.5% | 12.7% | 81.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 7.35 |
| No orientation | 36.1% | 29.7% | 32.0% | 2.1% | 100.0% | (239) | 4.56 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 30.4% | 27.5% | 39.5% | 2.6% | 100.0% | (204) | 5.18 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 46.2% | 23.1% | 28.9% | 1.7% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.14 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 46.5% | 23.1% | 30.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) | 3.99 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 53.6% | 21.9% | 24.1% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (175) | 3.93 |
| 60,000 or Above | 53.4% | 14.0% | 32.3% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (191) | 3.94 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 47: How high is your trust in the HK Police Force? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “no trust at all”, 10 being “total trust”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | 0 - 4 Tend to Not Trust | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to Trust | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean # |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 27.1% | 16.3% | 56.1% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (482) | 5.75 |
| F | 18.9% | 24.1% | 55.7% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (528) | 6.09 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 38.2% | 24.4% | 37.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 4.70 |
| 25 - 39 | 34.9% | 22.1% | 42.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 5.19 |
| 40 - 59 | 18.2% | 19.4% | 61.5% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (379) | 6.14 |
| 60 or Above | 11.1% | 18.7% | 68.1% | 2.1% | 100.0% | (260) | 6.90 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 10.5% | 22.7% | 64.8% | 2.0% | 100.0% | (294) | 6.71 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 22.6% | 20.9% | 55.6% | 0.8% | 100.0% | (328) | 5.89 |
| Tertiary or Above | 32.2% | 18.3% | 49.3% | 0.2% | 100.0% | (386) | 5.36 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 61.7% | 12.9% | 25.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 3.71 |
| Pan-democrats* | 32.2% | 25.7% | 41.2% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (338) | 5.15 |
| Middle | 15.0% | 19.8% | 65.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 6.27 |
| Pro-establishment* | 1.8% | 5.4% | 92.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 8.19 |
| No orientation | 16.8% | 23.8% | 58.0% | 1.4% | 100.0% | (239) | 6.07 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 15.0% | 21.1% | 61.9% | 2.1% | 100.0% | (204) | 6.54 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 22.2% | 21.3% | 54.9% | 1.6% | 100.0% | (134) | 5.91 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 23.1% | 18.3% | 58.2% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (202) | 5.79 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 24.5% | 24.8% | 50.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) | 5.64 |
| 60,000 or Above | 32.2% | 17.2% | 50.6% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (191) | 5.48 |

* Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

“No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 48: What is your view about the future development of Hong Kong? Along a scale from 0 to 10, with 0 being “extremely pessimistic”, 10 being “extremely optimistic”, and 5 being “so-so”, what score will you give?

| | 0 - 4 Tend to Pessimistic | 5 So-so | 6 - 10 Tend to Optimistic | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) | Mean [#] |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| M | 37.0% | 33.1% | 29.2% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (482) | 4.72 |
| F | 30.8% | 40.6% | 28.0% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (528) | 4.88 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 46.3% | 29.9% | 23.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (130) | 4.65 |
| 25 - 39 | 47.2% | 30.8% | 22.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) | 4.46 |
| 40 - 59 | 31.2% | 39.0% | 28.9% | 0.9% | 100.0% | (379) | 4.80 |
| 60 or Above | 19.1% | 42.9% | 36.7% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (260) | 5.22 |
| Edu Level | | | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 22.2% | 45.0% | 32.4% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (294) | 5.10 |
| F.4 – F.7 | 33.1% | 37.4% | 28.5% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (328) | 4.84 |
| Tertiary or Above | 42.8% | 30.7% | 25.8% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (386) | 4.56 |
| Political Orientation | | | | | | | |
| Localist | 61.3% | 21.9% | 16.9% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) | 4.11 |
| Pan-democrats* | 38.9% | 36.3% | 24.2% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (338) | 4.56 |
| Middle | 30.1% | 42.1% | 27.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) | 4.96 |
| Pro-establishment* | 18.2% | 28.1% | 53.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) | 5.76 |
| No orientation | 30.6% | 45.3% | 22.8% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (239) | 4.60 |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 27.8% | 42.5% | 28.4% | 1.2% | 100.0% | (204) | 4.80 |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 30.5% | 37.8% | 31.7% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) | 4.96 |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 36.9% | 34.5% | 28.3% | 0.3% | 100.0% | (202) | 4.74 |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 34.3% | 41.8% | 23.6% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (175) | 4.85 |
| 60,000 or Above | 42.3% | 27.0% | 30.1% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (191) | 4.63 |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

[#] “No view / Refuse” are not included in the calculation of the mean.

Table 49: Have you considered emigrating overseas? [If yes: have thought about it; are planning to; have commenced the process?]

| | Yes | No | No view / Refuse | Total | (N) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| Gender | | | | | |
| M | 21.4% | 77.9% | 0.7% | 100.0% | (482) |
| F | 20.5% | 78.9% | 0.6% | 100.0% | (528) |
| Age | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 33.5% | 65.5% | 1.0% | 100.0% | (130) |
| 25 - 39 | 26.7% | 73.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (235) |
| 40 - 59 | 23.4% | 76.2% | 0.4% | 100.0% | (379) |
| 60 or Above | 5.7% | 92.9% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (260) |
| Edu Level | | | | | |
| F3 or Below | 10.0% | 88.7% | 1.3% | 100.0% | (294) |
| F.4 – F.7 | 21.6% | 77.8% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (328) |
| Tertiary or Above | 28.8% | 71.2% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (386) |
| Political Orientation | | | | | |
| Localist | 40.6% | 59.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (73) |
| Pan-democrats* | 25.8% | 74.0% | 0.1% | 100.0% | (338) |
| Middle | 18.9% | 81.1% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (192) |
| Pro-establishment* | 10.2% | 89.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (125) |
| No orientation | 17.2% | 82.2% | 0.5% | 100.0% | (239) |
| Monthly Household Income | | | | | |
| 14,999 or Below | 7.7% | 90.3% | 2.0% | 100.0% | (204) |
| 15,000 - 24,999 | 14.7% | 85.3% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (134) |
| 25,000 - 39,999 | 22.0% | 78.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (202) |
| 40,000 - 59,999 | 29.2% | 70.8% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (175) |
| 60,000 or Above | 35.6% | 64.4% | 0.0% | 100.0% | (191) |

*Pan-Democrats mean Radical Democrats and Moderate Democrats; Pro-Establishment includes Business-industrial and Pro-Beijing.

-- End --