Chapter 18

Leisure boredom, sensation seeking, self-esteem, addiction

Symptoms and patterns of cell phone use

Louis Leung

Introduction

According to a study by the Pew Internet and American Life Project, 45 percent of 12-17-year-olds in the U.S.A. have cell phones, and 33 percent have used a cell phone to send text messages (Lenhart et al., 2005). Of those who often do texting on their cell phone, almost one in three (29 percent) teenagers use it to communicate with their parents. In another cell phone use study by Pew (Rainie & Keeter, 2006), it was reported that teenagers often use their cell phone to take still pictures (28 percent), play electronic games (22 percent), surf the internet (14 percent), and send/receive emails (8 percent). Playing with features on the cell phone (including reading online news and downloading songs, wallpaper, and ring tones) appears to have become the adolescent leisure phenomenon in recent years. As the phones have become cheaper and more sophisticated, sales of cell phones to teenagers have become more common. However, as the cell phones become more compact, concerns about problem use are growing. To date, there has been almost no study of whether cell phone use is addictive or dependence-forming.

This study was established to center upon the people directly involved with a modern syndrome—adolescents and young adults whose cell phones had come to dominate their lives and interests. The investigation aims to examine whether certain factors could be isolated as instrumental in the development of such a syndrome. Past research has found that the heaviest substance users or addicts tended to be those who scored high on sensation seeking and leisure boredom and low on self-esteem (Gordon & Caltabiano 1996; Iso-Ahola & Crowley, 1991; Iso-Ahola & Weissinger, 1990). As a result, theoretical constructs, such as leisure boredom, sensation seeking, and self-esteem, will be used as the basis from which to explain addiction symptoms and cell phone use.

Theoretical frameworks

Cell phone addiction

This research was initiated based upon previous studies (Beard, 2002; Beard & Wolf, 2001; Chak & Leung, 2004; Griffiths, 1998, 2000; Katz & Akhus, 2002; Leung, 2004; Ling, 2004; Scherer, 1997; Young, 1996, 1998, 1999) which indicated that some online users were becoming addicted to the internet in much the same way that others became addicted to gambling, drugs, and alcohol. Traditionally, the concept of "addiction" was based on a medical model and is properly reserved for bodily and psychological dependence on a physical substance-and not a behavioral pattern. Recent research has argued that addiction should be widened to cover a broader range of behaviors (Lemon, 2002; Orford, 2001; Shaffer, 1996). As a subset of behavioral addiction, Griffiths (1996) proposed the concept of technological addiction, which is operationally defined as human-machine interaction and is non-chemical in nature. Despite whether the excessive use of various technologies, such as internet surfing, TV watching, and computer gaming, can be or should be called an "addiction," scholars have argued that excessive use of technology can be considered problematic (Griffiths, 1998; Griffiths & Hunt, 1998; Shotton, 1989). Today, as the capability of the cell phone becomes more and more sophisticated and multifunctional, adolescents and young users are becoming increasingly dependent or "addicted" to this technology, not only for mediated interpersonal communication through voice or text (such as SMS) but also as a tool for seeking information online, for entertainment, relaxation, passing time, picture and video taking and other yet-to-be invented applications, and as an expression of status and identity.

To clinically define addictive use of the cell phone, it is necessary to compare it against criteria for other established addictions. The American Psychiatric Association's *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (known as DSM) has established objective and measurable criteria for assessing "substance dependence" (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). The main diagnostic criterion is a maladaptive pattern of substance use, leading to significant psychological impairment. This impairment is manifested by *seven* symptoms from a list of conditions including with-drawal, tolerance, preoccupation with the substance, loss of control over the substance, more use of the substance than intended, continued consumption of the substance despite adverse consequences, and loss of interest in other social, occupational, and recreational activities.

Addictive cell phone use can be regarded as an impulse control disorder that does not involve an intoxicant and is similar to pathological gambling. Bianchi & Phillips (2005) identified a number of signs that cell phone addicts would exhibit and developed the cell phone problem-use scale. It was found that dependents of cell phones preoccupy themselves with the cell phone (e.g., when out of range for some time, users become worried with the thought of missing a call); use the cell phone for an increasing amount of time in order to achieve satisfaction; repeat unsuccessful efforts to control, cut back, or stop cell phone use; feel lost, restless, moody, depressed, or irritable when attempting to cut down cell phone use; stay on the cell phone longer than originally intended; hide from family and friends or others to conceal the extent of involvement with the cell phone; and use the cell phone as a way of escape from problems or to relieve a dysphoric mood (e.g., feeling of isolation, anxiety, loneliness, and depression).

Given the lack of similar research in this area, this study expands the work by Bianchi and Phillips (2005) and seeks predictors from the addiction literature and other psychological theories about topics such as leisure boredom, sensation seeking, and self-esteem in order to differentiate the addicts and the nonaddicts and to explain usage patterns of cell phones. Therefore, this study asked:

RQ₁: What cell phone addiction symptoms can be identified among a group of adolescents and young adults?

RQ₂: Who are the cell phone addicts and to what extent are adolescents and young adults addicted to cell phone use?

Leisure boredom

Research suggests that unless leisure is optimally arousing, it is experienced as boredom (Iso-Ahola, 1980), and that individuals who experience high levels of leisure boredom may engage in deviant activities such as substance use (Iso-Ahola & Crowley, 1991). Perceptions of leisure as boredom are associated with negative affect, and can be manifested as beliefs that available leisure experiences are not sufficiently frequent, involving, exciting, varied, or novel (Iso-Ahola and Weissinger, 1990). Iso-Ahola & Weissinger argue that leisure behavior is optimally arousing for it to be psychologically rewarding, especially when individuals perceive that they have just the right amount of time for leisure activities; not too much or too little. Thus, leisure boredom is a likely consequence of conflicting perceptions of having too much time available with too little to do (Hill & Perkins, 1985). In fact, Phillips (1993) has suggested that having an abundance of time is central to boredom.

Leisure boredom is related to other forms of addiction and has been implicated in deviant activity involvement, particularly drug use and delinquency (Iso-Ahola & Crowley, 1991). Frequency and quantity of alcohol use among female college students has been found to be positively correlated with boredom susceptibility, and adolescents who smoke report being more bored and less challenged than nonsmokers (Orcutt, 1984). In addition, young smokers perceive their leisure time as qualitatively less fulfilling (Smith & Caldwell, 1989). Mattick & Baillie (1992) also found that adolescent smokers cite relaxation and relief from boredom as reasons for smoking. Furthermore, leisure boredom may also be correlated with adolescent participation in crime (Mukherjee & Dagger, 1990).

Despite increased attention to adolescent leisure pursuits over the past decades, researchers have generally overlooked leisure-related factors as correlates and causes of addictive use, and other deviant behaviors, with the cell phone. Increasingly, the cell phone allows adolescents, while having not much to do, to be engaged in a number of activities, such as texting in SMS, gaming, accessing the internet, reading online news, shooting and viewing pictures or video, among others. This is surprising considering that such activities probably occur most often during leisure time and in leisure settings. In this study, relationships between leisure boredom and cell phone dependency, phone use, and use of special features in the cell phone will be examined. Accordingly, the following hypotheses are posed:

 $H_{1,1}$: The higher the level of leisure boredom one experiences, the higher the likelihood one will be addicted to the cell phone.

 $H_{1,2}$: Subjects who score high on the level of leisure boredom will report a higher frequency of phone calls on the cell phone.

 $H_{1,3}$: Subjects who score high on leisure boredom will report a higher amount of cell phone features use.

According to optimal arousal perspective, individuals' motivation to seek out leisure activities and the activities they choose, vary according to their arousal levels. The psychological construct used to conceptualize this notion is Zuckerman *et al.*'s (1964) sensation-seeking motive.

Sensation-seeking behavior

Past research suggested that sensation seeking has emerged as being capable of explaining a variety of behaviors, such as drug use, aggression, sex, skydiving, bungee jumping, body-contact sports, hiking and camping, or playing computer and video games (Zuckerman, 1979; 1994). Zuckerman's sensation-seeking scale (1979) measures individual differences in sensation seeking along four dimensions: thrill and adventure seeking, experience seeking, disinhibition, and susceptibility to boredom. While the adventure-seeking dimension can be defined as a desire to engage in sports or other activities involving speed or danger (Zuckerman *et al.*, 1978), the experience-seeking dimension measures behaviors

involving the pursuit of new experiences through travel, music, art, and drug usage. The disinhibition dimension features behaviors that ignore social constraints, such as fighting, seeking social stimulation through parties, social drinking, and a variety of sex partners. The susceptibility to boredom subscale measures the level to avoid boredom produced by unchanging circumstances.

Adolescence is a time for experimentation with rules, roles, and relationships. According to Jessor and Jessor (1977), adolescents purposely seek out risks. They suggest that such behaviors permit adolescents to: (1) deal with anxiety, frustration, and failure; (2) gain admission to peer groups and demonstrate identification with a youth subculture; (3) confirm personal identity; (4) express opposition to adult authority and conventional society; (5) take control of their lives; and (6) affirm maturity and mark a development transition into young adulthood. Further, Jessor and Jessor also explain the need for sensation seeking as a function of pleasure- or funseeking behaviors. The need for change, variety, and intensity of stimulation manifests itself in sensory, social, and thrill-seeking behaviors.

Just as there are inappropriate times to seek out leisure activities to maintain the optimal arousal level, there will also be times to use the cell phone features for entertainment, or to contact someone to escape from boredom. This study analyzed whether sensation seeking is related to adolescents' and young adults' phone calls and features use of the cell phone. As a result, the following hypotheses are formulated:

 $H_{2.1}$: Subjects who score high on sensation seeking will exhibit a higher tendency to be addicted to cell phone use.

 $H_{2,2}$: Subjects who score high on sensation seeking will have a higher frequency of phone calls on the cell phone.

 $H_{2,3}$: Subjects who score high on sensation seeking will report a higher amount of cell phone features use.

Self-esteem

Self-esteem is a part of the "unwillingness to communicate syndrome" since individuals who have low self-esteem expect others to react negatively because they have an unfavorable concept of self (Infante, 1976). When individuals have low self-esteem, they lack self-confidence in general, and they have little faith that their stance on controversial issues is valid. As a result, they are less motivated to communicate because they expect to fail. Adolescence is marked by a growing sense of self-identity. Adolescents' self-perceptions of their capabilities could be expected to impinge on activity choices. Such perceptions and expectations have been conceptual-

ized as the self-concept, a construct which has been regarded by psychological theorists as a major motivating factor in the control and direction of human behavior (Burns, 1979). Satisfaction with one's current activities, appearance, and friendships contributes to a positive self-concept, while deficits in such areas lower the self-concept (Deaux & Wrightsman, 1988). Negative self-concept has been used to explain a wide array of deviant behaviors and has become an important feature in many explanations of delinquency (Oyserman & Markus, 1990). Past research has also found that perceptions of boredom in leisure activities increased with a corresponding decrease in perceived self-esteem, social competence, and leisure satisfaction (Iso-Ahola & Weissinger, 1990). Gordon and Caltabiano (1996) found that adolescents who were the heaviest substance users, and may even develop addictive behavior, were those who scored low on selfesteem and high on sensation seeking. As a result, we propose:

 $H_{3,1}$: Subjects who score low on self-esteem (who perceive themselves as not being in control) will demonstrate a higher tendency toward cell phone addiction.

 $H_{3,2}$: Subjects who score high on self-esteem will report a higher frequency of phone calls on the cell phone.

 $H_{3,3}$: Subjects who score low on self-esteem will report a higher amount of cell phone features use.

In discussing media use from the uses and gratifications perspective, Rubin (2002) argued that individual life-position attributes-such as personality or psychological health (e.g., leisure boredom, sensation seeking, loneliness, and depression) and situational variables (e.g., social interaction or size of social capital)-will affect our motives to communicate, our strategies for seeking information and diversion, and our dependency on a medium. Here, social capital refers to the amount of communication that takes place among its members within their social network (Putnam, 1995). In general, the relationship between social capital and information and communication technologies (ICTs) seems to be an ambivalent one. High levels of social capital or strong, preexisting networks, for example, are seen to be a success factor in establishing an electronic-based network (Fukuyama, 2001). At the same time, the existence of ICT creates networking infrastructure that encourages the formation of social capital Calabrese and Borchert, 1996). Thus, the relationship between social capital and ICTs seems to be reciprocal. Since social capital is about connections among people, one obvious question is whether social capital affects the need for ICT (e.g., the cell phone) in order to maintain their level of social engagement. In examining the

addictive nature of the internet, Wallace (1999) suggested that some psychological spaces of the internet might be so attractive, so absorbing, that they may lead people into very heavy use, even compulsive overuse. A similar question could also be asked: What is it about the psychological spaces created by the cell phone that draws out behavior that in extreme cases looks like an addiction? Grounded in the cell phone addiction construct, together with leisure boredom, sensation seeking, self-esteem, and social capital, this study examined their influences on addictive use of the cell phone. Therefore, this study seeks to expand previous research by addressing a two-part research question:

RQ₃: How can demographics, leisure boredom, sensation seeking, selfesteem, cell phone dependency symptoms, and social capital predict: (a) cell phone use in general and (b) features of the cell phone in particular?

Methodology

Sample and sampling procedure

Data were gathered from a probability sample of 624 teenagers and young adults ranging in age from 14 to 28 (M = 19.4) who responded to a telephone survey in August 2005. The 14–28 year olds were targeted because they were the heaviest users of the cell phone in Hong Kong (Leung & Wei, 1999). Telephone numbers were randomly drawn from the most recent edition of the territory telephone directory. All of the calls were made from a central location using a Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system. Noneligible respondents (i.e., younger than 14 and older than 28), numbers that were unobtainable, and numbers that were not answered after five attempts were excluded. In addition, eligible respondents had to be cell phone users. The sample consisted of 51.8 percent male respondents. The response rate was 62.1 percent.

Measurement

Cell phone addiction

The 27-item Mobile Phone Problem Use Scale (MPPUS) developed by Bianchi & Phillips (2005) was adapted to measure cell phone addiction in this study. However, only 17 items from MPPUS, which contained eight revised items from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) for screening gambling problems, were used to create the composite *cell phone addiction index* (MPAI). The eight items adapted from DSM-IV were also used by Young (1996) to develop her screening instrument for addictive internet use. A 5-point Likert scale was used on the 17-item MPAI scale with 1 = not at all, 2 = rarely, 3 = occasionally, 4 = often, and 5 = always. The Cronbach's alpha was remarkably high at .89.

Leisure boredom

To assess perceptions of boredom in leisure, the Leisure Boredom Scale (LBS: Iso-Ahola & Weissinger, 1990), containing 16 items that ask people to indicate how they feel about their leisure time (i.e., nonwork hours), was used. LBS is potentially usable in clinical and applied research involving the examination of leisure dysfunctions such as lethargy, substance abuse, and vandalism. The scale items (e.g., "For me, leisure time just drags on and on; leisure time activities do not excite me") were used on a 5-point scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5), with high scores indicating greater leisure boredom. The factor structure of the LBS was examined and the results indicated the existence of a single factor with a high internal consistency reliability of .78.

Sensation seeking

The adventure-seeking sub-scale, consisting 4 items from the 4-dimension sensation-seeking scale, was adapted from Zuckerman *et al.* (1978) to assess desire to engage in sports-related and other activities involving speed or danger (Cronbach's alpha = .78). Other sub-scales were excluded because they deal with behaviors such as drinking, sex, and drugs. Respondents were asked if they would participate in the following activities: flying an airplane, sky diving, downhill skiing, and bungee jumping. A 5-point scale was used with 1 = would never try and 5 = often do.

Self-esteem

The 10-item Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale was used to assess this construct. It is a brief measure with high test-retest internal reliability and validity of .80 – .84 (Kivimaki & Kalimo, 1996). In the current study, Cronbach's alpha was .80.

Cell phone call usage patterns

Respondents were asked three questions regarding the cell phone call usage pattern: (1) How much time each day (in minutes) do you find yourself communicating with someone on the cell phone? (2) How many minutes on average do you spend on each call? (3) How many people do you talk to on the cell phone on a regular basis?

Features use

Three most common features in the cell phone are for texting, entertainment, and information seeking. To assess texting, respondents were asked: "How often do you send/receive SMS/MMS/e-mail messages?" For entertainment, respondents were asked: "How often do you take/send/ receive pictures, play electronic games, record video/audio, or download ring tones on your cell phone?" And for information seeking, they were asked: "How often do you read online news?" A 5-point scale was used with 1 = never and 5 = very often on all the feature questions.

Social capital

To measure social capital, respondents were asked to report the estimated active time in minutes the previous day that they met face-to-face with (a) family and relatives and (b) friends and schoolmates.

Findings

Cell phone addiction symptoms

The cell phone addiction index (MPAI) scale was developed to collect responses from 624 adolescents and young adults to identify cell phone addiction symptoms and, as a composite, to assess their level of cell phone addiction. As shown in Table 18.1, the principal components factor procedure yielded a four-factor cell phone addiction symptoms structure and accounted for 57.73 percent of total variance. The first factor was "inability to control craving," which consisted of seven items reflecting the inabilities of adolescents and young adults to hide from others the amount of time they spent on the cell phone, to avoid complaints they received from friends and family on their compulsive cell phone use, and to evade loss of sleep due to excessive use. This factor had an eigenvalue of 6.2 and explained 36.48 percent of the total variance. The reliability of these seven items as indicated by Cronbach's alpha was high at .83 (M = 2.00, s.d. = .78). "Anxiety and feeling lost' was the second factor (eigenvalue = 1.47, 8.62 percent of variance, $\alpha = .76$, M = 2.66, s.d. = 1.01). It included four items characterizing that young adults and adolescents felt anxious, lost, preoccupied, and had difficulty switching off their cell phone. "Withdrawal and escape" was the third factor (eigenvalue = 1.12, 6.56 percent of variance, $\alpha = .81$, M = 2.97, s.d. = 1.15). It consisted of 3 items illustrating how adolescents and young adults used the cell phone to escape from loneliness and feeling down and isolated. The fourth factor, "productivity loss" (eigenvalue = 1.03, 6.07 percent of variance, $\alpha = .60$, M = 2.22, s.d. = .79) contained 3 items indicating that adolescents and young adults found that excessive use of

		Mean	SD	Factors	5		
				1	2	3	4
Inal	pility to Control Craving						
I	You have been told that you spend too much time on your cell phone	1.85	1.11	0.790			
2	Your friends and family complained about your use of the cell phone	1.98	1.20	0.774			
3	You have tried to hide from others how much time you spend on your cell phone $(7)^*$	1.84	1.00	0.640			
4	You find yourself engaged on the cell phone for longer period of time than intended (5)*	2.46	1.17	0.583			
5	You can never spend enough time on your cell phone (2)*	2.03	1.04	0.576			
6	You have attempted to spend less time on your cell phone but are unable to (3)*	2.02	1.09	0.520			
7	You lose sleep due to the time you spend on your cell phone	1.85	1.12	0.517			
Fee	ing Anxious & Lost						
8	When out of range for some time, you become preoccupied with the thought of missing a call (1)*	2.70	1.26		0.728		
9	You feel anxious if you have not checked for messages or turned on your cell phone for some time (4)*	2.55	1.27		0.723		
10 11	You find it difficult to turn off your cell phone You feel lost without your cell phone	2.60 2.80	1.42 1.36		0.6901 0.648		
	hdrawal/Escape						
12	You have used your cell phone to talk to others when you were feeling isolated	3.10	1.29			0.839)
13	You have used your cell phone to talk to others when you were feeling lonely	3.18	1.29			0.824	ŀ
14	You were teeling lonely You have used your cell phone to make yourself feel better when you were feeling down (8)*	2.62	1.24			0.705	5
Prod	luctivity Loss						
15	You find yourself occupied on your cell phone when you should be doing other things, and it causes problem (6)*	2.46	1.17				0.807
16	Your productivity has decreased as a direct result of the time you spend on the cell phone	2.02	1.05				0.74
17	There are times when you would rather use the cell phone than deal with other more pressing issues	2.18	1.21				0.424
Eige	envalue			6.20	1.47	1.12	1.03
-	iance explained (percent)			36.48		6.56	6.07
Cro	onbach's Alpha			0.83	0.76	0.81	0.60

Table 101 E all ab ا حا : حد : ا ı. . r

Notes

Scale used: I = Not at all; 2 = Rarely; 3 = Occasionally; 4 = Often; and 5 = Always; N = 624 * Items marked with '*' resemble or are equivalent to the 8-item Young's internet addiction diagnostic scale.

the cell phone has caused problems in their lives, decreased productivity, and diverted attention from pressing issues that they should be facing. The mean score for the 17-item cell phone addiction index (MPAI) was 39.73 with s.d. = 12.12.

As a whole, this study identified four cell phone addiction symptoms which were conceptually consistent with the theoretical origins described in the diagnostic criteria for pathological gambling in DSM-IV. The original DSM measure for pathological gambling was based on eight items; however, this study employed 17.

Profiles of the cell phone addicts

To assess the extent to which adolescents and young adults are addicted to the cell phone, Young's classic definition of internet addiction was adopted; in this, a total of eight items from the 17 that are most conceptually equivalent to Young's (1996) screening instrument on internet addiction were employed. According to this classical measure, 28.7 percent in our sample can be classified as cell phone addicts. This means that over a quarter of the 624 adolescents and young adults were cell phone dependents. To further distinguish the cell phone addicts and non-addicts, a canonical discriminant analysis procedure was ordered. Results in Table 18.2 suggest that adolescents and young adults addicted to the cell phone were distinguished (in the order of the strength in the structure coefficients) by scoring higher in leisure boredom and sensation-seeking, more general use (i.e., higher overall use of the cell phone in minutes per day and staying longer on each call in minutes), and more features use of the cell phone (e.g., sending/receiving e-mail/SMS/MMS; taking/sending/ receiving pictures; recording video and audio; reading news; downloading ring tones and games; and keeping their cell phone on at bed time) when compared to the nonaddicted users. More specifically, the cell phone addicts spent about 54.5 minutes a day more on the cell phone (t = -3.71, p < .001) than the nonaddicted. On average, addicted cell phone users spend 108.82 minutes a day on the cell phone, while the nonaddicted spend 54.41 minutes. The function correctly classified 71.7 percent of the cases.

As a whole, irrespective of whether they are cell phone addicts or not, the average time on the cell phone for the sample was 84 minutes per day. This figure was about 4.77 times more than Bianchi & Phillips' (2005) study at 17.62 minutes per day. This is probably due to the age difference, as the present study focused on adolescents and young adults (ages from 14 to 28 with M = 19), while the Bianchi & Phillips' (2005) study was from ages 18 to 85 with the mean age equaling 36. Unlike any other, a cell phone is the medium of choice for mediated interpersonal communication for adolescents and young adults. This new generation is at the heart

Table 18.2 Discriminant analysis of cell phone addicts with psychological variables, cell
phone usage pPattern, features used, and demographics as predictors ^a (N = 545)

Predictors	Structure Coefficients
Psychological Variables	
Leisure boredom	0.30***
Sensation seeking	0.30***
Self-esteem	-0.29
Cell phone Usage Pattern	
Amount of use (in minutes per day)	0.54***
Average length of each call (in minutes)	0.39***
Number of people talked to regularly	0.17
Features Used ^b	
Send SMS/MMS/email	0.57***
Receive SMS/MMS/email	0.50***
Take pictures	0.36***
Send/receive pictures	0.36***
Record video/audio	0.38***
Read news/surf the internet	0.56***
Play electronic games	0.25
Download ring tones/games	0.45***
Turn it off when you go to bed	-0.35***
Demographics	
Age	0.12
Gender	-0.02
Education	0.05
Eigenvalue	0.23
Canonical correlation	0.43
Degree of freedom	15.00
Wilks' Lambda	0.81
Significance	p<.001
Group Centroids	
Addicts	0.71
Non-addicts	-0.33
Cases correctly classified	71.7percent

Notes

a The classification of subjects into being addicts or non-addicts was carried out according to the classical definition of Young's (1996) internet addiction scale, which consists of 8 items (from the 17) conceptually similar to the classical measure. Items were dichotomized and the data used ranged from 0 to 8. Respondents were considered "addicted" to the cell phone when answering "yes = 1" to five (or more) of the eight "yes" or "no" questions for addictive cell phone use. Addicts were dummy coded as 1 and non-addicts as 0.

b Scale used on these items: I = Never; 5 = Very often.

of a new youth culture treating the cell phone as a companion, where in profound and fundamental ways they play, communicate, shop, and spend their leisure time very differently than their parents.

Hypotheses testing

 H_{11} predicted that the higher the level of leisure boredom one experiences, the higher the likelihood one will be dependent on the cell phone. As expected, bivariate results in Table 18.3 show that leisure boredom was significantly related to the composite of the 17-item MPAI (r = .13, p < .01). Further analyses of the relationships between leisure boredom and cell phone addiction symptoms, such as inability to control craving (r =.18, p < .001) and productivity loss (r = .17, p < .001), were also found to be significantly linked. Thus, H₁₁ received strong support. H₁₂ proposed that the higher the level of leisure boredom one experiences, the more phone calls will be reported in using the cell phone. Results shown in Table 18.4 show that relationships between leisure boredom and amount of use in minutes per day, length of call in minutes per call, as well as number of people talked to regularly were all insignificant. Therefore, H1.2 failed to receive any support. Similarly, H1.3 hypothesized that the higher the level of leisure boredom one experiences, the more cell phone features one will use on a typical day. However, no significant relationships were found (see 18. Table 5) between leisure boredom and use of cell phone features such as texting in SMS/MMS for interpersonal communication, taking/ sending/receiving pictures, playing electronic games and downloading ring tones for entertainment, and reading online news for information. As a result, H_{13} was not supported.

	Cell phone	Cell phone Addiction Symptoms						
	Addiction Index (MPAI) ª	Inability to Control Craving	Feeling Anxious & Lost	Withdrawal/ Escape	Produc- tivity Loss			
Demographics Age		-0.08*	0.11**					
Gender (male=1) Household monthly income	-0.11**	0.11**						
Education		-0.10**						
Psychological Variables Leisure boredom Sensation Seeking Self-esteem	0.13** 0.17** –0.19***	0.18*** 0.18*** -0.22***	0.08* 0.14**	0.16***	0.17*** 0.11** -0.15**			

Table 18.3 Correlation of demographics, leisure boredom, sensation seeking, self-esteem, and cell phone addiction

Notes

a This is a composite measure of all 17 cell phone addiction symptom items; the higher the score, the higher the tendency to have the symptoms.

* Figures are Pearson coefficients.

* *p<=.1; *p<=.05; **p<=.01; ***p<=.001

Predictors	Patterns of cell phone calls						
	Minute of use per day		Minute of use per call		Number of people talk to regularly		
	r	ß	r	ß	r	ß	
Demographics Age Gender (male = 1) Household monthly income Education	-0.09*	0.10*	-0. 13** -0.08*	-0.10*	0.27*** 0.11** 0.11** 0.11**	0.26***	
Psychological Variables Leisure boredom Sensation seeking Self-esteem	0.15***	0.18* 0.07#	-0.09*		0.15***	0.14**	
Cell phone sddiction symptoms Inability to control craving Feeling anxious & lost Withdrawal/escape Productivity loss	0.36*** 0.29***	0.21*** 0.10*	0.27*** 0.16*** 0.16*** 0.14***	0.22***	0.11** 0.08*		
Social Capital Time spent with family/ relative yesterday Time spent with friends/ classmates yesterday		0.13*** 0.23***		0.09*			
R ² Final adjusted R ²		0.23 0.21		0.10 0.08		0.11 0.09	

Table 18.4 Regression of demographics, leisure boredom, sensation seeking, self-esteem, cell phone dependency symptoms, and social capital on patterns of cell phone use

Notes

* Figures are Pearson's r and standardized beta coefficients.

* #p< = .1; *p< = .05; **p< = .01; ***p< = .001; N = 624

 $H_{2.1}$ hypothesized that subjects who score high on sensation seeking will exhibit a higher tendency to be addicted to the cell phone. As shown in Table 18.3, the relationship between sensation seeking and MPAI was significant (r = .17, p<.001). Further bivariate analyses between sensation seeking and addiction symptoms also show significant results. Thus, $H_{2.1}$ was also supported. Contrary to an insignificant relationship existing between leisure boredom and usage pattern of cell phone, results in Table 18.4 show that sensation seeking and overall phone call usage patterns of the cell phone in minutes per day were found to be significantly related (r = .15, p<.001). The higher in sensation seeking one scores, the more

the cell phone will be used. Therefore, $H_{2,2}$ was supported. $H_{2,3}$ predicted that subjects who score high on sensation seeking will report a higher amount of cell phone features use. As shown in Table 18.5, correlation relationships between sensation seeking and use of cell phone features for entertainment (r = .22, p<.001) and for information (r = .12, p<.01) were significant. Thus, these results supported $H_{2,3}$.

H_{3.1} predicted that subjects who score high on self-esteem will demon-

Predictors	Features Use						
	Interpersonal communication ^a (SMS)		Entertainment ^b		Information ^c		
Demographics Age Gender (male = 1) Household monthly	r 0.20***	β -0.19*** -0.14***	r −0.14***	β 0.12* 0.07#	r	ß	
income Education	0.10*	0.20***	-0.12**		0.09*	0.10*	
Psychological Variables Leisure boredom Sensation seeking Self-esteem			0.22*** -0.13**	0.17***	0.12**		
Cell phone addiction symptoms Inability to control craving	0.39***	0.21***	0.34 ^{****}	0.20 ^{****}	0.28***	0.23***	
Feeling anxious & lost Withdrawal/escape Productivity loss	0.40*** 0.32*** 0.17***		0.24*** 0.25*** 0.18***	0.0 9 *	0.16*** 0.14*** 0.22***	0.11*	
Social Capital Time spent with family/ relative yesterday Time spent with friends/ classmates yesterday	0.17***	0.11**	0.18***	0.12**	0.13**	0.09*	
R ² Final adjusted R ²		0.29 0.27		0.20 0.18		0.11 0.09	

Table 18.5 Regression of demographics, leisure boredom, sensation seeking, self-esteem, cell phone dependency, and social capital on features use

Notes

a How often do they send/receive SMS/MMS/email messages? Scale: I = Never and 5 = Very often.

b How often do they send/receive pictures, play electronic games, or download ring tones on your cellular phones? Scale: I = Never and 5=Very often.

c How often do they read online news? Scale: I = Never and 5 = Very often.

* Figures are Pearson's r and standardized beta coefficients.

* #p< = .1; *p< = .05; **p< = .01; ***p< = .001; N = 624

strate less tendency toward cell phone addiction than those who are dependent. Results in Table 18.3 indicate that self-esteem and MPAI were negatively and significantly linked (r = -.19, p<.001). This suggests that people who perceive themselves as being in control will be less likely to be a cell phone addict. As a result, $H_{3,1}$ was confirmed. Furthermore, $H_{3,2}$ proposed that subjects who score high on self-esteem will report higher frequency in cell phone calls. Data in Table 18.4 show that self-esteem was significantly related to the number of people who talk regularly via the cell phone (r = .15, p<.001), but the average length of each call was significantly shorter (r = -.09, p<.05). This suggests that confident people with a high self-esteem generally enjoy a large social circle, but they only spend a short time on the cell phone-just sufficient to achieve their ends. However, the amount of cell phone use (in minutes per day) was not linked to self-esteem. Thus, H₃₂ was only partially supported. According to H₃₃, it was proposed that subjects who score low on self-esteem will report a higher amount of cell phone features use. Results in Table 18.5 seem to provide partial support for this hypothesis because self-esteem was found only significantly and negatively related to entertainment (r = -.13, p<.01; e.g., taking/sending pictures, electronic games, and ring tone downloads).

Predicting cell phone use

To assess how demographics, leisure boredom, sensation seeking, selfesteem, cell phone addiction symptoms, and social capital can predict patterns of phone calls using the cell phone, three regression analyses were conducted. Results in Table 18.4 show that heavy use of cell phone calls in minutes per day was significantly linked to addiction symptoms, especially in the inability to control craving ($\beta = .21$, p<.001) and having anxiety and feeling lost ($\beta = .10$, p<.05). Scoring high in sensation seeking $(\beta = .18, p < .05)$ was also predictive of the amount of cell phone calls. Being older ($\beta = .10, p < .05$), less educated ($\beta = -.11, p < .05$), and often got together with family/relatives ($\beta = .13$, p<.001) and friends/classmates $(\beta = .23, p < .001)$ indicated those who used the cell phone calls for more minutes per day. These seven predictors explained 21 percent of the total variance. Data also show that exhibiting greater inability to control craving $(\beta = .22, p < .001)$ in the use of the cell phone, being female ($\beta = .10, \beta = .10$) p < .05), and spending a lot of time with friends/classmates face-to-face $(\beta = .09, p < .05)$ were also those who spent more minutes on each call. Finally, findings also reveal that adolescents and young adults who talked regularly to a large number of people on the cell phone tended to be older $(\beta = .26, p < .001)$ and scored high in self-esteem ($\beta = .14, p < .01$). The last two regression equations explained 8 percent and 9 percent of the variance. respectively.

Predicting features use

In predicting features use, three separate regression analyses were conducted, examining the predictive power of demographics, psychological variables, and addiction symptoms on three dependent measuresuse of the cell phone for texting, for entertainment, and for information seeking. Results in Table 18.5 show that heavy users of texting features (such as SMS/MMS/e-mail) were those who exhibited more addictive symptoms such as feeling anxious and feeling lost without the cell phone and the thought of missing a call ($\beta = .26$, p<.001), having trouble controlling craving ($\beta = .21$, p<.001), and withdrawal and escape ($\beta =$.12, p<.01), but did not feel they had productivity loss due to excessive texting ($\beta = -.09$, p<.05). Demographically, heavy texters seemed to be younger, educated, and often females. However, no psychological predictors such as leisure boredom, sensation seeking, and self-esteem were found significant. Similar to SMS texting, having addiction symptoms such as inability to control craving ($\beta = .20$, p<.001) and use of the cell phone to withdraw and escape when feeling lonely and isolated (β = .09, p<.05) appeared to be significantly related to heavy use of entertainment features of the cell phone. High sensation seekers ($\beta = .17$, p < .001) seemed to use the cell phone for entertainment more so than others. In terms of age and social capital, they tended to be young (B = -.12, p<.05) and often got together with friends and classmates (β = .12, p<.01). Furthermore, highly educated ($\beta = .10$, p<.05) and being socially active ($\beta = .09$, p<.05) users who often used the cell phone for information seeking, such as reading news online, tended to be those who experienced great trouble in controlling craving ($\beta = .23$, p<.001) and experienced a significant decrease in productivity ($\beta = .11$, p<.05) as a direct result of the time spent on the cell phone for information. The three regression equations explained 27percent, 18 percent, and 9 percent of the variance, respectively, for SMS use, for entertainment, and for information seeking.

Conclusions and discussion

Psychometric properties of the MPAS

One of the major aims of this study was to identify the underlying structure of adolescent cell phone addiction symptoms. Specifically, our data yield four clearly identifiable factors: inability to control craving, feeling anxious and lost, withdrawal and escape, and productivity loss. Principal components factor analysis results appear to provide adequate construct validity of the Cell phone Addiction Scale (MPAS) and accounted for 57.7 percent of the variance. Moreover, not only is the 17-item MPAS able

to provide a wealth of contextual information relating to adolescent cell phone addiction, but the data also yielded clear evidence for the multifactorial nature of cell phone addiction symptoms—four distinct factors representing an array of domains of adolescents' behavioral consequences from cell phone addiction.

As a whole, MPAS (both the index MPAI and the four-symptom subscales) correlated mostly in the hypothesized manner with measures of psychologically meaningful constructs such as leisure boredom, sensation seeking, and self-esteem. These constructs cover a wide array of theoretically and practically important factors relevant for influencing cell phone addiction in general.

Effects of psychological attributes on cell phone addiction

In line with our hypotheses, the cell phone addiction index (MPAI) and addiction symptom sub-scales were inversely related to self-esteem and directly related to sensation seeking and leisure boredom. This means that the higher one scored on sensation seeking and leisure boredom, the higher the likelihood one would be addicted to the cell phone. Conversely, subjects who scored high on self-esteem-who perceived themselves as being in control-demonstrated less of a tendency to be addicted. While high sensation seekers (HSS) reported more addiction symptoms, those who scored high on leisure boredom experienced only inability to control craving and loss in productivity. Past research suggests that unless leisure is optimally arousing, it is experienced as boredom especially when having too much time available with too little to do (Iso-Ahola, 1980). According to Iso-Aloha & Weissinger (1991), limited leisure opportunities have been major contributing factors to leisure boredom. This seems logical because, as it was found in the study, the longer the leisure boredom state the individual experiences, the higher the likelihood of the person being addicted to the cell phone.

It is also interesting to note that sensation seeking and self-esteem played the largest role in cell phone addiction, while gender and leisure boredom appeared to have a lesser but significant influence. In particular, those who were female and had low self-esteem were the most vulnerable. These results seem to support the notion that adolescents and young adults like to experiment with rules, roles, and risks—often to deal with anxiety and boredom they purposely seek pleasure, variety, and stimulation through the use of the cell phone. Furthermore, this result is also in line with Gordon and Caltabiano's (1996) finding that adolescents who were the heaviest substance abusers and may have developed addictive behavior were those scoring low on self-esteem and high on sensation seeking.

Effects of psychological attributes on cell phone calls usage patterns

In terms of use, this study found that the more time one spent with family and friends, the more one would use the cell phone. This indicates that there is no decline or displacement of face-to-face interaction despite the increased use of the cell phone. Cell phone use may, in fact, facilitate or coordinate face-to-face interaction. As expected, regression results also show that those who used the cell phone more in minutes per day were those who scored high on sensation seeking, were older, less educated, and tended to exhibit more addiction symptoms (such as losing control, receiving complaints, and experiencing anxiety or craving). The relatively strong relationship between sensation seeking and daily cell phone use is consistent with the argument made by Donohew and his colleagues that high sensation seekers seek out arousal in mediated stimuli as well as in their real-world experience (Donohew *et al.*, 1991; Palmgreen *et al.*, 1995).

However, a comparison of the correlations and the regression analyses in Table 18.4 shows that the beta coefficients are often lower than the correlations or not significant at all between cell phone addiction symptoms and cell phone use variables. Given that psychological variables such as sensation seeking correlate significantly with addiction, this relationship suggests mediation. Therefore, the links between the psychological variables and cell phone use seem to be mediated by cell phone addiction. According to Baron and Kenny (1986), the necessary conditions for partial or full mediation are: direct relationships between (1) the proposed mediator and the exogenous variable; (2) the proposed mediator and the dependent variable; and (3) the exogenous and dependent variable. Further, the sufficient condition for partial mediation is that including the mediator variable or variables weakens the relationship between the exogenous and dependent variables. To test the possible mediation effect of addiction on cell phone use, a series of bivariate regressions using sensation seeking (the exogenous), the composite cell phone addiction index (the mediator), and the amount of cell phone use in minutes per day (the dependent variable) were conducted. Leisure boredom and self-esteem were excluded from the test since they were not significant predictors in minutes of use per day (as shown in Table 18.4). In this study, reductions in the standardized beta of 10 percent were accepted as representing substantively nontrivial evidence for partial mediation. Results show that inclusion of addiction (MPAI) as a mediator variable reduced the relationship of sensation seeking with cell phone use (in minutes per day) by 46.6 percent. Thus, it appears that cell phone addiction does partially mediate the effects of sensation seeking on cell phone use.

Consistent with the literature, low self-esteem did not predict the level of cell phone use (Bianchi & Phillips, 2005). Therefore, the present study

supports our initial prediction that differential use of the cell phone depends on personality tendencies. Furthermore, it is also worthy to note that females tended to spend longer on each call, while those who were older and high on self-esteem talked to a larger pool of people on a regular basis using their cell phones. This suggests that, as a social technology, the cell phone has become a popular communication utility and a relationship facilitator.

Effects of psychological attributes on cell phone features use

Addiction symptoms were found to be the most powerful predictors for features use of the cell phone. Heavy feature users of the cell phone tended to be those who often felt anxious and even lost, experienced a higher sense of losing control without their cell phones, and often received complaints from family and friends.

Contrary to what was hypothesized, psychological attributes, such as leisure boredom, sensation seeking, and self-esteem, were not significantly linked to features used except for entertainment. Specifically, HSS tended to spend more time on the cell phone, especially on playing electronic games, downloading ring tones, and sending/receiving pictures. This finding may be explained by the fact that high sensation seekers gravitate toward the cell phones that offer more opportunities to satisfy their need for stimulation. In doing so, HSS can maintain their optimal arousal levels, especially through the varied, novel, and risky behaviors in their leisure by engaging in the entertainment functions of the cell phone (Gordon & Caltabiano, 1996). This is especially true and provides strong support for Arnett's (1992) proposal that adolescence is marked by higher levels of sensation seeking. The insignificant relationship between the use of SMS and psychological attributes indicates that SMS has become a preferred method of communication for young adults regardless of what psychological state they are in. Demographically, young and educated females tended to use SMS more, while the entertainment features attracted the young and the information functions for online news captivated the educated.

Limitation and suggestions for future studies

First, it is important to note that since the addiction questionnaire may contain some questions that were embarrassing or not applicable to respondents, particularly the younger adolescents or girls (e.g., learn to fly an airplane and parachute jumping), the overall result may have been affected. Second, spending time with friends face-to-face may be considered a normal developmental step among adolescents and young adults—important for their identity development. The heavy use of the cell phones may in fact be a natural developmental behavior. In light of this, interpretation of these findings should be conducted with caution. Future research should widen the scope of this study by comparing results of different age groups. Furthermore, the significant links between patterns of cell phone usage and sensation seeking, an inability to control craving, and feeling anxious and lost have clear implications for treatment and intervention. Intervention strategies need to focus on helping addicts slow down their decisionmaking processes so that they can appreciate the potential risks of their behavior. Treatment also needs to assist addicts in developing coping skills that will allow for more effective control of impulsivity. Future studies should focus on adaptive versus maladaptive patterns of adolescent cell phone use and, as such, would provide some directions for educators and parents with regard to the focus of intervention on strategies aimed at reducing addictive use of cell phones in adolescents.

References

- American Psychiatric Association (1994) The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- Arnett, J. (1992) "Reckless behavior in adolescence: A developmental perspective." Developmental Review, 12, 339–373.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D.A. (1986) "The moderator-mediator distinction in social-psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–1182.
- Beard, K. W. (2002) "Internet addiction: Current status and implications for employees." Journal of Employment Counseling, 39, 2–11.
- Beard, K. W., & Wolf, E. M. (2001) "Modification in proposed diagnostic criteria for Internet addiction." *CyberPsychology and Behavior*, 4(3), 377–383.
- Bianchi, A., & Phillips, J. G. (2005) "Psychological predictors of problem cell phone use." *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 8(1), 39–51.
- Burns, R. B. (1979) The Self-Concept in Theory, Measurement, Development and Behavior. London: Longman Group.
- Calabrese, A., & Borchert, M. (1996) "Prospects for electronic democracy in the United States: Rethinking communications and social policy." *Media, Culture, and Society*, 18, 249–268.
- Chak, K., & Leung, L. (2004) "Shyness and Locus of control as Predictors of Internet Addiction and Internet Use." *CyberPsychology and Behavior*, 7(5), 559–570.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1985) The NEO Personality Inventory Manual. Odessa, FL: Psychology Assessment Resources, Inc.
- Deaux, K., & Wrightsman, L. S. (1988) Social Psychology, fifth edition. Pacific Grove, CA: Brook/Cole.
- Donohew, L., Lorch, E. P., & Palmgreen, P. (1991) "Sensation seeking and the targeting of televised anti-drug PSAs." In L. Donohew, H. E. Sypher, & W. J. Bukoski (eds.), *Persua*sive Communication and Drug Abuse Prevention. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, pp. 209–226.
- Fukuyama, F. (2001) "Social capital, civil society and development." Third World Quarterly, 22(1), 7–20.
- Gordon, W. R., & Caltabiano, M. L. (1996) "Urban-urban differences in adolescents selfesteem, leisure boredom, and sensation seeking as predictors of leisure-time usage and satisfaction." *Adolescence*, 31(124), 883–901.
- Griffiths, M. D. (1996) "Gambling on the Internet: A brief note." Journal of Gambling Studies, 12, 471-473.

- Griffiths, M. D. (1998) "Internet addiction: does it really exist." In J. Gackenbach (ed.), *Psychology and the Internet: Intrapersonal, Interpersonal, and Transpersonal Applications*. New York: Academic Press, pp. 61–75.
- Griffiths, M. D. (2000) "Does Internet and 'addiction' exist? Some case study evidence." *CyberPsychology and Behavior*, 3, 211–218.
- Griffiths, M. D., & Hunt, N. (1998) "Dependence on computer games by adolescents." *Psychological Reports*, 82, 475–480.
- Hill, A. B., & Perkins, R. E. (1985) "Towards a model of boredom." British Journal of Psychology, 76, 235-240.
- Infante, D. A. (1976) "Persuasion as a function of the receiver's prior success or failure as a message source." *Communication Quarterly*, 24, 21–26.
- Iso-Ahola, S. E. (1980) Social Psychological Perspectives on Lleisure and Recreation. Dubuque, Iowa: Brown.
- Iso-Ahola, S. E., & Crowley, E. D. (1991) "Adolescent substance abuse and leisure boredom." Journal of Leisure Research, 23(3), 260–271.
- Iso-Ahola, S. E., & Weissinger, E. (1990) "Perceptions of boredom in leisure: Conceptualization, reliability and validity of the leisure boredom scale." *Journal of Leisure Research*, 22(1), 1–17.
- Jessor, R., & Jessor, S. L. (1977) Problem Behavior and Psychological Development: a Longitudinal Study of Youth. New York: Academic Press.
- Katz, J. E., & Akhus, M. (eds.), (2002) Perceptual Contact: Mobile Communication, Private Talk, Public Performance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kivimaki, M., & Kalimo, R. (1996) "Self-esteem and the occupational stress process testing two alternative models in a sample of blue-collar workers." *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 1, 187–196.
- Lemon, J. (2002) "Can we call behaviors addictive?" Clinical Psychologist, 6, 44-49.
- Lenhart, A., Madden, M., & Hitlin, P. (2005) "Teen and Technology: Youth are leading the transition to a fully wired and mobile nation." Retrieved April 17, 2007 from http:// www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Teens_Tech_July2005web.pdf.
- Leung, L. (2004) "Net-generation attributes and seductive properties of the Internet as predictors of online activities and Internet addiction." *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 7(3), 333–348.
- Leung, L., & Wei, R. (1999) "Who are the cell phone have-nots? Influences and consequences." New Media and Society, 1(2), 209–226.
- Ling, R. (2004) The Mobile Connection: the Cell Phone's Impact on Society. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Mattick, R. P., & Baillie, A. (eds.), (1992. National Campaign Against Drug Abuse: an Outlet for Approaches to Smoking Cessation (Monograph No. 19). Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.
- Mukherjee, S. K., & Dagger, D. (1990) The Size of the Crime Problem in Australia, second edition. Canberra: Australian Institute of Criminology.
- O'Malley, S. S., Jaffe, A., Chang, G., Schottenfeld, R. S., & Rounsaville, B. J. (1991) Naltrexone in the Treatment of Alcohol Dependence: Preliminary Findings. Novel Pharmacological Interventions for Alcoholism. New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 148–157.
- Orcutt, J. D. (1984) "Contrasting effects of two kinds of boredom on alcohol use." Journal of Drug Issues, 14, 161–173.
- Orford, J. (2001) Excessive Appetites: a Psychological View of Addictions, second edition. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Oyserman, D., & Markus, H. R. (1990) "Possible selves and delinquency." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 59(1), 112–125.
- Palmgreen, P., Lorch, E. P., Donohew, L., Harrington, N., D'Silva, M., & Helm, D. (1995) "Reaching at-risk populations in a mass media drug abuse prevention campaign:

Sensation seeking, message sensation value, and drug use as mediators of PSA effectiveness." Drugs and Society, 8, 29-45.

- Phillips, A. (1993) On Kissing, Tickling, and Being Bored: Psychoanalytic Essays on the Unexamined Life. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Putnam, R. (1995) "Bowling alone: America's declining social capital." *Journal of Democracy*, 6(1), 65–78.

Rainie, L., & Keeter, S. (2006) "How Americans use their cell phones." Retrieved April 17, 2007 from http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Cell_phone_study.pdf.

- Rubin, A. M. (2002) "The uses-and-gratifications perspectives of media effects." In J. Bryant, & D. Zillmann, (eds.), *Media Effects: Advances in Theory and Research*, second edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Scherer, K. (1997) "College life on-line. Healthy and unhealthy Internet use." Journal of College Student Development, 38, 655–665.

Shaffer, H. J. (1996) "Understanding the means and objects of addiction: Technology, the Internet and gambling." *Journal of Gambling Studies*, 12, 461–469.

- Shotton, M. A. (1989) Computer Addiction?: a Study of Computer Dependency. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Smith, E. A., & Caldwell, L. L. (1989) "The perceived quality of leisure experiences among smoking and nonsmoking adolescents." *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 9, 153–162.
- Volpicelli, J. R., Alterman, A. I., Hayashida, M., & O'Brien, P. (1992) "Naltrexone in the treatment of alcohol dependence." Archives of General Psychiatry, 49: 876–880.
- Wallace, P. (1999) The Psychology of the Internet. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Young, K. S. (1996) Caught in the Net: How to Recognize the Signs of Internet Addiction—and a Winning Strategy for Recovery. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Young, K. S. (1998) "Internet addiction: the emergency of a new clinical disorder." CyberPsychology & Behavior, 1(3), 237–244.
- Young, K. S. (1999) "Internet addiction: Symptoms, evaluation, and treatment." In L., VandeCreek, & T. Jackson, (eds.), Innovations in Clinical Practice: A Source Book, Vol. 17. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press, pp. 19–31.
- Zuckerman, M. (1979) Sensation Seeking: Beyond the Optimal Level of Arousal. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Zuckerman, M. (1994) Behavioral Expressions and Biosocial Bases of Sensation Seeking. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Zuckerman, M., Eysenck, S., & Eysenck, H. J. (1978) "Sensation-seeking in England and America: Cross-cultural, age, and sex comparisons." *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 46(1), 139–149.
- Zuckerman, M., Kolin, E. A., Price, L., & Zoob, I. (1964) "Development of a sensationseeking scale." *Journal of Consulting Psychology*, 28, 477–482.